

- 1. Review: The periodogram and its asymptotics.
- 2. Nonparametric spectral estimation.

Review: Periodogram

The periodogram is defined as

$$I(\nu) = |X(\nu)|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{t=1}^n e^{-2\pi i t \nu} x_t \right|^2$$

$$= X_c^2(\nu) + X_s^2(\nu).$$

$$X_c(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \cos(2\pi t \nu) x_t,$$

$$X_s(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \sin(2\pi t \nu_j) x_t.$$

The same as computing $f(\nu)$ from the sample autocovariance (for $\bar{x}=0$).

Review: Asymptotic properties of the periodogram

Under general conditions (e.g., normal $\{X_t\}$, or linear process $\{X_t\}$ with rapidly decaying ACF), the $X_c(\nu_j)$, $X_s(\nu_j)$ are all asymptotically independent and $N(0, f(\nu_j)/2)$.

Under the same conditions, $f(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) \to f(\nu)$, where $\hat{\nu}^{(n)}$ is the closest Fourier frequency to the frequency ν .

In that case, we have

$$\frac{2}{f(\nu)}I(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) = \frac{2}{f(\nu)} \left(X_c^2(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) + X_s^2(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) \right) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_2^2.$$

Asymptotic properties of the periodogram

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E}I(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) \to \frac{f(\nu)}{2} \mathbf{E}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2) = f(\nu),$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are independent N(0, 1), so the periodogram is asymptotically unbiased.

But $\text{Var}(I(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})) \to f(\nu)^2 \text{Var}(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2)/4$, where Z_1, Z_2 are i.i.d. N(0,1), that is, the variance approaches a constant, so the periodogram is not a consistent estimator of $f(\nu)$.

Asymptotic properties of the periodogram: Consistency

This means that the approximate confidence intervals we obtain are typically wide.

The source of the difficulty is that, as n increases, we have additional data (the n values of x_t), but we use it to estimate additional independent random variables, (the n independent values of $X_c(\nu_j)$, $X_s(\nu_j)$).

How can we reduce the variance? The typical approach is to average independent observations. In this case, we can take an average of "nearby" values of the periodogram, and hope that the spectral density at the frequency of interest and at those nearby frequencies will be close.

Define a band of frequencies

$$\left[\nu_k - \frac{L}{2n}, \nu_k + \frac{L}{2n}\right]$$

of bandwidth L/n. Suppose that $f(\nu)$ is approximately constant in this frequency band.

Consider the following *smoothed spectral estimator*. (assume L is odd)

$$\hat{f}(\nu_k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=-(L-1)/2}^{(L-1)/2} I(\nu_k - l/n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=-(L-1)/2}^{(L-1)/2} \left(X_c^2(\nu_k - l/n) + X_s^2(\nu_k - l/n) \right).$$

For a suitable time series (e.g., Gaussian, or a linear process with sufficiently rapidly decreasing autocovariance), we know that, for large n, all of the $X_c(\nu_k - l/n)$ and $X_s(\nu_k - l/n)$ are approximately independent and normal, with mean zero and variance $f(\nu_k - l/n)/2$. From the assumption that $f(\nu)$ is approximately constant across all of these frequencies, we have that, asymptotically,

$$\hat{f}(\nu_k) \sim f(\nu_k) \frac{\chi_{2L}^2}{2L}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{E}\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) \approx \frac{f(\nu)}{2L} \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2L} Z_i^2\right) = f(\nu),$$

$$\operatorname{Var} \hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)}) \approx \frac{f^2(\nu)}{4L^2} \operatorname{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2L} Z_i^2 \right) = \frac{f^2(\nu)}{2L} \operatorname{Var}(Z_1^2),$$

where the Z_i are i.i.d. N(0,1).

Nonparametric spectral estimation: confidence intervals

From the asymptotic distribution, we can define approximate confidence intervals as before:

$$\Pr\left\{\frac{2L\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})}{\chi_{2L}^{2}(\alpha/2)} \le f(\nu) \le \frac{2L\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})}{\chi_{2L}^{2}(1-\alpha/2)}\right\} \approx 1 - \alpha.$$

For large L, these will be considerably tighter than for the unsmoothed periodogram. (But we need to be sure f does not vary much over the bandwidth L/n.)

Notice the *bias-variance trade off*:

For bandwidth B = L/n, we have $\operatorname{Var} \hat{f}(\nu_k) \approx c/(Bn)$ for some constant c. So we want a bigger bandwidth B to ensure low variance (bandwidth stability).

But the larger the bandwidth, the more questionable the assumption that $f(\nu)$ is approximately constant in the band $[\nu - B/2, \nu + B/2]$. For a larger value of B, our estimate $\hat{f}(\nu)$ will be a smoother function of ν . We have thus introduced more *bias* (lower *resolution*).

Nonparametric spectral estimation: confidence intervals

Since the asymptotic mean and variance of $\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})$ are proportional to $f(\nu)$ and $f^2(\nu)$, it is natural to consider the *logarithm* of the estimator. Then we can define approximate confidence intervals as before:

$$\Pr\left\{\frac{2L\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})}{\chi_{2L}^2(\alpha/2)} \le f(\nu) \le \frac{2L\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})}{\chi_{2L}^2(1-\alpha/2)}\right\} \approx 1-\alpha,$$

$$\Pr\left\{\log\left(\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})\right) + \log\left(\frac{2L}{\chi_{2L}^2(\alpha/2)}\right)$$

$$\le \log(f(\nu)) \le \log\left(\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}^{(n)})\right) + \log\left(\frac{2L}{\chi_{2L}^2(1-\alpha/2)}\right)\right\} \approx 1-\alpha.$$

The width of the confidence intervals for $f(\nu)$ varies with frequency, whereas the width of the confidence intervals for $\log(f(\nu))$ is the same for all frequencies.

Other smoothed spectral estimators

Instead of computing an unweighted average of the periodogram at all nearby frequencies, it is common to consider other weighted averages, typically with a smoother weighting function.

Consider the weighted average

$$\hat{f}(\nu) = \sum_{|j| \le L_n} W_n(j) I(\hat{\nu}^{(n)} - j/n),$$

where the bandwidth L_n is allowed to vary with n, and W_n is called the spectral window function.