

Efficient Algorithms for Robust Linear Regression

Logistics: Pset 3 now posted (due March 9th)
Lecture notes updated through Lecture 14

Continuing resilience beyond TV distance

Last time:

- Showed hypercontractivity + bounded noise \Rightarrow resilience for lin reg.

$$\mathbb{E}_p[\langle x, v \rangle^4] \leq K \mathbb{E}_p[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\mathbb{E}_p[x x^T] \leq \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[x x^T]$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}_p[\langle x, v \rangle^2 \cdot z^2] \leq \sigma^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[\langle x, v \rangle^2] \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\hookrightarrow z = \gamma - \langle \theta^*(p), x \rangle^2$$

Main result: If $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}$ and $K\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}$, p is $(\rho, 5\rho, \epsilon)$ -resilient
with $\rho \leq 2\sigma^2 \epsilon$. \Rightarrow can handle ϵ -corruptions in TV
w/ error $5\rho \leq 10\sigma^2 \epsilon$.

This time, Construct efficient algo that achieves same result
(worse constants).

Idea. Similar to mean estimation.

Recall: $F(q) = \|\Sigma_q\| = \sup_{\|v\|_2=1} \mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]$

Assume finite sample
set x_1, \dots, x_n ,
subset S of
"good" points, $|S| = (1-\epsilon)n$.

$$\min_q F(q) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad q \geq 0, \sum_i q_i = 1, q_i \leq \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)n}$$

\rightarrow Showed: $\nabla F(q) = 0 \Rightarrow q$ is approximate global minimum / p_i uniform over

any stationary point

Linear regression

$$F_1(q) = \sup_v \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^4]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2}$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_n$$

$$\tilde{p}_i = \frac{1}{n} \forall i$$

$$q \approx \frac{p}{1-\epsilon} \Rightarrow q_i \approx \frac{\tilde{p}_i}{1-\epsilon} = \frac{1}{(1-\epsilon)n}$$

$$F_2(q) = \sup_v \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (\gamma - \langle \Theta^*(q), x \rangle)^2]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]}$$

Find q s.t.

$F_1(q) \leq K$
 $F_2(q) \leq \sigma^2$

$q \in \Delta_{n, \epsilon}$

$$\min_q \max\left(\frac{F_1(q)}{K}, \frac{F_2(q)}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

s.t. $q \in \Delta_{n, \epsilon}$

Wrinkles

- ① F_1 and F_2 instead of just F
- ② Sup over v for F_1 intractable (bc of 4th moment)
 - ↳ sdp relaxation, but no Grothendieck (small set expansion problem)
 - ↳ assume not just hypercontractive, but "certifiably" so
- ③ $\nabla F_1(q)$ and $\nabla F_2(q)$ are uglier than for mean estimation
 - \Rightarrow may not be the case that stationary points are good

$$F_1(q) = \sup_v \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^4]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2}$$

$$\nabla F_1(q)_i = \frac{\langle x_i, v \rangle^4}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2} - 2 \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^4] \langle x_i, v \rangle^2}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^3}$$

"Quasigradient descent"

$$g_1(x_i, q) = \langle x_i, v \rangle^4, \text{ where } v \in \underset{\|v\|_2=1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^4]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2}$$

$$g_2(x_i, q) = \langle x_i, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q), x_i \rangle)^2, \text{ where } v \in \underset{\|v\|_2=1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q), x \rangle)^2]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]}$$

Looking back at mean estimation:

Key Lemma: $\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x - \mu_q, v \rangle^2] \leq \mathbb{E}_{p_S}[\langle x - \mu_q, v \rangle^2]$ (by stationarity)

$\Rightarrow F(q) \leq 3\sigma^2$

nothing special about this being the gradient

Proof strategy:

- 1) Show can achieve stationarity for any quasigradient
- 2) stationarity $\Rightarrow F_1, F_2$ small

Algorithm: Quasigradient Descent LinReg

Inputs: $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, K, σ^2

Initialize $q \in \Delta_n, \epsilon$ arbitrarily

while $F_1(q) \geq 2K$ or $F_2(q) \geq 4\sigma^2$

if $F_1(q) \geq 2K$

Let $y_{1,i} = \langle x_i, v \rangle^4$, where $v \in \arg \max_{\|v\|_2=1} \frac{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^4]}{\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2}$

Take projected gradient step in y_1 direction.

else

Let $\Theta^*(q) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i x_i^T \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n q_i x_i y_i \right)$

Let $y_{2,i} = \langle x_i, v \rangle^2 (y_i - \langle \Theta^*(q), x_i \rangle)^2$, where $v \in \arg \max_{\|v\|_2=1} \dots$

Take projected gradient step in y_2 direction.

end

end

Output $\Theta^*(q)$.

Proposition Suppose p_s w/ $|S| = (1-\epsilon)n$, s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^4] \leq K \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\dots] \leq \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\dots] \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8}, K \leq \frac{1}{6}$$

$$10\sigma^2 \epsilon$$

Then assuming $K\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{80}$, Alg. terminates \downarrow
and its output $\theta^*(q)$ satisfies $L(p_s, \theta^*(q)) \leq 400^2 \varepsilon$.

① Stationarity for quasigradients.

Lemma (Informal)

Asymptotically, Alg. generates q s.t.

$$\mathbb{E}_q [g_j(x, q)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{p_s} [g_j(x, q)]$$

for $j=1, 2$.

② Use stationarity to show F_1, F_2 small.
 $\Rightarrow L$ small

• Stationarity for $q_1 \Rightarrow F_1$ small.

Lemma. Suppose $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim q} [g_1(x; q)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_s} [g_1(x; q)]$

and $K\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{80}$. Then q is hypercontractive

w/ parameter $K' \leq 1.5K$.

Pf. $d_1(x, q) = \langle x, v \rangle^q$, where v maximizes $K(q)$.

want. $\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2$ large
 $\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^q]$ small

$\hookrightarrow \mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^q] \leq \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^q]$
 this ratio $\leq K$ (stationarity)

Goal. $\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2 \geq \frac{2}{3} \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]^2$

$|\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2] - \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{(1-2\epsilon)^2} (\mathbb{E}[\langle x, v \rangle^4] + \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^4])}$
 apply rescaled Chebyshev to $\langle x, v \rangle^2$
 Chebyshev + $TV(q, p_3) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$

$\leq \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon}{(1-2\epsilon)^2} \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^4]}$

$\leq \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon K}{(1-2\epsilon)^2} \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]} \leq \frac{1}{6}$ if $K\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{80}$.

$$\Rightarrow |\mathbb{E}_q[\langle X, v \rangle^2] - \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle X, v \rangle^2]| \leq \frac{1}{6} \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle X, v \rangle^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_q[\langle X, v \rangle^2]^2 \geq \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle X, v \rangle^2]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_q[\langle X, v \rangle^2]^2 \geq \boxed{\frac{2}{3}} \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle X, v \rangle^2]^2$$

Lemma Suppose that $F_1(q) \leq 2K$

and $\mathbb{E}_{X \sim q}[g_2(X, q)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[g_2(X, q)]$,

and $K\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{8\alpha}$.

Then q has bounded noise w/

$(\sigma')^2 \leq 4\sigma^2$, and also

$$L(p_s, \theta^*(q)) \leq 4\alpha\sigma^2\epsilon.$$

Pf. $\mathcal{J}_2(x_i, q) = \langle x_i, v \rangle^2 (y_i - \langle \theta^*(q), x_i \rangle)^2$

Bounded noise for q :

$$\mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q), x \rangle)^2] \text{ small relative to } \mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2]$$

$$\approx \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q), x \rangle)^2]$$

\uparrow
 $\theta^*(p_s)$

Apply same strategy as in mean estimation

- Take hit depending on $\theta^*(q) - \theta^*(p_s)$

- Bound using resilience

$$y - \langle \theta^*(p_s), x \rangle \leq \langle \theta^*(q) - \theta^*(p_s), x \rangle$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q), x \rangle)^2]$$

$$\leq 2 \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(p_s), x \rangle)^2])}_{(a)} + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 \langle \theta^*(p_s) - \theta^*(q), x \rangle^2])}_{(b)}$$

(a): By bounded noise, (a) $\leq \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]$

(b): $\mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 \langle \theta^*(p_s) - \theta^*(q), x \rangle^2]$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^4]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle \theta^*(p_s) - \theta^*(q), x \rangle^4]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq K \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle x, v \rangle^2] \mathbb{E}_{p_s}[\langle \theta^*(p_s) - \theta^*(q), x \rangle^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \downarrow \\ & (\theta^*(p_3) - \theta^*(q_1))^T S_{p_3} (\theta^*(p_3) - \theta^*(q_1)) \\ & = L(p_3, \theta^*(q_1)) \triangleq R \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \triangleq KR \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q_1), x \rangle)^2] \leq 2(\sigma^2 + KR) \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2 (y - \langle \theta^*(q_1), x \rangle)^2] \\ & \leq 2(\sigma^2 + KR) \mathbb{E}_{p_3}[\langle x, v \rangle^2] \\ & \leq 2.5(\sigma^2 + KR) \mathbb{E}_q[\langle x, v \rangle^2] \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Shown bounded noise w/

$$(\sigma')^2 \leq 2.5(\sigma^2 + KR).$$

Resistance: $R \leq 5\beta(K', \sigma')$

Cost $\frac{\text{lect.}}{(p, 5\beta, \xi)}$ - resistant
w/ $P = 2\sigma^2 \xi$

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx 10(\sigma')^2 \xi \\ &= 25(\sigma^2 + kR) \xi \end{aligned}$$

$$R(1 - 25k\xi) \leq 25\sigma^2 \xi$$

$$R \leq \frac{25\sigma^2 \xi}{1 - 25k\xi} \leq 40\sigma^2 \xi.$$

