

Theoretical Statistics. Lecture 12.

Peter Bartlett

Uniform laws of large numbers: Bounding Rademacher complexity.

1. Metric entropy.
2. Canonical Rademacher and Gaussian processes

Recall: Covering numbers

A **pseudometric** is like a metric, but we don't insist that $d(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$.

Definition: An ϵ -cover of a subset T of a pseudometric space (S, d) is a set $\hat{T} \subset T$ such that for each $t \in T$ there is a $\hat{t} \in \hat{T}$ such that $d(t, \hat{t}) \leq \epsilon$. The ϵ -covering number of T is

$$N(\epsilon, T, d) = \min\{|\hat{T}| : \hat{T} \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-cover of } T\}.$$

A set T is **totally bounded** if, for all $\epsilon > 0$, $N(\epsilon, T, d) < \infty$.

The function $\epsilon \mapsto \log N(\epsilon, T, d)$ is the **metric entropy** of T .

If $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \log N(\epsilon) / \log(1/\epsilon)$ exists, it is called the **metric dimension**.

Covering numbers

Intuition: A d -dimensional set has metric dimension d . ($N(\epsilon) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^d)$.)

Example: $([0, 1]^d, l_\infty)$ has $N(\epsilon) = \Theta(1/\epsilon^d)$.

Packing numbers

Definition: An ϵ -packing of a subset T of a pseudometric space (S, d) is a subset $\hat{T} \subset T$ such that each pair $s, t \in \hat{T}$ satisfies $d(s, t) > \epsilon$. The ϵ -packing number of T is

$$M(\epsilon, T, d) = \max\{|\hat{T}| : \hat{T} \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-packing of } T\}.$$

Covering and packing numbers

Theorem: For all $\epsilon > 0$, $M(2\epsilon) \leq N(\epsilon) \leq M(\epsilon)$.

Thus, the scaling of the covering and packing numbers is the same.

Covering and packing numbers: Proof

For the first inequality, consider a minimal ϵ -cover \hat{T} . Any two elements of a 2ϵ -packing of T cannot be within ϵ of the same element of \hat{T} . (Otherwise, the triangle inequality shows that they are within 2ϵ of each other.) Thus, there can be no more than one element of a 2ϵ packing for each of the $N(\epsilon)$ elements of \hat{T} . That is, $M(2\epsilon) \leq N(\epsilon)$.

For the second inequality, consider an ϵ -packing \hat{T} of size $M(\epsilon)$. Since it is maximal, no other point $s \in T$ can be added for which some $t \in \hat{T}$ has $d(s, t) > \epsilon$. Thus, \hat{T} is an ϵ -cover. So the minimal ϵ -cover has size $N(\epsilon) \leq M(\epsilon)$.

Covering and packing numbers: Example

Theorem: Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathbb{R}^d and let B be the unit ball. Then

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^d} \leq N(\epsilon, B, \|\cdot\|) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1\right)^d.$$

Covering and packing numbers of a norm ball: Proof

Lower bound: Consider an ϵ -cover $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ of size $N = N(\epsilon, B)$, and notice that

$$B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N (x_i + \epsilon B),$$

$$\text{so } \text{vol}(B) \leq N(\epsilon, B) \text{vol}(\epsilon B) = N(\epsilon, B) \epsilon^d \text{vol}(B),$$

and hence $N(\epsilon, B) \geq 1/\epsilon^d$.

Covering and packing numbers of a norm ball: Proof

Upper bound: Consider a maximal ϵ -packing $\{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ of size $M = M(\epsilon, B)$. Since it's a packing, the balls $x_i + (\epsilon/2)B$ are disjoint. Each of these balls is contained in $(1 + \epsilon/2)B$. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^M \left(x_i + \frac{\epsilon}{2}B \right) \subseteq (1 + \epsilon/2)B,$$

$$\text{so } M \text{vol}((\epsilon/2)B) \leq \text{vol}((1 + \epsilon/2)B)$$

$$M \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^d \text{vol}(B) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right)^d \text{vol}(B).$$

and hence $N(\epsilon, B) \leq M(\epsilon, B) \leq (2/\epsilon + 1)^d$.

Example: smoothly parameterized functions

Let F be a parameterized class of functions,

$$F = \{f(\theta, \cdot) : \theta \in \Theta\}.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\Theta}$ be a norm on Θ and let $\|\cdot\|_F$ be a norm on F . Suppose that the mapping $\theta \mapsto f(\theta, \cdot)$ is L -Lipschitz, that is,

$$\|f(\theta, \cdot) - f(\theta', \cdot)\|_F \leq L\|\theta - \theta'\|_{\Theta}.$$

Then $N(\epsilon, F, \|\cdot\|_F) \leq N(\epsilon/L, \Theta, \|\cdot\|_{\Theta})$.

Example: smoothly parameterized functions

A Lipschitz parameterization allows us to translate a cover of the parameter space into a cover of the function space.

Example: If F is smoothly parameterized by a (compact set of) d parameters, then $N(\epsilon, F) = O(1/\epsilon^d)$.

Example: 1-dimensional Lipschitz functions

Let F be the set of L -Lipschitz functions mapping from $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$. Then in the infinity norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)|$,

$$\log N(\epsilon, F, \|\cdot\|_\infty) = \Theta(L/\epsilon).$$

Proof idea: form an ϵ grid of the y-axis, and an ϵ/L grid of the x-axis, and consider all functions that are piecewise linear on this grid, where all pieces have slopes $+L$ or $-L$. There are $1/\epsilon$ starting points, and for each starting point there are $2^{L/\epsilon}$ slope choices. It's easy to show that this set is an $O(\epsilon)$ packing and an $O(\epsilon)$ cover.

Example: d -dimensional Lipschitz functions

Let F_d be the set of L -Lipschitz functions (wrt $\|\cdot\|_\infty$) mapping from $[0, 1]^d$ to $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\log N(\epsilon, F_d, \|\cdot\|_\infty) = \Theta((L/\epsilon)^d).$$

Note the *exponential* dependence on the dimension.

Canonical Rademacher and Gaussian Processes

Definition: Fix a set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. The **canonical Gaussian process** is the stochastic process

$$G_\theta = \langle g, \theta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \theta_i,$$

where $g_i \sim N(0, 1)$ i.i.d.

2. The **canonical Rademacher process** is the stochastic process

$$R_\theta = \langle \epsilon, \theta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \theta_i,$$

where the ϵ_i are i.i.d. and uniform on $\{\pm 1\}$.

Canonical Rademacher and Gaussian Processes

Definition: A stochastic process $\theta \mapsto X_\theta$ with indexing set T is sub-Gaussian with respect to a metric d on T if, for all $\theta, \theta' \in T$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{E} \exp (\lambda(X_\theta - X_{\theta'})) \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^2 d(\theta, \theta')^2}{2} \right).$$

The canonical Rademacher and Gaussian processes are sub-Gaussian wrt the Euclidean metric.

Canonical Rademacher and Gaussian Processes

Indeed:

$$G_\theta - G_{\theta'} = \langle g, \theta - \theta' \rangle,$$

which is $N(0, \|\theta - \theta'\|^2)$, and hence its moment generating function is equal to the upper bound.

$$R_\theta - R_{\theta'} = \langle \epsilon, \theta - \theta' \rangle,$$

which, by the bounded differences property, is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\|\theta - \theta'\|^2$.

An aside: Orlicz norms

Definition: For $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, the α -Orlicz norm of a random variable X is

$$\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} = \inf \left\{ C > 0 : \mathbf{E} \exp \left(\frac{|X|^\alpha}{C^\alpha} \right) \leq 2 \right\}.$$

Theorem: There are constants c_1, c_2 such that, for all X and all $t \geq 1$,

$$\Pr(|X| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp \left(-c_1 \frac{t^\alpha}{\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha}^\alpha} \right),$$

and conversely, $\Pr(|X| \geq t) \leq c \exp(-t^\alpha / K^\alpha)$ implies $\|X\|_{\psi_\alpha} \leq c_2 K$.

Sub-Gaussian means $\|X_\theta - X_{\theta'}\|_{\psi_2} \leq Ld(\theta, \theta')$.

Canonical Gaussian and Rademacher processes

Theorem: For $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} R_{\theta} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} G_{\theta} \leq c \sqrt{\log n} \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} R_{\theta}.$$

Canonical Gaussian and Rademacher processes

Proof of first inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} G_{\theta} &= \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \theta_i \\ &= \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i |g_i| \theta_i \\ &\geq \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \mathbf{E} [|g_i|] \theta_i \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta \in T} R_{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Canonical Gaussian and Rademacher processes: Example

For Θ the l_1 -ball in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta} \langle \epsilon, \theta \rangle = \mathbf{E} \|\epsilon\|_{\infty} = 1.$$

[where we've used the duality of l_1 and l_{∞} (equivalently, that Hölder's inequality is tight).] Also,

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{\theta} \langle g, \theta \rangle = \mathbf{E} \|g\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{2 \ln n}.$$

The Gaussian and Rademacher complexities are a $\sqrt{\log n}$ factor apart in this case.

Canonical Gaussian and Rademacher processes: Example

To see the last inequality, we generalize the Finite Lemma to the sub-Gaussian case:

Lemma: For g with independent sub-Gaussian components,

$$\mathbf{E} \max_{a \in A} \langle g, a \rangle \leq \max_{a \in A} \|a\| \sqrt{2 \log |A|}.$$

In this case, $A = \{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, so $\max_{a \in A} \|a\| = 1$ and $|A| = n$.

Canonical Gaussian and Rademacher processes: Example

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left(\lambda \mathbf{E} \max_{a \in A} \langle g, a \rangle \right) &\leq \mathbf{E} \exp \left(\lambda \max_{a \in A} \langle g, a \rangle \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E} \max_{a \in A} \exp (\lambda \langle g, a \rangle) \\ &\leq \sum_{a \in A} \mathbf{E} \exp (\lambda \langle g, a \rangle) \\ &\leq |A| \exp (\lambda^2 R^2 / 2), \end{aligned}$$

since g_i is sub-gaussian (here, $R^2 = \max_{a \in A} \|a\|_2^2$). Picking $\lambda^2 = 2 \log |A| / R^2$ gives the result.