

---

# BESSEL PROCESSES AND RAY-KNIGHT THEOREMS

Yassine El Maazouz

---

## Contents

1. Introduction and notations.....	1
2. Bessel processes.....	2
References.....	8

## 1. Introduction and notations

In these notes we discuss general Bessel processes and their properties. We denote by  $\mathbf{W}$  the space  $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$  of continuous functions on the positive half line and by  $X$  the coordinate process on this space ( in Section 2 we will define a family of probability measures on this space). For an integer  $d$  we denote by  $B^{(d)}$  "the"  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion and  $r_t^{(d)} = \left\| B_t^{(d)} \right\|_2$  the euclidean norm process of  $B^{(d)}$  known as the Bessel process of order  $d$ . It is known that the process  $r_t^{(d)}$  satisfies the following SDE

$$dr_t^{(d)} = \frac{d-1}{2r_t^{(d)}} dt + dB'_t$$

where  $B'$  is a certain linear Brownian motion. We write  $r_t$  instead of  $r_t^{(d)}$  when there is no ambiguity on the dimension. This defines a countable family of probability measures on the space  $\mathbf{W}$  that we will generalize a little further in our discussion. Before we do so we need to recall some results and concepts that we will encounter throughout these notes.

**Theorem 1.1 (Uniqueness of strong solution of SDEs).** — *Consider the SDE*

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dB_t \quad (*)$$

*such that  $|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq K|x - y|$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  and  $|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)| \leq h(|x - y|)$  where the function  $h$  satisfies the conditions*

$h$  is strictly increasing,  $h(0) = 0$  and  $\int_0^\epsilon h^{-2}(u)du = +\infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$

Then there exists at most one strong solution for the equation (\*)

**Theorem 1.2 (Yamada Watanabe).** — *The existence of weak solutions for a stochastic differential equation for which strong uniqueness holds implies existence and uniqueness of the strong solution.*

## 2. Bessel processes

In order to extend the definition of Bessel processes we first notice that in dimension  $d$  one has the following relation with  $r_t$  and  $B^{(d)}$  using Itô's formula:

$$r_t^2 = r_0^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t B_s^i dB_s^i + d.t$$

We know that  $r_t$  is positive for all  $t > 0$  almost surely when  $d > 1$  and that for  $d = 1$  the set of zeros of  $r_t$  has 0 Lebesgue measure so that we can make sense of the process

$$\beta_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{B_s^i}{r_s} dB_s^i$$

Using the Itô's isometry we can get  $\langle \beta, \beta \rangle_t = t$  then by Lévy's theorem  $\beta$  is a linear Brownian motion. Finally notice that we have again by Itô's formula

$$r_t^2 = r_0^2 + 2 \int_0^t r_s d\beta_s + d.t$$

We regard Bessel processes as solutions of the previous SDEs indexed by  $d$ . To extend this definition, for real numbers  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$  consider the SDE

$$Z_t = x + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{|Z_s|} d\beta_s + \delta t$$

A natural question to ask is whether this equation has solutions or not. The answer is yes, there exists a unique strong solution for this SDE: since  $|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}| \leq \sqrt{|x - y|}$  one can invoke a result of Yamada and Watanabe on the existence of strong solutions for SDEs (see section 3 of chapter IX in [RY13]). The solution is  $Z \equiv 0$  when  $x = \delta = 0$  and by the comparison theorems (see chapter IX in [RY13]) we then get  $Z_t \geq 0$  a.s for all cases of  $\delta$  and  $x$ . Then one can get rid of the absolute value in the above equation and state the

**Definition 2.1.** — For every  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$  the unique strong solution of the equation

$$Z_t = x + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{|Z_s|} d\beta_s + \delta t$$

is called the square of  $\delta$ -dimensional Bessel process started at  $x$  and we denote it by  $BESQ_\delta(x)$ . The real number  $\delta$  is called the dimension and we call  $\nu := \frac{\delta}{2} - 1$  the *index* of this process. We may write  $BESQ^\nu$  when we want to index by  $\nu$  instead of  $\delta$ .

This defines a two parameter family of probability measures on  $\mathbf{W}$  which we denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta$  ( we write  $\mathbb{Q}_x^{(\nu)}$  to use the index instead of the dimension) which coincides with the squared modulus of the Brownian motion when  $\delta$  is an integer. We give a first result concerning this family of distributions which is trivial when  $d$  is an integer.

**Proposition 2.2.** — For  $\delta, \delta' \geq 0$  and  $x, x' \geq 0$  one has

$$\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta * \mathbb{Q}_{x'}^{\delta'} = \mathbb{Q}_{x+x'}^{\delta+\delta'}$$

By  $*$  here we mean the convolution product, more precisely  $\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta * \mathbb{Q}_{x'}^{\delta'}$  is the push-forward of the measure  $\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{x'}^{\delta'}$  on the space  $\mathbf{W}^2$  by the map  $\mathbf{W}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$ ,  $(w, w') \mapsto w + w'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta, \beta'$  be two independent linear Brownian motions and  $Z, Z'$  the corresponding solutions for  $(x, \delta)$  and  $(x', \delta')$  and  $X = Z + Z'$ . Then obviously

$$X_t = x + x' + 2 \int_0^t \left( \sqrt{Z_s} d\beta_s + \sqrt{Z'_s} d\beta'_s \right) + (\delta + \delta')t$$

Now let  $\beta''$  be a third Brownian motion independent of the first two. Define  $\gamma$  as

$$\gamma = \int_0^t 1_{X_s > 0} \frac{\sqrt{Z_s} d\beta_s + \sqrt{Z'_s} d\beta'_s}{\sqrt{X_s}} + \int_0^t 1_{X_s = 0} d\beta''_s$$

We can show that  $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle_t = t$  which means again by Lévy's theorem that  $\gamma$  is a linear Brownian motion. Finally we have

$$X_t = (x + x') + 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{X_s} d\gamma_s + (\delta + \delta')t$$

This finishes the proof since it implies that  $X$  has distribution  $\mathbb{Q}_{x+x'}^{\delta+\delta'}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** — Notice that this result shows that the  $\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta$ 's are infinitely divisible laws on  $\mathbf{W}$ . These are not the only distributions that satisfy this kind of identity (one can take a look at exercise 1.13 448 in [RY13]).

This result, intuitive as it is, proves to be useful as the following corollary and discussion show.

**Corollary 2.4.** — If  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (1+t) d\mu(t)$ , then there exists two positive real numbers  $A_\mu, B_\mu$  with

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta} \left[ \exp \left( - \int_0^\infty X_t d\mu(t) \right) \right] = A_\mu^x B_\mu^\delta$$

where  $X$  is the coordinate process.

*Proof.* We define  $\phi(x, \delta) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta} \left[ \exp \left( - \int_0^\infty X_t d\mu(t) \right) \right]$  and establish a functional equation on  $\phi$ . First notice that by using Jensen's inequality one can see that  $\phi(x, \delta) > 0$ . We also have thanks to the previous result that

$$\phi(x + x', \delta + \delta') = \phi(x, \delta)\phi(x', \delta')$$

This yields the equation  $\phi(x, \delta) = \phi(x, 0)\phi(0, \delta)$  and thus the separation of  $x$  and  $\delta$ . The functions  $\phi(0, \cdot), \phi(\cdot, 0)$  are multiplicative and monotone hence they are exponential. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Now we give a more concrete application of this result by choosing  $\mu = \lambda\epsilon_t$  where  $\epsilon_t$  is the Dirac measure in  $t$ . We get

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{x,1}}[\exp(-\lambda X_t)] = \mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{x}}[e^{-\lambda B_t^2}]$$

An easy integration show that  $\mathbb{E}_{\sqrt{x}}[e^{-\lambda B_t^2}] = (1 + 2\lambda)^{-1/2} \exp(-\lambda x/(1 + 2\lambda t))$  and hence we deduce

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}}[\exp(-\lambda X_t)] = (1 + 2\lambda)^{-\delta/2} \exp(-\lambda x/(1 + 2\lambda t))$$

Inverting this Laplace transform gives us the semi-group of  $BESQ_\delta$

**Corollary 2.5.** — *For  $\delta > 0$ , the semigroup of  $BESQ_\delta$  has a density in  $y$  given by*

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^{\nu/2} \exp \left( \frac{-(x+y)}{2t} \right) J_\nu(\sqrt{xy}/t)$$

where  $J_\nu$  is the Bessel function of index  $\nu$

When  $x = 0$  one has

$$a_t(0, y) = (2t)^{-\nu-1} \Gamma(\delta/2) \exp \left( \frac{-y}{2t} \right) y^\nu$$

As a consequence the process  $BESQ_\delta$  is a Feller process. Notice that for a continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the map  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}}[f(X_t)]$  is continuous in both  $x$  and  $t$  (Stone Weierstrass + special case  $f(x) = e^{-\lambda x}$ ) so one may apply the results on that we have already seen previously (see chapter III in [\[RY13\]](#)) so conclude that this is indeed a Feller process. Here are a few observations on the behavior of these processes.

From the comparison theorem for SDEs and the facts we have already established for Brownian motion we get

- i. For  $\delta \geq 3$  the process  $BESQ_\delta$  is transient and for  $\delta \leq 2$  it is recurrent.
- ii. For  $\delta \geq 2$  the set  $\{0\}$  is polar and for  $\delta \leq 1$ , it is reached a.s. Furthermore for  $\delta = 0$  the origin is an absorbing point.

It remains to say something about the case of small  $\delta$ . But if one considers

$$s_\nu(x) = \begin{cases} -x^{-\nu} & \text{if } \nu > 0 \\ x^{-\nu} & \text{if } \nu < 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } s_0(x) = \log(x)$$

and  $T$  the hitting time of 0 then Itô's formula shows that  $s_\nu(X)^T$  is a local martingale under  $\mathbb{Q}_x^\delta$ . The point 0 is then reached almost surely for  $0 \leq \delta < 2$  (check exercise III.3.21 [RY13]).

**Proposition 2.6.** — *For  $\delta = 0$ , the point 0 is absorbing. For  $0 < \delta < 2$ , the point 0 is instantaneously reflecting.*

The first point is trivial since when  $x = \delta = 0$  the solution is the zero process.

*Proof.* For  $0 < \delta < 2$  if  $X$  is a  $BESQ_\delta$  then it is a semi-martingale (just by definition from the SDE that  $X$  satisfies). We have the local time

$$L_t^0(X) = 2\delta \int_0^t 1_{X_s=0} ds$$

and  $\langle X, X \rangle_t = 4X_t dt$  so the occupation formula that we have seen in the local times chapter gives

$$\int_0^\infty (4a)^{-1} L_t^a(X) da = \int_0^t 1_{0 < X_s} (4X_s)^{-1} d\langle X, X \rangle_s = \int_0^t 1_{0 < X_s} ds \leq t$$

Then we deduce that  $L_t^0(X) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Hence  $X$  spends not times at 0.  $\square$

We recall the scaling properties of Brownian motion. If  $B_t^x = x + B_t$  then for any  $x > c$  the processes  $B_{c^2 t}^x$  and  $cB_t^{x/c}$  have the same distribution. The same kind of scaling applies to  $BESQ_\delta$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** — *If  $X$  is a  $BESQ_\delta(x)$  then for any  $c > 0$ , the process  $c^{-1}X_{ct}$  is a  $BESQ_\delta(x/c)$ .*

*Proof.* A change of variable in the SDE defining  $BESQ_\delta(x)$  gives

$$c^{-1}X_{ct} = c^{-1}x + 2 \int_0^t (c^{-1}X_{cs})^{1/2} c^{-1/2} dB_{cs} + \delta t$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

We go back to corollary 2.4 to explain how one can compute the constants  $A_\mu, B_\mu$  which will help us compute the transform of some Brownian functionals. We recall from the Local times chapter in [RY13] that for a Radon measure  $\mu$  the equation  $\phi'' = \phi\mu$  (is the sense of distribution) has a unique solution which is unique solution  $\phi_\mu$  which is positive and non increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and we have  $\phi_\mu(0) = 1$ . Furthermore  $\phi_\mu$  is convex, so it's right-derivative  $\phi'_\mu$  exists and is in  $[0, 1]$  (even better  $\phi_\mu(\infty) < 1$  otherwise  $\mu = 0$  and  $\phi_\mu = 0$ ). From here on in suppose that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (1+x)d\mu(x) < +\infty$  (as we will see this implies  $\phi_\mu(\infty) > 0$ ). Let

$$X_\mu = \int_0^\infty X_t d\mu(t)$$

**Theorem 2.8.** — *In the previous setup we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} X_\mu \right) \right] = \phi_\mu(\infty)^{\delta/2} \exp \left( \frac{x}{2} \phi'_\mu(0) \right)$$

*Proof.*  $\phi'_\mu$  is a right continuous and increasing then  $F_\mu = \frac{\phi'_\mu}{\phi_\mu}$  is right continuous and of finite variation . Then using integration by parts (or Itô 's formula for the product function) we get

$$F_\mu(t)X_t = F_\mu(0)x + \int_0^t F_\mu(s)dX_s + \int_0^t X_s dF_\mu(s)$$

On the other hand one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t X_s dF_\mu(s) &= \int_0^t X_s \frac{d\phi'_\mu(s)}{\phi_\mu(s)} - \int_0^t X_s \frac{\phi'_\mu(s)}{\phi_\mu^2(s)} d\phi_\mu(s) \\ &= \int_0^t X_s d\mu(s) - \int_0^t X_s F_\mu^2(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since  $M_t = X_t - \delta t$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}$  continuous local martingale, the process

$$\mathcal{E}_t = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F_\mu(s) dM_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F_\mu^2(s) ds \right)$$

is a continuous local martingale and we have

$$\mathcal{E}_t = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} [F_\mu(t)X_t - F_\mu(0)x - \delta \log(\phi_\mu(t))] - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t X_s d\mu(s) \right)$$

This local martingale is bounded on  $[0, T]$  for any  $T > 0$  because  $F$  is negative and  $X$  is positive and we get

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_t^\mu] = \mathbb{E}[Z_0^\mu] = 1$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we get the desired result as the following argument explains:

Proposition 2.7 implies that  $\frac{X_t}{t}$  converges in distribution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\phi'_\mu(x) = -(\phi_\mu \mu)((x, +\infty)) \text{ and } 0 < aF_\mu(a) \leq \int_a^\infty x d\mu(x) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

This implies that  $F_\mu(t)X_t$  converges in probability to 0 and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

This result gives an easy proof of the Cameron-Martin Formula which is

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( -\lambda \int_0^1 B_s^2 ds \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh(\sqrt{2\lambda})}}$$

This can be obtained by picking  $x = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$  in the following equation

**Corollary 2.9.** —

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{b^2}{2} \int_0^1 X_s ds \right) \right] = \cosh(b)^{-\delta/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x b \tanh(b) \right)$$

*Proof.* We need to compute the solution  $\phi_\mu$  of the equation  $\phi'' = \phi\mu$  when  $\mu(ds) = b^2 ds$  on  $[0, 1]$ . It's not too hard to show that  $\phi_\mu(t) = \alpha \cosh(bt) + \beta \sinh(bt)$  and the initial condition gives  $\alpha = \phi_\mu(0)1$ . Since  $\phi$  is constant on  $[1, +\infty[$  and  $\phi'_\mu$  is continuous we must have  $\phi'_\mu(1) = 0$  which means that

$$b \sinh(b) + \beta b \cosh(b) = 0$$

this gives  $\beta = -\tanh(b)$ . Then we deduce that  $\phi_\mu(t) = \cosh(bt) - \tanh(b) \sinh(bt)$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Hence  $\phi(\infty) = \phi_\mu(1) = \cosh(b)^{-1}$  and  $\phi'_\mu(0) = -b \tanh(b)$   $\square$

So far we have only discussed the square of Bessel processes. We now discuss Bessel processes themselves which just amounts to applying the homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  given by  $x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$ . This means that since  $X$  is a Markov process under  $\mathbb{Q}_{x,\delta}$  then the process  $\sqrt{X}$  also is.

**Definition 2.10.** — The square root of  $BESQ_\delta(x^2)$  is what's called the Bessel process if dimension  $\delta$  started at  $x$  and we denote this process by  $BES_\delta(x)$ . We denote its distribution on  $\mathbf{W}$  by  $\mathbb{P}_x^\delta$ .

Most of the results of the previous discussions can be stated for the process  $BES_\delta(x)$ . Namely the results on transience and recurrence and one can also compute the semi-group of this process just from that of  $BESQ_\delta(x^2)$ . This shows that  $BES_\delta(x)$  is also a Feller process. As we have seen in the introduction for integer values of  $\delta$  the process  $BES_\delta(x)$  satisfies the SDE

$$dX_t = x + d\beta_t + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \frac{1}{X_t} dt$$

Here is a scaling result for this process

**Proposition 2.11.** —  $BES_\delta$  has the same scaling property as Brownian motion.

*Proof.* Not very hard to show from the SDE.  $\square$

## References

- [RY13] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

---

*August 13, 2020*

YASSINE EL MAAZOUZ • *Email* : [yassine.el-maazouz@berkeley.edu](mailto:yassine.el-maazouz@berkeley.edu), U.C. Berkeley, Department of statistics, 335 Evans Hall Berkeley, CA 94720 USA