

Theoretical Statistics. Lecture 26.

Peter Bartlett

1. Likelihood ratio tests [vdv15].
 - (a) Taylor series.
 - (b) $\Lambda_n \underset{\theta \in \Theta_0}{\rightsquigarrow} \chi_{k-l}^2$.
 - (c) Asymptotic power function.

Recall: Likelihood ratio tests

Suppose we observe X_1, \dots, X_n , with density p_θ ,

$H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_1$.

NB: composite hypotheses.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_n &= 2 \log \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1} \prod_{i=1}^n p_\theta(X_i)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n p_\theta(X_i)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell_{\hat{\theta}_n}(X_i) - \ell_{\hat{\theta}_{n,0}}(X_i) \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\theta}_n$ is the maximum likelihood estimator for θ over $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$,
and $\hat{\theta}_{n,0}$ is the maximum likelihood estimator over Θ_0 .

Likelihood ratio tests

Notice that, for a sufficient statistic T , $p_\theta(x)$ depends on x only through $T(x)$:

$$p_\theta(x) = h(x)f_\theta(T(x)),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_n &= 2 \log \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_1} \prod_{i=1}^n h(X_i) f_\theta(T(X_i))}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n h(X_i) f_\theta(T(X_i))} \\ &= 2 \log \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_1} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(T(X_i))}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(T(X_i))},\end{aligned}$$

hence Λ_n depends only on a **minimal** sufficient statistic.

Likelihood ratio tests

We'll focus on cases where $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$ is a subset of \mathbb{R}^k , and where Θ and Θ_0 are locally linear spaces. Then under H_0 , we'll see that Λ_n is asymptotically chi-square distributed with m degrees of freedom, where $m = \dim(\Theta) - \dim(\Theta_0)$. So we can get a test that is asymptotically of level α by comparing Λ_n to the upper α -quantile of a chi-square distribution.

Likelihood ratio tests: Taylor series

Under P_θ , where $\theta \in \Theta_0$ is in the interior of Θ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_n &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell_{\hat{\theta}_n}(X_i) - \ell_{\hat{\theta}_{n,0}}(X_i) \right) \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\ell_{\hat{\theta}_{n,0}}(X_i) - \ell_{\hat{\theta}_n}(X_i) \right) \\ &= -2 \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)^T \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\ell}_{\hat{\theta}_n}(X_i)} - \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)^T \sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{\ell}_{\tilde{\theta}_n}(X_i) \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is between $\hat{\theta}_n$ and $\hat{\theta}_{n,0}$, and we have assumed that, for all x , $\theta \mapsto \ell_\theta(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable.

Likelihood ratio tests: Taylor series

$$\Lambda_n = -\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)^T \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{\ell}_{\tilde{\theta}_n} (X_i) \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right) + o_{P_\theta}(1),$$

because $\hat{\theta}_n$ maximizes $P_n \ell_\theta$, and asymptotically this is in the interior of Θ , so $P_n \dot{\ell}_{\hat{\theta}_n} = 0$.

$$\Lambda_n = \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)^T I_\theta \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right) + o_{P_\theta}(1),$$

where we have assumed that the sequence $\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)$ is uniformly tight, and that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{\ell}_{\tilde{\theta}_n} (X_i) = -I_\theta + o_{P_\theta}(1).$$

Likelihood ratio tests: Taylor series

(Here, $\theta \in \Theta_0$, that is, under the null, so we have $\tilde{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.)

Thus,

$$\Lambda_n = \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right)^T I_\theta \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \hat{\theta}_n \right) + o_{P_\theta}(1)$$

is a quadratic form defining a squared distance between $\hat{\theta}_{n,0}$ and $\hat{\theta}_n$.

Likelihood ratio tests: Simple null

Suppose $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$ and $\theta = \theta_0$.

$$\Lambda_n = \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0 \right)^T I_\theta \sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0 \right) + o_{P_\theta}(1).$$

Under general conditions (we saw them for maximum likelihood estimators),

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0 \right) \rightsquigarrow X,$$

where $X \sim N(0, I_\theta^{-1})$, so

$$\Lambda_n \rightsquigarrow X^T I_\theta X = Z^T I_\theta^{-1/2} I_\theta I_\theta^{-1/2} Z = Z^T Z,$$

where $Z = I_\theta^{1/2} X \sim N(0, I_k)$. Thus, $\Lambda_n \rightsquigarrow \chi_k^2$.

Recall: Maximum likelihood

Theorem: Suppose

1. $(P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$ is QMD at θ with nonsingular Fisher information I_θ ,
2. for every x , $\theta \mapsto \log p_\theta(x)$ is Lipschitz, and
3. the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent.

Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \overset{\theta}{\rightsquigarrow} N(0, I_\theta^{-1}).$$

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

What if Θ_0 is a linear subspace (of dimension more than 0)?

We might expect $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{n,0} - \theta, \hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ to converge jointly to a normal vector (X_0, X) , in which case

$$\Lambda_n \rightsquigarrow (X - X_0)^T I_\theta (X - X_0).$$

We'll see that this has a χ_{k-l}^2 distribution, where $k = \dim(\Theta)$ and $l = \dim(\Theta_0)$.

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Write Λ_n in terms of local likelihood ratios, for the true θ in Θ_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_n &= 2 \log \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)} \\ &= 2 \sup_{h \in H_n} \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)} \\ &\quad - 2 \sup_{h \in H_{n,0}} \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)},\end{aligned}$$

where $H_n = \sqrt{n} (\Theta - \theta),$

$$H_{n,0} = \sqrt{n} (\Theta_0 - \theta)$$

are the **local parameter spaces**.

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Theorem: Suppose (1) $(P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta)$ is QMD at $\theta \in \Theta_0$ with I_θ non-singular, (2) for a function $\dot{\ell}$ with $P_\theta \dot{\ell}^2 < \infty$, for every θ_1, θ_2 in a neighborhood of θ ,

$$|\log p_{\theta_1}(x) - \log p_{\theta_2}(x)| \leq \dot{\ell}(x) \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\| ,$$

(3) the estimators $\hat{\theta}_{n,0}$ and $\hat{\theta}_n$ are consistent under P_θ , and (4) the sets $H_{n,0}$ and H_n converge to sets H_0 and H . Then

$$\Lambda_n \stackrel{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}{\rightsquigarrow} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2 - \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H \right\|^2 .$$

where $X \sim N(h, I_\theta^{-1})$.

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Here, we say that a sequence H_n of sets converges to a set H if

$$H = \left\{ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_{n_i} : h_{n_i} \text{ convergent, } h_n \in H_n \right\}.$$

Also, we write

$$\left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2 = \inf_{h \in H_0} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} h \right\|^2.$$

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Idea of Proof:

Λ_n is the difference of two rescaled maximum likelihood ratio processes,

$$2 \sup_{h \in H_n} \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)} - 2 \sup_{h \in H_{n,0}} \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i)}.$$

Just as we saw for maximum likelihood, this statistic for the local experiment converges to the corresponding asymptotic statistic in the normal experiment,

$$2 \sup_{h \in H} \log \frac{dN(h, I_{\theta}^{-1})}{dN(0, I_{\theta}^{-1})}(X) - 2 \sup_{h \in H_0} \log \frac{dN(h, I_{\theta}^{-1})}{dN(0, I_{\theta}^{-1})}(X).$$

where $X \sim N(0, I_{\theta}^{-1})$. (And under $\theta + g/\sqrt{n}$, Λ_n converges in distribution to the same thing, with $X \sim N(g, I_{\theta}^{-1})$.)

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

But this is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sup_{h \in H} \log \frac{dN(h, I_\theta^{-1})}{dN(0, I_\theta^{-1})}(X) - 2 \sup_{h \in H_0} \log \frac{dN(h, I_\theta^{-1})}{dN(0, I_\theta^{-1})}(X) \\ &= \sup_{h \in H} -(X - h)^T I_\theta (X - h) - \sup_{h \in H_0} -(X - h)^T I_\theta (X - h) \\ &= \inf_{h \in H_0} (X - h)^T I_\theta (X - h) - \inf_{h \in H} (X - h)^T I_\theta (X - h) \\ &= \inf_{h \in H_0} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} (X - h) \right\|^2 - \inf_{h \in H} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} (X - h) \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2 - \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Theorem: If $\theta \in \Theta_0$ is an interior point of Θ , then H_n converges to $H = \mathbb{R}^k$, and

$$\left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H \right\|^2 = 0.$$

If, in addition, H_0 is a linear subspace of dimension l , then

$$\Lambda_n \xrightarrow{\theta} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2 \sim \chi_{k-l}^2,$$

where $X \sim N(0, I_\theta^{-1})$.

Likelihood ratio tests: Composite null

Proof:

Write $Z = I_\theta^{1/2} X \sim N(0, I_k)$. Write $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_k)$ in a basis where the first l basis vectors lie in H_0 . (And notice that, in this basis, it is still a standard normal.) Then the squared distance from Z to H_0 is

$$\|Z - H_0\|^2 = \sum_{i=l+1}^k Z_i^2 \sim \chi_{k-l}^2.$$

Likelihood ratio tests: Examples

Example:

Suppose $\theta = (\mu, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$p_\theta = \frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right),$$

where f is a fixed density on \mathbb{R} .

Consider $H_0 : \mu = 0$ versus $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$. Fix $\theta = (0, \sigma)$.

$$\Theta_0 = \{0\} \times (0, \infty),$$

$$H_{n,0} = \sqrt{n}(\Theta_0 - \theta) = \{0\} \times (-\sqrt{n}\sigma, \infty) \rightarrow \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} = H_0.$$

So $\dim(H_0) = 1$, $\dim(H) = 2$. For suitably regular f , the likelihood ratio statistic is asymptotically χ_1^2 . [PICTURE]

Likelihood ratio tests: Examples

Consider $H_0 : \mu \leq 0$ versus $H_1 : \mu > 0$. Fix $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$ with $\mu < 0$.

$$\Theta_0 = (-\infty, 0] \times (0, \infty),$$

$$H_{n,0} = \sqrt{n}(\Theta_0 - \theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = H_0.$$

So $\dim(H_0) = 2 = \dim(H)$. For suitably regular f , the likelihood ratio statistic is asymptotically 0.

Likelihood ratio tests: Examples

Consider $H_0 : \mu \leq 0$ versus $H_1 : \mu > 0$. Fix $\theta = (0, \sigma)$.

$$\Theta_0 = (-\infty, 0] \times (0, \infty),$$

$$H_{n,0} = \sqrt{n}(\Theta_0 - \theta) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R} = H_0.$$

The weak limit is

$$\left\| Z - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2.$$

Notice that $I_\theta^{1/2} H_0$ is a half-space. [PICTURE] So this asymptotic distribution is the distribution of $(Z_1 \vee 0)^2$, where $Z_1 \sim N(0, 1)$. Because $\Pr((Z_1 \vee 0)^2 > c) = (1/2) \Pr(Z_1^2 > c)$, we can set the critical value as the upper 2α -quantile of a χ_1^2 variable.

Likelihood ratio tests: Asymptotic power function

If $\theta \in \Theta_0$ is an interior point of Θ , we have seen that

$$\Lambda_n \stackrel{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}}{\rightsquigarrow} \left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2,$$

where $X \sim N(h, I_\theta^{-1})$.

If H_0 is a linear subspace of dimension l , then under the null ($h = 0$), this is χ_{k-l}^2 .

Likelihood ratio tests: Asymptotic power function

Setting the critical value $\chi_{k-l,\alpha}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n \left(\theta + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}} \right) &= P_{\theta+h/\sqrt{n}} (\Lambda_n > \chi_{k-l,\alpha}^2) \\ &\rightarrow P_{N(h, I_\theta^{-1})} \left(\left\| I_\theta^{1/2} X - I_\theta^{1/2} H_0 \right\|^2 > \chi_{k-l,\alpha}^2 \right) \\ &= P_{N(0, I)} \left(\left\| X - I_\theta^{1/2} (-h + H_0) \right\|^2 > \chi_{k-l,\alpha}^2 \right) \\ &= P \left(\chi_{k-l}^2 \left(\left\| I_\theta^{1/2} (h - H_0) \right\| \right) > \chi_{k-l,\alpha}^2 \right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{k-l}^2(\delta)$ is a random variable with a noncentral chi-squared distribution with noncentrality parameter δ ...

Likelihood ratio tests: Asymptotic power function

That is, $\chi_{k-l}^2(\delta)$ has the distribution of the squared distance between a standard normal in \mathbb{R}^k and an affine subspace of dimension l that is distance δ from the origin.

$$P \left(\chi_{k-l}^2 \left(\left\| I_{\theta}^{1/2} (h - H_0) \right\| \right) > \chi_{k-l, \alpha}^2 \right)$$

is an increasing function of $\left\| I_{\theta}^{1/2} (h - H_0) \right\|$, and hence of $\|h\|$.

Likelihood ratio tests: Asymptotic power function

First, think of $H_0 = \{0\}$:

$$\left\| I_\theta^{1/2}(h - H_0) \right\| = \sqrt{h^T I_\theta h}.$$

Decomposing I_θ into outer products of its eigenvectors, we have

$$h^T I_\theta h = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (e_i^T h)^2.$$

So we get highest power in the directions that align with eigenvectors e_i that have largest eigenvalues λ_i . If the log likelihood is twice differentiable, these are the directions with a large second derivative: the variance of the score function is large in these directions.

And if H_0 is a subspace, replace h here with the difference between h and its projection on H_0 , which is in the space orthogonal to H_0 .