

Lecture 14. Gordon's inequality.

① Gordon's inequality.

Theorem [Gordon's inequality] Let S, T be two finite set.

Let $(X_{st})_{s \in S, t \in T}$, $(Y_{st})_{s \in S, t \in T}$ be two

Gaussian processes with $\mathbb{E}[X_{st}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{st}] = 0$, and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[(X_{st_1} - X_{st_2})^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y_{st_1} - Y_{st_2})^2], & \forall t_1, t_2 \in T, s \in S \\ \mathbb{E}[(X_{s_1 t_1} - X_{s_2 t_2})^2] \geq \mathbb{E}[(Y_{s_1 t_1} - Y_{s_2 t_2})^2], & \forall s_1 \neq s_2 \in S, t_1, t_2 \in T. \end{cases}$$

Then ① For any deterministic function $Q(s, t)$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} [X_{st} + Q(s, t)] \right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} [Y_{st} + Q(s, t)] \right\}.$$

② If we further have $\mathbb{E}[X_{st}^2] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{st}^2]$, then

$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, function $Q(s, t)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} (X_{st} + Q(s, t)) \geq \tau \right) \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} (Y_{st} + Q(s, t)) \geq \tau \right).$$

Remark 1: If $|S|=1$, this reduces to Slepian / Sudakov - Fernique.

Remark 2: This thm also holds when S, T are compact subsets of Euclidean spaces, and $Q(s, t)$ is a continuous function.

Proof of ②:

$$\text{Take } f(x) = \prod_{s \in S} \left[1 - \prod_{t \in T} \mathbb{1}\{X_{st} + Q(s, t) \leq \tau\} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(x)] = \mathbb{P} \left(\min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} (X_{st} + Q(s, t)) \geq \tau \right).$$

Want to apply Kahane's inequality.

Problem: $\mathbb{1}\{x \leq \tau\}$ is not smooth.

The $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi(t) = 0$, $t < 0$, $\psi(t) = 1$, $t > 1$, $\psi'(t) \geq 0$.

Take $f_\varepsilon(x) = \prod_{s \in S} \left[1 - \prod_{t \in T} \psi((X_{st} - Q(s,t) - \tau)/\varepsilon) \right]$

Define $n = |S| \cdot |T|$,

$$A = \{ ((s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2)) : s_1 \neq s_2 \in S, t_1, t_2 \in T \}.$$

$$B = \{ ((s, t_1), (s, t_2)) : s \in S, t_1, t_2 \in T \}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall (i, j) \in A, \quad \partial_i \partial_j f(x) \geq 0$$

$$\forall (i, j) \in B, \quad \partial_i \partial_j f(x) \leq 0.$$

Apply Kahane's Lemma (see Lecture 13).

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f_\varepsilon(x)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f_\varepsilon(Y)]$$

$$\text{Take } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} X_{st} + Q(s,t) \geq \tau\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{s \in S} \max_{t \in T} Y_{st} + Q(s,t) \geq \tau\right). \quad \square$$

② Example (Smallest singular value of Gaussian R.M.)

Thm: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m > n$, $A_{ij} \sim \text{iid } N(0, 1)$.

$$\text{Denote } \sigma_{\min}(A) = \min_{u \in S^{m-1}} \max_{v \in S^{n-1}} \langle v, Au \rangle$$

the smallest singular value of matrix A .

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\min}(A)] \geq \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}.$$

Remark: If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $X_{ij} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \frac{1}{m})$, $m > n$,
 $n/m \equiv r$.

we have shown that

$$1 - \sqrt{r} \leq \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\min}(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\max}(X)] \leq 1 + \sqrt{r}.$$

Actually, one can show that a.s.

$$1 - \sqrt{r} = \lim_{\substack{n/m=r \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \sigma_{\min}(X) \leq \lim_{\substack{n/m=r \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \sigma_{\max}(X) = 1 + \sqrt{r}.$$

$$\text{When } m \gg n, \quad \|X^T X - I_n\|_{\text{op}} = \max \{ \sigma_{\max}^2(X) - 1, 1 - \sigma_{\min}^2(X) \} \asymp \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}$$

Proof: $S = S^{m-1}$, $T = S^{n-1}$, $Y_{uv} = \langle u, Av \rangle$.

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uv} - Y_{wz})^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle A^T, vu^T - zw^T \rangle^2] = \|vu^T - zw^T\|_F^2 \\ &= 2 - 2\langle v, z \rangle \langle u, w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The next step requires some creativity.

Define $(X_{uv})_{u,v} \in S \times T$: $X_{uv} = \langle g, u \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle$
 where $g \sim N(0, I_m)$ and $h \sim N(0, I_n)$ $g \perp h$

Then $\mathbb{E}[X_{uv}] = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{wz})^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle g, u-w \rangle^2] + \mathbb{E}[\langle h, v-z \rangle^2] \\ &= \|u-w\|_2^2 + \|v-z\|_2^2 = 4 - 2\langle u, w \rangle - 2\langle v, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{wz})^2] - \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uv} - Y_{wz})^2] &= 2 - 2\langle u, w \rangle - 2\langle v, z \rangle + 2\langle u, w \rangle \langle v, z \rangle \\ &= 2(1 - \langle u, w \rangle)(1 - \langle v, z \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

To apply Gordon, " $\leq$ " is enough

1) When $u = w \in S^{m-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{uz})^2] = \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uv} - Y_{uz})^2].$$

2) When $u \neq w$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{wz})^2] \geq \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uz} - Y_{wz})^2].$$

By Gordon, $\mathbb{E}[\sigma_{\min}(A)] \geq \mathbb{E}[\min_{u \in S^{m-1}} \max_{v \in S^{n-1}} \langle g, u \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\|g\|_2] - \mathbb{E}[\|h\|_2]$$

$\approx \sqrt{m}$ $\approx \sqrt{n}$

$$\geq \sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}.$$

since $\mathbb{E}[\|g\|_2^2] - \sqrt{n}$ is increasing in n

③ Convex Gaussian minimax theorem (CGMT). [Stojnic, 2013]
[Thompson, Oymak, Hassibi, 2015].

Many problems can be written as

$$\Phi(G) \equiv \min_{u \in S_u} \max_{v \in S_v} \langle u, Gv \rangle + \psi(u, v).$$

where $S_u \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $S_v \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $G_{ij} \sim \text{iid } N(0, 1)$.

$$\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Example: LASSO problem

$$y = Ax_0 + w, \quad A_{ij} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n}).$$

$$L \equiv \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1,$$

$$= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, A(x_0 - x) \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1,$$

$$= \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \underbrace{\langle v, Au \rangle}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Need to be rescaled.}}} + \underbrace{\langle v, w \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1}_{\psi(u, v)}.$$

The Gaussian process to be compared with.

$$\phi(g, h) \equiv \min_{u \in S_u} \max_{v \in S_v} \|u\|_2 \langle g, v \rangle + \|v\|_2 \langle h, u \rangle + \psi(u, v).$$

$$g \sim N(0, I_n), \quad h \sim N(0, I_m).$$

Simpler to analyze.

Thm(CGMT). Let $S_u \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, $S_v \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be compact sets, ψ be continuous on $S_u \times S_v$, and $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$, with $G_{ij}, g_j, h_i \sim \text{iid } N(0, 1)$.

$$\text{Define } \Phi(G) = \min_{u \in S_u} \max_{v \in S_v} \langle u, Gv \rangle + \psi(u, v) \quad (P0)$$

$$\phi(g, h) = \min_{u \in S_u} \max_{v \in S_v} \|u\|_2 \langle g, v \rangle + \|v\|_2 \langle h, u \rangle + \psi(u, v) \quad (A0)$$

(a) $\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{P}(\Phi(G) \leq \tau) \leq \underline{2} \mathbb{P}(\phi(g, h) \leq \tau)$$

(b) Further assume that S_u, S_v are convex set

and ψ is convex-concave on $S_u \times S_v$ (Strong duality hold)

Then for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$P(\Phi(G) \geq \tau) \leq 2 P(\phi(g, h) \geq \tau).$$

In particular, $\forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$,

$$P(|\Phi(G) - \mu| > t) \leq 2 \cdot P(|\phi(g, h) - \mu| \geq t).$$

Proof: (a) $X_{uv} = \langle u, Gv \rangle + z \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \quad z \sim N(0, 1).$

$$Y_{uv} = \|u\|_2 \cdot \langle g, v \rangle + \|v\|_2 \cdot \langle h, u \rangle.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{uv}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}] = 0.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{uv}^2] = \|uv^T\|_F^2 + \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 = 2 \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}^2] = \|v\|_2^2 \|u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 = 2 \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2.$$

$$\Delta \equiv \left\{ \mathbb{E}[(Y_{u_1 v_1} - Y_{u_2 v_2})^2] - \mathbb{E}[(X_{u_1 v_1} - X_{u_2 v_2})^2] \right\} / 2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X_{u_1 v_1} X_{u_2 v_2}] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{u_1 v_1} Y_{u_2 v_2}]$$

$$= \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle + \|u_1\|_2 \|u_2\|_2 \|v_1\|_2 \|v_2\|_2 \\ - \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \|v_1\|_2 \|v_2\|_2 - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \|u_1\|_2 \|u_2\|_2$$

$$= (\|u_1\|_2 \|u_2\|_2 - \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle) (\|v_1\|_2 \|v_2\|_2 - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle).$$

1) When $u_1 = u_2$, $\Delta = 0$, "≤ 0" is enough to apply Gordon.

2) When $u_1 \neq u_2$, $\Delta \geq 0$.

By Gordon:

$$P(\phi(g, h) \geq \tau)$$

$$P(\min_u \max_v (X_{uv} + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau) \leq \underbrace{P(\min_u \max_v (Y_{uv} + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau)}.$$

$$P(\Phi(G) \geq \tau) = P(\min_u \max_v (\langle v, Gu \rangle + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau \mid z < 0)$$

$$\leq P(\min_u \max_v (\langle v, Gu \rangle + z \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau \mid z < 0)$$

$$= 2 \cdot P(\min_u \max_v (\langle v, Gu \rangle + z \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau, z < 0)$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot P(\min_u \max_v (X_{uv} + \psi(u, v)) \geq \tau)$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot P(\phi(g, h) \geq \tau).$$

(b) When S_u, S_v are convex and $\psi(u, v)$ is convex-concave.

Strong duality holds.

$$\min_{u \in S_u} \max_{v \in S_v} \langle v, Gu \rangle + \psi(u, v) = \max_{v \in S_v} \min_{u \in S_u} \langle v, Gu \rangle + \psi(u, v)$$

$$= - \min_{v \in S_v} \max_{u \in S_u} \left[\langle u, \underbrace{(-G)v}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Gaussian RM.}}} \rangle + \psi(u, v) \right]$$

Apply Gordon to this

□.

④ Example: LASSO problem.

$$L \equiv \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, Au \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1.$$

$$= \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, Gu \rangle / \sqrt{n} + \langle v, w \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1$$

CGMT

$$\approx \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|u\|_2 \langle g, v \rangle / \sqrt{n} + \|v\|_2 \langle h, u \rangle / \sqrt{n} + \langle v, w \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad & \max_v \left[\langle a, v \rangle + b \|v\|_2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 \right] \\ & = \max_{t \geq 0} \left[(\|a\|_2 + b)t - \frac{1}{2} t^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\|a\|_2 + b)_+^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \left(\| \|u\|_2 g / \sqrt{n} + w \|_2 + \langle h, u \rangle / \sqrt{n} \right)_+^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1$$

$$\stackrel{\text{d}}{=} \textcircled{2} \quad \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{n} + \sigma^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{g}\|_2 + \langle h, u \rangle / \sqrt{n} \right)_+^2 + \lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \quad & g \sim N(0, I_n) \\ & w \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n) \\ & \tilde{g} \sim N(0, I_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{w.h.p} \quad 1 - \varepsilon \leq \|\tilde{g}\| / \sqrt{n} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

$$\approx \textcircled{3} \quad n \times \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{n} + \sigma^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\langle h, u \rangle}{n} \right)_+^2 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \|x_0 - u\|_1 \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{4} \quad & \frac{\|u\|_2^2}{n} = \zeta \\ & \frac{\langle h, u \rangle}{n} = e \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{4} \quad & = n \times \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\min_{\zeta \geq 0, e} \max_{\mu, \nu} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left((\zeta + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e \right)_+^2 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \|x_0 - u\|_1 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\mu}{2} \left(\frac{\|u\|_2^2}{n} - \zeta \right) - \nu \left(\frac{\langle h, u \rangle}{n} - e \right) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

assume
exchangeable

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{5} \quad & \arg \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\lambda \|x_0 - u\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|u\|_2^2 - \nu \langle h, u \rangle \right] \\ & = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[\|x - x_0 - \frac{\nu}{\mu} h\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \|x\|_1 \right] - x_0 \\ & = \eta \left(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} h ; \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \right) - x_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{5}}{=} n \times \min_{\substack{\zeta \geq 0 \\ e}} \max_{\mu, \nu} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left((\zeta + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e \right)_+^2 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} h; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})\|_1 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\mu}{2} \left[\frac{\|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} h; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - x_0\|_2^2}{n} - \zeta \right] - \nu \left[\frac{\langle \eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} h; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - x_0, h \rangle}{n} - e \right] \right\}$$

⑥ uniform Law of large numbers

$$d \rightarrow \infty, \quad n/d \rightarrow \delta, \quad \|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} h; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})\|_1/d \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})|].$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{6}}{\approx} n \times \min_{\substack{\zeta \geq 0 \\ e}} \max_{\mu, \nu} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left((\zeta + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e \right)_+^2 + \lambda \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})|] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\mu}{2} \left[\delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - x_0\|_2^2] - \zeta \right] - \nu \left[\delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[(\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - x_0) G] - e \right] \right\}$$

Taking derivative w.r.t. ζ, e, μ, ν and ignore $(\cdot)_+^2$
(Can be verified)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu + (\zeta + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e = 0 \\ -\frac{\mu}{2} + ((\zeta + \sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e) \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta + \sigma^2}} = 0 \\ e = \delta^{-1} \frac{\nu}{\mu} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\eta'(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu})] \\ \zeta = \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[(\eta(x_0 + \frac{\nu}{\mu} G; \frac{\lambda}{\mu}) - x_0)^2] \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Take } \tau^2 = \sigma^2 + \zeta$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{\tau + e}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu = -(\tau + e)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\tau + e}{\tau}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda = \alpha \cdot \tau \cdot (1 - \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\eta'(x_0 + \tau G; \alpha \tau)]) \\ \tau^2 = \sigma^2 + \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[(\eta(x_0 + \tau G; \alpha \tau) - x_0)^2] \end{array} \right.$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{n} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^2}{2\alpha_x^2} + \lambda \delta^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|\eta(x_0 + \tau_x G; \alpha_x \tau_x)|]$$

⑤ Technical steps in applying CGMT.

(A) Figure out how to transform the original problem to primary problem.

(B) Figure out the auxiliary optimization problem.

(C) Calculate the auxiliary problem.

(D) Extract information from the auxiliary problem.