

Lecture 13: Gaussian comparison inequalities.

- ① Motivation.
- ② Slepian's inequality and Sudakov-Fernique inequality.
- ③ Examples: Wishart matrix and spiked GOE matrix.
- ③ Proof of Slepian's inequality.
- ④ Proof of Sudakov-Fernique if time permitted.

① Motivating example: the LASSO problem

Signal: $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Noise: $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Sensing matrix: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.

Response: $y = Ax_0 + w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A_{ij} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \frac{1}{n})$, $w_i \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma^2)$, important.

LASSO estimator: $\hat{x} = \arg \min_x \frac{1}{2n} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \|x\|_1$,

Goal: derive $m_\# \equiv \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \psi(\hat{x}_i, x_{0,i}) \right]$ when $n/d \rightarrow \delta$.

Free energy approach ($\beta = \infty$): the perturbed LASSO objective.

$$f(h) \equiv \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\min_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{n} \|x\|_1 + h \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \psi(x_i, x_{0,i}) \right\} \right].$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(0) = m_\#. \quad (\text{need to argue why limit and derivative can be exchanged}).$$

Question: how to derive $f(h)$?

$$\text{Intuition: } F = \min_x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1 \right\}.$$

$$= \min_x \max_v \left\langle \underbrace{A(x_0 - x)}_{\text{Gaussians}} + \underbrace{w}_{\text{fixed}}, v \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$$

$$\Phi(x, v) \equiv \left\langle A(x_0 - x) + w, v \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$$

Gaussian process on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n$ indexed by x, v .

Need some machinery to analyze the extremum of Gaussian processes.

Gaussian comparison inequalities.

② Slepian's inequality and its applications.

Definition (Gaussian process). A stochastic process $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ is called Gaussian process, if $\forall$ finite subset $T_0 \subset T$, the random vector has normal distribution.

Remark: a Gaussian process can be completely characterized by its mean function $\mu(t) = \mathbb{E}[X_t]$ and covariance function $\Sigma(t, s) = \mathbb{E}[X_t X_s]$. We will often consider continuous Gaussian process where X_t is a continuous function of t a.s., and T is a separable space. This leads to $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in T} X_t] = \sup_{\substack{T_0 \subset T \\ \text{finite}}} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in T_0} X_t]$.

Example: $X_t = \langle t, g \rangle$, $t \in S^{n-1}$, $g \sim N(0, I_d)$.

Theorem [Slepian's inequality and Sudakov-Fernique's inequality].

Let $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ and $(Y_t)_{t \in T}$ be two Gaussian processes with $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t]$,

and $\mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y_t - Y_s)^2]$. Then.

① $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in T} X_t] \leq \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in T} Y_t]$. [Sudakov-Fernique] expectation bound

② If additionally we have $\mathbb{E}[X_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t^2]$

$\Rightarrow \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \in T} X_t \geq \tau) \leq \mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \in T} Y_t \geq \tau)$. [Slepian].
may give a good concentration bound.

③ Example 1 (Norm of Gaussian random matrices).

Proposition: Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $A_{ij} \sim \text{iid. } N(0, 1)$.

Then $\mathbb{E}[\|A\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$.

(So when $m \propto n$, $\mathbb{E}[\|A/\sqrt{n}\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} + 1 = O(1)$).

Proof: Variational representation of operator norm

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \max_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} \langle u, A^T v \rangle = \max_{(u,v) \in T} \underbrace{X_{uv}}_{\text{Gaussian process}}$$

where $T = S^{n-1} \times S^{m-1}$, $X_{uv} = \langle u, A^T v \rangle$.

$\mathbb{E}[X_{uv}] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[X_{uv}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\langle A, v u^T \rangle^2] = \|u v^T\|_F^2 = \|u\|_2^2 \|v\|_2^2 = 1$.

$\mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{wz})^2] = \mathbb{E}[\langle A, v u^T - z w^T \rangle^2] = \|v u^T - z w^T\|_F^2$
 $= 2 - 2 \langle v, z \rangle \langle u, w \rangle$.

The next step requires some creativity.

Define $(Y_{uv})_{u,v \in T} : Y_{uv} = \langle g, u \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle$
 where $g \sim N(0, I_n)$ and $h \sim N(0, I_m)$. $g \perp h$

Then $\mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[Y_{uv}^2] = \mathbb{E}[\langle g, u \rangle^2] + \mathbb{E}[\langle h, v \rangle^2] = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uv} - Y_{wz})^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle g, u-w \rangle^2] + \mathbb{E}[\langle h, v-z \rangle^2] \\ &= \|u-w\|_2^2 + \|v-z\|_2^2 = 4 - 2\langle u, w \rangle - 2\langle v, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(Y_{uv} - Y_{wz})^2] - \mathbb{E}[(X_{uv} - X_{wz})^2] &= 2 - 2\langle u, w \rangle - 2\langle v, z \rangle + 2\langle u, w \rangle \langle v, z \rangle \\ &= 2 \underbrace{(1 - \langle u, w \rangle)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(1 - \langle v, z \rangle)}_{\geq 0} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Sudakov - Fernique inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|A\|_{op}] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{u \in S^{n-1} \\ v \in S^{m-1}}} \langle g, u \rangle + \langle h, v \rangle\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|g\|_2] + \mathbb{E}[\|h\|_2] \stackrel{\text{quite tight.}}{\leq} \mathbb{E}[\|g\|_2^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbb{E}[\|h\|_2^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

★ The key is to smartly find a Gaussian process Y_{uv} .

Example 2 (The spiked GOE matrix).

Proposition: $\theta \in S^{n-1}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $W \sim \text{GOE}(n)$. ($W_{ii} \sim N(0, \frac{2}{n})$, $W_{ij} \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$)

$Y = \lambda \theta \theta^T + W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Denote $\lambda_{\max}(Y) = \sup_{\phi \in S^{n-1}} \langle \phi, Y \phi \rangle$.

$$\text{Then } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{op}] \leq \begin{cases} 2, & \lambda < 1 \\ \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}, & \lambda \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: $\lambda_{\max}(Y) = \sup_{t \in S^{n-1}} \underbrace{\langle t, W t \rangle + \lambda \langle t, \theta \rangle^2}_{X_t}$

$$Y_t = \frac{2}{n} \langle g, t \rangle + \lambda \langle t, \theta \rangle^2. \quad g \sim N(0, I_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\langle W, t t^T - s s^T \rangle^2] \quad G_{ij} \sim N(0, 1) \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}[\langle G, t t^T - s s^T \rangle^2] \\ &= \frac{2}{n} \|t t^T - s s^T\|_F^2 = \frac{4}{n} (1 - \langle t, s \rangle^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(Y_t - Y_s)^2] = \frac{4}{n} \mathbb{E}[\langle g, t-s \rangle^2] = \frac{4}{n} \|t-s\|_2^2 = \frac{4}{n}(2 - 2\langle t, s \rangle)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_t - X_s)^2] - \mathbb{E}[(Y_t - Y_s)^2] = \frac{4}{n}(1 - \langle t, s \rangle^2) \geq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in S^{n-1}} Y_t\right].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in S^{n-1}} Y_t &\leq \inf_{\zeta > \lambda} \sup_t \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \langle g, t \rangle + \lambda \langle t, \theta \rangle^2 - \zeta (\|t\|_2^2 - 1) \right] \\ &= \inf_{\zeta > \lambda} \left[\frac{1}{n} \langle g, (\zeta I - \lambda \theta \theta^T)^{-1} g \rangle + \zeta \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\inf_{\zeta} Z(\zeta)] \leq \inf_{\zeta} \mathbb{E}[Z(\zeta)].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in T} Y_t\right] \leq \inf_{\zeta > \lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \langle g, (\zeta I - \lambda \theta \theta^T)^{-1} g \rangle + \zeta\right] \\ &= \inf_{\zeta > \lambda} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[(\zeta I - \lambda \theta \theta^T)^{-1}] + \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{One way: solve } \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[(\zeta I - \lambda \theta \theta^T)^{-2}] = 1.$$

Some cruder bound:

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ When } \lambda = 0, \text{ take } \zeta = 1, \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\|W\|_{\text{op}}] \leq 2.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ When } \lambda < 1, \text{ take } \zeta = 1, \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} + 1 = 2 + \frac{\lambda}{n(1-\lambda)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] \leq 2$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ When } \lambda \geq 1, \text{ take } \zeta = \lambda + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{\lambda + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} + \lambda + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|Y\|_{\text{op}}] \leq \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

□

④ Proof of Slepian's inequality.

★ Lemma: [Kahane, 1986].

Let $X = (X_i)_{i \in [n]}$ and $Y = (Y_i)_{i \in [n]}$ be two Gaussian vectors, with $\mathbb{E}X = \mathbb{E}Y$.

Denote $A \equiv \{(j, k) \in [n]^2 : \mathbb{E}[X_j X_k] < \mathbb{E}[Y_j Y_k]\}$.

$B \equiv \{(j, k) \in [n]^2 : \mathbb{E}[X_j X_k] > \mathbb{E}[Y_j Y_k]\}$.

Let $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $(\mathbb{E}[\partial_i \partial_j f(x)])$ can be well defined for Gaussian X .

$$\begin{cases} \partial_j \partial_k f(x) \geq 0, & (j, k) \in A, \\ \partial_j \partial_k f(x) \leq 0, & (j, k) \in B. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\mathbb{E}[f(x)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(Y)]$.

Proof idea: interpolation method.

Proof: W.L.O.G, we assume that $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$.

Define $Z(\theta) = X \cos \theta + Y \sin \theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

and $\varphi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[f(Z(\theta))]$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)], \quad \varphi(1) = \mathbb{E}[f(Y)]$.

Hope to show $\varphi'(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in [0, \pi/2]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(Z(\theta)), Z'(\theta) \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-\sin \theta \mathbb{E}[X_i \partial_i f(Z(\theta))] + \cos \theta \mathbb{E}[Y_i \partial_i f(Z(\theta))] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Integration by part:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i \partial_i f(X \cos \theta + Y \sin \theta)] = \cos \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \mathbb{E}[\partial_i \partial_j f(Z(\theta))].$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i \partial_i f(X \cos \theta + Y \sin \theta)] = \sin \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Y_i Y_j] \mathbb{E}[\partial_i \partial_j f(Z(\theta))].$$

$$= \cos \theta \cdot \sin \theta \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\partial_i \partial_j f(Z(\theta))] \left\{ \mathbb{E}[Y_i Y_j] - \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \right\} \geq 0$$

By assumption $\square$

Remark: If $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = \mu \neq 0$, just define $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x - \mu)$.

Corollary: Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be n -dimensional Gaussian random vectors, with $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$. Assume that $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2]$, $\forall i \in [n]$, and $\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y_i Y_j]$ $\forall i, j \in [n]$.

Then

(1) For any real $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i < \eta_i, \forall i) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y_i < \eta_i, \forall i),$$

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i \in [n]} X_i \geq \eta\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i \in [n]} Y_i \geq \eta\right).$$

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i \in [n]} X_i\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{i \in [n]} Y_i\right].$$

Proof: Clearly $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$.

To prove (1), conceptually we want to take

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i < \eta_i\}}, \quad \text{Not smooth.}$$

The $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi(t) = 0$, $t < 0$, $\psi(t) = 1$, $t > 1$, $\psi'(t) \geq 0$.

Take $f_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \psi((x_i - \eta_i)/\varepsilon) \Rightarrow \partial_i \partial_j f_\varepsilon \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f_\varepsilon(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[f_\varepsilon(Y)]$$

$\Rightarrow$ send $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and apply monotone convergence thm. $\square$

Remark: For $(X_t)_{t \in T}$ Gaussian process,

when T is finite, it directly gives the theorem.

When T is uncountable but separable (has a countable dense

subset), when X_t is a continuous Gaussian process,

$$\text{we have} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in T} X_t\right] = \sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \\ \text{finite}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in T_0} X_t\right].$$

⑤ Proof of Sudakov - Fernique.

Proposition [Sudakov-Fernique's inequality].

Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be two Gaussian random vectors with $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$,
and $\mathbb{E}[(X_i - X_j)^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[(Y_i - Y_j)^2]$. Then.

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_{i \in [n]} X_i] \leq \mathbb{E}[\max_{i \in [n]} Y_i].$$

Proof: Let $\mu = \mathbb{E}X = \mathbb{E}Y$.

Define $\tilde{X} = X - \mu$, $\tilde{Y} = Y - \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

and $Z(\theta) \equiv \cos\theta \cdot \tilde{X} + \sin\theta \cdot \tilde{Y} + \mu$

Fix $\beta > 0$, define $F_\beta: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$F_\beta(x) \equiv \beta^{-1} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\beta x_i} \right).$$

For $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, let $\varphi(t) = \mathbb{E}[F_\beta(Z(\theta))]$.

$$\varphi'(\theta) = \cos\theta \sin\theta \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} (Z(\theta)) \right] \cdot \{ \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_j] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j] \}$$

↑
same calculation as before

Define $p_i(x) = \partial_{x_i} F_\beta(x) = \frac{e^{\beta x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{\beta x_j}}$, prob dist on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) = \begin{cases} \beta (p_i(x) - p_i(x)^2) & \text{if } i=j \\ -\beta p_i(x) p_j(x) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x) \{ \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_j] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j] \} \\ &= \underbrace{\beta \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(x) [\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_i^2] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_i^2]]}_{\text{blue}} - \beta \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i(x) p_j(x) [\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_i \tilde{Y}_j] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_i \tilde{X}_j]] \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i(x) p_j(x) [\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_i^2] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_i^2] + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{Y}_j^2] - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{X}_j^2]] \quad \text{blue} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i(x) p_j(x) [\mathbb{E}[(Y_i - Y_j)^2] - \mathbb{E}[(X_i - X_j)^2]] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[F_\beta(X)] \leq \mathbb{E}[F_\beta(Y)].$$

$$\beta \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\max_{i \in [n]} X_i] \leq \mathbb{E}[\max_{i \in [n]} Y_i].$$

□