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10.4 Downhill Simplex Method in Multidimensions

With this section we begin consideration of multidimensional minimization, that is, finding the minimum of a function of more than one independent variable. This section stands apart from those which follow, however: All of the algorithms after this section will make explicit use of the one-dimensional minimization algorithms of §10.1, §10.2, or §10.3 as a part of their computational strategy. This section implements an entirely self-contained strategy, in which one-dimensional minimization does not figure.

The *downhill simplex method* is due to Nelder and Mead (1965). The method requires only function evaluations, not derivatives. It is not very efficient in terms of the number of function evaluations that it requires. Powell's method (§10.5) is almost surely faster in all likely applications. However the downhill simplex method may frequently be the *best* method to use if the figure of merit is “get something working quickly” for a problem whose computational burden is small.

The method has a geometrical naturalness about it which makes it delightful to describe or work through:

A *simplex* is the geometrical figure consisting, in $N$ dimensions, of $N + 1$ points (or vertices) and all their interconnecting line segments, polygonal faces, etc. In two dimensions, a simplex is a triangle. In three dimensions it is a tetrahedron, not necessarily the regular tetrahedron. (The *simplex method* of linear programming also makes use of the geometrical concept of a simplex. Otherwise it is completely unrelated to the algorithm that we are describing in this section.) In general we are only interested in simplexes that are nondegenerate, i.e. which enclose a finite inner $N$-dimensional volume. If any point of a nondegenerate simplex is taken as the origin, then the $N$ other points define vector directions that span the $N$-dimensional vector space.

In one-dimensional minimization, it was possible to bracket a minimum, so that the success of a subsequent isolation was guaranteed. Alas! There is no analogous procedure in multidimensional space. For multidimensional minimization, the best we can do is give our algorithm a starting guess, that is, an $N$-vector of independent variables as the first point to try. The algorithm is then supposed to make its own way downhill through the unimaginable
complexity of an \( N \)-dimensional topography, until it encounters an (at least local) minimum.

The downhill simplex method must be started not just with a single point, but with \( N + 1 \) points, defining an initial simplex. If you think of one of these points (it matters not which) as being your initial starting point \( P_0 \), then you can take the other \( N \) points to be

\[
P_i = P_0 + \lambda e_i
\]

(10.4.1)

where the \( e_i \)'s are \( N \) unit vectors, and where \( \lambda \) is a constant which is your guess of the problem’s characteristic length scale. (Or, you could have different \( \lambda_i \)'s for each vector direction.)

The downhill simplex method now takes a series of steps, most steps just moving the point of the simplex where the function is largest ("highest point") through the opposite face of the simplex to a lower point. These steps are called reflections, and they are constructed to conserve the volume of the simplex (hence maintain its nondegeneracy). When it can do so, the method expands the simplex in one or another direction to take larger steps. When it reaches a "valley floor," the method contracts itself in the transverse direction and tries to ooze down the valley. If there is a situation where the simplex is trying to "pass through the eye of a needle," it contracts itself in all directions, pulling itself in around its lowest (best) point. The routine name \texttt{amoeba} is intended to be descriptive of this kind of behavior; the basic moves are summarized in Figure 10.4.1.

Termination criteria can be delicate in any multidimensional minimization routine. Without bracketing and with more than one independent variable, we no longer have the option of requiring a certain tolerance for a single independent variable. We typically can identify one "cycle" or "step" of our multidimensional algorithm. It is then possible to terminate when the vector distance moved in that step is fractionally smaller in magnitude than some tolerance \texttt{tol}. Alternatively, we could require that the decrease in the function value in the terminating step be fractionally smaller than some tolerance \texttt{ftol}. Note that while \texttt{tol} should not usually be smaller than the square root of the machine precision, it is perfectly appropriate to let \texttt{ftol} be of order the machine precision (or perhaps slightly larger so as not to be diddled by roundoff).

Note well that either of the above criteria might be fooled by a single anomalous step that, for one reason or another, failed to get anywhere. Therefore, it is frequently a good idea to \texttt{restart} a multidimensional minimization routine at a point where it claims to have found a minimum. For this restart, you should reinitialize any ancillary input quantities. In the downhill simplex method, for example, you should reinitialize \( N \) of the \( N + 1 \) vertices of the simplex again by equation (10.4.1), with \( P_0 \) being one of the vertices of the claimed minimum.

Restarts should never be very expensive; your algorithm did, after all, converge to the restart point once, and now you are starting the algorithm already there.
Consider, then, our $N$-dimensional amoeba:

```c
#include <math.h>

#define NMAX 5000  // The maximum allowed number of function evaluations, and three
#define ALPHA 1.0   // parameters defining the expansions and contractions.
#define BETA 0.5
#define GAMMA 2.0

#define GET_PSUM(j) for (j=1;j<=ndim;j++) { for (i=1,sum=0.0;i<mp[i][j];++i) sum += p[i][j]; psum[j]=sum; }

void amoeba(p,y,ndim,ftol,funk,nfunk)
```
Multidimensional minimization of the function \( f(x) \) where \( x[1..ndim] \) is a vector in \( ndim \) dimensions, by the downhill simplex method of Nelder and Mead. The matrix \( p[1..ndim+1][1..ndim] \) is input. Its \( ndim+1 \) rows are \( ndim \)-dimensional vectors which are the vertices of the starting simplex. Also input is the vector \( y[1..ndim+1] \), whose components must be pre-initialized to the values of \( f \) evaluated at the \( ndim+1 \) vertices (rows) of \( p \); and \( ftol \) the fractional convergence tolerance to be achieved in the function value (n.b.l). On output, \( p \) and \( y \) will have been reset to \( ndim+1 \) new points all within \( ftol \) of a minimum function value, and \( nfunk \) gives the number of function evaluations taken.

```c
int ilo,ihi,ihi,mpts=ndim+1;
float y[ndim],sum,rtol,an motry(),*psum,*vector();
void nrerror(),free_vector();

psum=vector(1,ndim); *nfunk=0;
GET_PSUM
for (; ; ) {
  ilo=1;
  ihi = y[1]>y[2] ? (ihi=2,1) : (ihi=1,2); highest, and lowest (best),
  for (i=1;i<=mpts;i++) {
    if (y[i] < y[ihi]) ilo=i;
    if (y[i] > y[ihi]) {
      ihi=ihi;
      ihi=i;
    } else if (y[i] > y[ihi])
      if (i != ihi) inhi=i;
  }
  r t o l =2.0*fabs(y[ihi]-y[ilo])/(fabs(y[ihi])+fabs(y[ilo]));
  Compute the fractional range from highest to lowest and return if satisfactory.
  if (rtol < ftol) break;
  if (*nfunk >= XMAX) nrerror("Too many iterations in ANDEBA");
  Begin a new iteration. First extrapolate by a factor ALPH A through the face of the simplex across from the high point, i.e., reflect the simplex from the high point.
  ytry=an motry(p,y,psum,ndim,funk,ihi,nfunk,-ALPHA);
  if (ytry <= y[ilo])
    Gives a result better than the best point, so try an additional extrapolation by a factor GAMMA.
    ytry=an motry(p,y,psum,ndim,funk,ihi,nfunk,GAMMA);
    else if (ytry >= y[ihi]) { The reflected point is worse than the second-highest, so
      ysave=y[ihi]; look for an intermediate lower point, i.e., do a one-dimensional
      ytry=an motry(p,y,psum,ndim,funk,ihi,nfunk,BETA); contraction.
    if (ytry >= ysave) {
      Can't seem to get rid of that high point. Better contract around the lowest (best) point.
      for (i=1;i<=mpts;i++) {
        if (i != ilo) {
          for (j=1;j<=ndim;j++) {
            psum[j]=0.5*(p[i][j]+p[ilo][j]);
            p[i][j]=psum[j];
          }
          y[i]=(*funk)(psum);
        }
      }
      *nfunk += ndim; Keep track of function evaluations.
      GET_PSUM Recompute psum.
    } Go back for the test of doneness and the next iteration.
  }
  free_vector(psum,1,ndim);
}
```

Extrapolates by a factor \( \text{fac} \) through the face of the simplex across from the high point, tries
it, and replaces the high point if the new point is better.

```c
int j;
float fac1,fac2,ytry,*ptry,*vector();
void nerror(),free_vector();

ptry=vector(1,ndim);
fac1=(1.0-fac)/ndim;
fac2=fac1-fac;
for (j=1;j<ndim;j++) ptry[j]=psum[j]+fac1-p[ihi][j]*fac2;
ytry=(*funk)(ptry);
++(*funk);
if (ytry < y[ihi]) {
    y[ihi]=ytry;
    for (j=1;j<ndim;j++) {
        psum[j] += ptry[j]-p[ihi][j];
        p[ihi][j]=ptry[j];
    }
}
free_vector(ptry,1,ndim);
return ytry;
}
```
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### 10.5 Direction Set (Powell’s) Methods in Multidimensions

We know (§10.1–§10.3) how to minimize a function of one variable. If we start at a point \( \mathbf{P} \) in \( N \)-dimensional space, and proceed from there in some vector direction \( \mathbf{n} \), then any function of \( N \) variables \( f(\mathbf{P}) \) can be minimized along the line \( \mathbf{n} \) by our one-dimensional methods. One can dream up various multidimensional minimization methods which consist of sequences of such line minimizations. Different methods will differ only by how, at each stage, they choose the next direction \( \mathbf{n} \) to try. All such methods presume the existence of a “black-box” subalgorithm, which we might call \texttt{linmin} (given as an explicit routine at the end of this section), whose definition can be taken for now as

\texttt{linmin}: Given as input the vectors \( \mathbf{P} \) and \( \mathbf{n} \), and the function \( f \), find the scalar \( \lambda \) that minimizes \( f(\mathbf{P} + \lambda \mathbf{n}) \). Replace \( \mathbf{P} \) by \( \mathbf{P} + \lambda \mathbf{n} \). Replace \( \mathbf{n} \) by \( \lambda \mathbf{n} \). Done.