## [Lecture 2]

## 0.1 Minimum Distance Functionals

In the previous section we saw that simple approaches to handling outliers in high-dimensional data, such as the trimmed mean, incur a  $\sqrt{d}$  error. We will avoid this error using *minimum distance functionals*, an idea which seems to have first appeared in ?.

**Definition 0.1** (Minimum distance functional). For a family  $\mathcal{G}$  and discrepancy D, the minimum distance functional is

$$\hat{\theta}(\tilde{p}) = \theta^*(q) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\theta} L(q,\theta), \text{ where } q = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{q \in \mathcal{G}} D(q,\tilde{p}).$$
(1)

In other words,  $\hat{\theta}$  is the parameters obtained by first projecting  $\tilde{p}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}$  under D, and then outputting the optimal parameters for the resulting distribution.

An attractive property of the minimum-distance functional is that it does not depend on the perturbation level  $\epsilon$ . More importantly, it satisfies the following cost bound in terms of the *modulus of continuity* of  $\mathcal{G}$ :

**Proposition 0.2.** Suppose D is a pseudometric. Then the cost  $L(p^*, \hat{\theta}(\tilde{p}))$  of the minimum distance functional is at most the maximum loss between any pair of distributions in  $\mathcal{G}$  of distance at most  $2\epsilon$ :

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}, 2\epsilon, D, L) \triangleq \sup_{p,q \in \mathcal{G}: D(p,q) \le 2\epsilon} L(p, \theta^*(q)).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The quantity  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called the modulus of continuity because, if we think of  $L(p, \theta^*(q))$  as a discrepancy between distributions, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the constant of continuity between L and D when restricted to pairs of nearby distributions in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Specialize again to the case  $D = \mathsf{TV}$  and  $L(p^*, \theta) = \|\theta - \mu(p^*)\|_2$  (here we allow  $p^*$  to be a distribution over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  rather than just  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Then the modulus is  $\sup_{p,q \in \mathcal{G}: \mathsf{TV}(p,q) \leq 2\epsilon} \|\mu(p) - \mu(q)\|_2$ . As a concrete example, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the family of Gaussian distributions with unknown mean  $\mu$  and identity covariance. For this family, the TV distance is essentially linear in the difference in mean:

**Lemma 0.3.** Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, I)$  denote a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu$  and identity covariance. Then

$$\min(u/2,1)/\sqrt{2\pi} \le \mathsf{TV}(\mathcal{N}(\mu,I),\mathcal{N}(\mu',I)) \le \min(u/\sqrt{2\pi},1),\tag{3}$$

where  $u = \|\mu - \mu'\|_2$ .

*Proof.* By rotational and translational symmetry, it suffices to consider the case of one-dimensional Gaussians  $\mathcal{N}(-u/2, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(u/2, 1)$ . Then we have that

$$\mathsf{TV}(\mathcal{N}(-u/2,1),\mathcal{N}(u/2,1)) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{-(t+u/2)^2/2} - e^{-(t-u/2)^2/2}|dt$$
(4)

$$\stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-u/2}^{u/2} e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$
 (5)

(The equality (i) is a couple lines of algebra, but is easiest to see by drawing a graph of the two Gaussians and cancelling out most of the probability mass.)

For the lower bound, note that  $e^{-t^2/2} \ge \frac{1}{2}$  if  $|t| \le 1$ .

For the upper bound, similarly note that  $e^{-t^2/2} \leq 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and also that the entire integral must be at most 1 because it is the probability density of a Gaussian.

Lemma 0.3 allows us to compute the modulus for Gaussians:

**Corollary 0.4.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{gauss}$  be the family of isotropic Gaussians,  $D = \mathsf{TV}$ , and L the difference in means as above. Then  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}_{gauss}, \epsilon, D, L) \leq 2\sqrt{2\pi}\epsilon$  whenever  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}$ .

In particular, by Proposition 0.2 the minimum distance functional achieves error  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  for Gaussian distributions when  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}}$ . This improves substantially on the  $\epsilon\sqrt{d}$  error of the trimmed mean estimator from Section ??. We have achieved our goal at least for Gaussians.

More general families. Taking  $\mathcal{G}$  to be Gaussians is restrictive, as it assumes that  $p^*$  has a specific parametric form—counter to our goal of being robust! However, the modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$  is bounded for much more general families. As one example, we can take the distributions with bounded covariance (compare to Proposition ??):

**Lemma 0.5.** Let  $\mathcal{G}_{cov}(\sigma)$  be the family of distributions whose covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  satisfies  $\Sigma \preceq \sigma^2 I$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}_{cov}(\sigma), \epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma\sqrt{\epsilon}).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $p, q \in \mathcal{G}_{cov}(\sigma)$  such that  $\mathsf{TV}(p, q) \leq \epsilon$ . This means that we can get from p to q by first deleting  $\epsilon$  mass from p and then adding  $\epsilon$  new points to end up at q. Put another way, there is a distribution r that can be reached from both p and q by deleting  $\epsilon$  mass (and then renormalizing). In fact, this distribution is exactly

$$r = \frac{\min(p,q)}{1 - \mathsf{TV}(p,q)}.$$
(6)

Since r can be obtained from both p and q by deletions, we can make use of the following multi-dimensional analogue of Chebyshev's inequality (Lemma ??):

**Lemma 0.6** (Chebyshev in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). Suppose that p has mean  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma \preceq \sigma^2 I$ . Then, if E is any event with probability at least  $\delta$ , we have  $\|\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[X \mid E] - \mu\|_2 \leq \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\delta)}{\delta}}$ .

As a consequence, we have  $\|\mu(r) - \mu(p)\|_2 \leq \sigma \sqrt{2\epsilon/(1-\epsilon)}$  and  $\|\mu(r) - \mu(q)\|_2 \leq \sigma \sqrt{2\epsilon/(1-\epsilon)}$  (since r can be obtained from either p or q by conditioning on an event of probability  $1-\epsilon$ ). By triangle inequality and assuming  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\|\mu(p) - \mu(q)\|_2 \leq 4\sigma\sqrt{\epsilon}$ , as claimed.

As a consequence, the minimum distance functional robustly estimates the mean bounded covariance distributions with error  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma\sqrt{\epsilon})$ , generalizing the 1-dimensional bound obtained by the trimmed mean.

In Lemma 0.5, the two key properties we needed were:

- The *midpoint property* of TV distance (i.e., that there existed an r that was a deletion of p and q).
- The bounded tails guaranteed by Chebyshev's inequality.

If we replace bounded covariance distributions with any other family that has tails bounded in a similar way, then the minimum distance functional will similarly yield good bounds. A general family of distributions satisfying this property are *resilience distributions*, which we turn to next.

## 0.2 Resilience

Here we generalize Lemma 0.5 to prove that the modulus of continuity  $\mathfrak{m}$  is bounded for a general family of distributions containing Gaussians, sub-Gaussians, bounded covariance distributions, and many others. The main observation is that in the proof of Lemma 0.5, all we needed was that the tails of distributions in  $\mathcal{G}$  were bounded, in the sense that deleting an  $\epsilon$ -fraction of the points could not substantially change the mean. This motivates the following definition:

**Definition 0.7.** A distribution p over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient (with respect to some norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ) if

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[X \mid E] - \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[X]\| \le \rho \text{ for all events } E \text{ with } p(E) \ge 1 - \epsilon.$$
(7)

We let  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(\rho, \epsilon)$  denote the family of  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient distributions.

We observe that  $\mathcal{G}_{cov}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{G}_{TV}(\sigma\sqrt{2\epsilon/(1-\epsilon)},\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon$  by Lemma 0.6; in other words, bounded covariance distributions are resilient. We can also show that  $\mathcal{G}_{gauss} \subset \mathcal{G}_{TV}(2\epsilon\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)},\epsilon)$ , so Gaussians are resilient as well.

Resilient distributions always have bounded modulus:

**Theorem 0.8.** The modulus of continuity  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}, 2\epsilon)$  satisfies the bound

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(\rho,\epsilon), 2\epsilon) \le 2\rho \tag{8}$$

whenever  $\epsilon < 1/2$ .

*Proof.* As in Lemma 0.5, the key idea is that any two distributions p, q that are close in TV have a *midpoint* distribution  $r = \frac{\min(p,q)}{1-\mathsf{TV}(p,q)}$  that is a deletion of both distributions). This midpoint distribution connects the two distributions, and it follows from the triangle inequality that the modulus of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}$ . is bounded. We illustrate this idea in Figure 1 and make it precise below.



$$p \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}} \Longrightarrow \|\mu(p) - \mu(r)\| \le \rho$$
  
$$q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}} \Longrightarrow \|\mu(q) - \mu(r)\| \le \rho$$

Figure 1: Midpoint distribution r helps bound the modulus for  $\mathcal{G}_{TV}$ .

Recall that

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(\rho,\epsilon), 2\epsilon) = \sup_{p,q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(\rho,\epsilon): \mathsf{TV}(p,q) \le 2\epsilon} \|\mu(p) - \mu(q)\|.$$
(9)

From  $\mathsf{TV}(p,q) \leq 2\epsilon$ , we know that  $r = \frac{\min(p,q)}{1-\mathsf{TV}(p,q)}$  can be obtained from either p and q by conditioning on an event of probability  $1 - \epsilon$ . It then follows from  $p, q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(\rho, \epsilon)$  that  $\|\mu(p) - \mu(r)\| \leq \epsilon$  and similarly  $\|\mu(q) - \mu(r)\| \leq \epsilon$ . Thus by the triangle inequality  $\|\mu(p) - \mu(q)\| \leq 2\rho$ , which yields the desired result.  $\Box$ 

We have seen so far that resilient distributions have bounded modulus, and that both Gaussian and bounded covariance distributions are resilient. The bound on the modulus for  $\mathcal{G}_{cov}$  that is implied by resilience is optimal  $(\mathcal{O}(\sigma\sqrt{\epsilon}))$ , while for  $\mathcal{G}_{gauss}$  it is optimal up to log factors  $(\mathcal{O}(\epsilon\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)}) \text{ vs. } \mathcal{O}(\epsilon))$ . In fact, Gaussians are a special case and resilience yields an essentially optimal bound at least for most non-parametric families of distributions. As one family of examples, consider distributions with bounded *Orlicz norm*:

**Definition 0.9** (Orlicz norm). A function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is an *Orlicz function* if  $\psi$  is convex, non-decreasing, and satisfies  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ . For an Orlicz function  $\psi$ , the Orlicz norm or  $\psi$ -norm of a random variable X is defined as

$$\|X\|_{\psi} \triangleq \inf\left\{t > 0 : \mathbb{E}_p\left[\psi\left(\frac{|X|}{t}\right)\right] \le 1\right\}.$$
(10)

We let  $\mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  denote the family of distributions with  $||X - \mathbb{E}[X]||_{\psi} \leq \sigma$ .

As special cases, we say that a random variable  $X \sim p$  is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma$  if  $||\langle X - \mathbb{E}_p[X], v \rangle||_{\psi_2} \leq \sigma$  whenever  $||v||_2 \leq 1$ , where  $\psi_2(x) = e^{x^2} - 1$ . We define a sub-exponential random variable similarly for the function  $\psi_1(x) = e^x - 1$ .

Definition 0.9 applies to distributions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , but we can generalize this to distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by taking one-dimensional projections:

**Definition 0.10** (Orlicz norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). For a random variable  $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and Orlicz function  $\psi$ , we define the *d*-dimensional  $\psi$ -norm as

$$\|X\|_{\psi} \triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : \|\langle X, v \rangle\|_{\psi} \le t \text{ whenever } \|v\|_{2} \le 1\}.$$
(11)

We let  $\mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  denote the distributions with bounded  $\psi$ -norm as in Definition 0.9.

Thus a distribution has bounded  $\psi$ -norm if each of its 1-dimensional projections does. As an example,  $\mathcal{G}_{cov}(\sigma) = \mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  for  $\psi(x) = x^2$ , so Orlicz norms generalize bounded covariance. It is also possible to generalize Definition 0.10 to norms other than the  $\ell_2$ -norm, which we will see in an exercise.

Functions with bounded Orlicz norm are resilient:

**Lemma 0.11.** The family  $\mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{TV}}(2\sigma\epsilon\psi^{-1}(1/\epsilon),\epsilon)$  for all  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ . For any event E with  $p(E) = 1 - \epsilon' \ge 1 - \epsilon$ , denote its complement as  $E^c$ . We then have

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[X \mid E]\|_{2} \stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{\epsilon'}{1 - \epsilon'} \|\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[X \mid E^{c}]\|_{2}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon'}{1 - \epsilon'} \sup_{\|v\|_2 \le 1} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[\langle X, v \rangle \mid E^c]$$
(13)

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{\epsilon'}{1-\epsilon'} \sup_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1} \sigma \psi^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[\psi(|\langle X, v \rangle|/\sigma) \mid E^c])$$
(14)

$$\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \frac{\epsilon'}{1-\epsilon'} \sup_{\|v\|_2 \leq 1} \sigma \psi^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[\psi(|\langle X, v \rangle|/\sigma)]/\epsilon')$$
(15)

$$\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} \frac{\epsilon'}{1-\epsilon'} \sigma \psi^{-1}(1/\epsilon') \leq 2\epsilon \sigma \psi^{-1}(1/\epsilon), \tag{16}$$

as was to be shown. Here (i) is because  $(1 - \epsilon')\mathbb{E}[X \mid E] + \epsilon'\mathbb{E}[X \mid E^c] = 0$ . Meanwhile (ii) is by convexity of  $\psi$ , (iii) is by non-negativity of  $\psi$ , and (iv) is the assumed  $\psi$ -norm bound.

As a consequence, the modulus  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{\psi}(\sigma)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma\epsilon\psi^{-1}(1/\epsilon))$ , and hence the minimum distance functional estimates the mean with error  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma\epsilon\psi^{-1}(1/\epsilon))$ . Note that for  $\psi(x) = x^2$  this reproduces our result for bounded covariance. For  $\psi(x) = x^k$  we get error  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma\epsilon^{1-1/k})$  when a distribution has kth moments bounded by  $\sigma^k$ . Similarly for sub-Gaussian distributions we get error  $\mathcal{O}(\sigma\epsilon\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)})$ . We will show in an exercise that the error bound implied by Lemma 0.11 is optimal for any Orlicz function  $\psi$ .

**Further properties and dual norm perspective.** Having seen several examples of resilient distributions, we now collect some basic properties of resilience, as well as a dual perspective that is often fruitful. First, we can make the connection between resilience and tails even more precise with the following lemma:

**Lemma 0.12.** For a fixed vector v, let  $\tau_{\epsilon}(v)$  denote the  $\epsilon$ -quantile of  $\langle x - \mu, v \rangle$ :  $\mathbb{P}_{x \sim p}[\langle x - \mu, v \rangle \geq \tau_{\epsilon}(v)] = \epsilon$ . Then, p is  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient in a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  if and only if the  $\epsilon$ -tail of p has bounded mean when projected onto any dual unit vector v:

$$\mathbb{E}_p[\langle x - \mu, v \rangle \mid \langle x - \mu, v \rangle \ge \tau_{\epsilon}(v)] \le \frac{1 - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \rho \text{ whenever } \|v\|_* \le 1.$$
(17)

In particular, the  $\epsilon$ -quantile satisfies  $\tau_{\epsilon}(v) \leq \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}\rho$ .

In other words, if we project onto any unit vector v in the dual norm, the  $\epsilon$ -tail of  $x - \mu$  must have mean at most  $\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}\rho$ . Lemma 0.12 is proved in Section ??.

The intuition for Lemma 0.12 is the following picture, which is helpful to keep in mind more generally:

Specifically, letting  $\hat{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[X \mid E]$ , if we have  $\|\hat{\mu} - \mu\| = \rho$ , then there must be some dual norm unit vector v such that  $\langle \hat{\mu} - \mu, v \rangle = \rho$  and  $\|v\|_* = 1$ . Moreover, for such a v,  $\langle \hat{\mu} - \mu, v \rangle$  will be largest when E consists of the  $(1 - \epsilon)$ -fraction of points for which  $\langle X - \mu, v \rangle$  is largest. Therefore, resilience reduces to a 1-dimensional problem along each of the dual unit vectors v.

A related result establishes that for  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , resilience in a norm is equivalent to having bounded first moments in the dual norm (see Section ?? for a proof):

**Lemma 0.13.** Suppose that p is  $(\rho, \frac{1}{2})$ -resilient in a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|_*$  be the dual norm. Then p has 1st moments bounded by  $2\rho$ :  $\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p}[|\langle x-\mu,v\rangle|] \leq 2\rho \|v\|_*$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Conversely, if p has 1st moments bounded by  $\rho$ , it is  $(2\rho, \frac{1}{2})$ -resilient.



Figure 2: The optimal set T discards the smallest  $\epsilon |S|$  elements projected onto a dual unit vector v.

**Recap.** We saw that the error of the trimmed mean grew as  $\sqrt{d}$  in d dimensions, and introduced an alternative estimator-the minimum distance functional-that achieves better error. Specifically, it achieves error  $2\rho$  for the family of  $(\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient distributions, which includes all distributions with bounded Orlicz norm (including bounded covariance, bounded moments, and sub-Gaussians).

The definition of resilience is important not just as an analysis tool. Without it, we would need a different estimator for each of the cases of bounded covariance, sub-Gaussian, etc., since the minimum distance functional depends on the family  $\mathcal{G}$ . Instead, we can always project onto the resilient family  $\mathcal{G}_{TV}(\rho, \epsilon)$  and be confident that this will typically yield an optimal error bound. The only complication is that projection still depends on the parameters  $\rho$  and  $\epsilon$ ; however, we can do without knowledge of either one of the parameters as long as we know the other.