Introduction to Time Series Analysis. Lecture 13. Peter Bartlett

Last lecture:

- 1. Yule-Walker estimation
- 2. Maximum likelihood estimator

Introduction to Time Series Analysis. Lecture 13.

- 1. Review: Maximum likelihood estimation
- 2. Computational simplifications: un/conditional least squares
- 3. Diagnostics
- 4. Model selection
- 5. Integrated ARMA models

Review: Maximum likelihood estimator

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is drawn from a zero mean Gaussian ARMA(p,q) process. The likelihood of parameters $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $\sigma_w^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined as the density of $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)'$ under the Gaussian model with those parameters:

$$L(\phi, \theta, \sigma_w^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Gamma_n|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} X' \Gamma_n^{-1} X\right),$$

where |A| denotes the determinant of a matrix A, and Γ_n is the variance/covariance matrix of X with the given parameter values.

The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of ϕ , θ , σ_w^2 maximizes this quantity.

Maximum likelihood estimation: Simplifications

We can simplify the likelihood by expressing it in terms of the *innovations*. Since the innovations are linear in previous and current values, we can write

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}}_{X} = C \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} X_1 - X_1^0 \\ \vdots \\ X_n - X_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{U}$$

where C is a lower triangular matrix with ones on the diagonal. Take the variance/covariance of both sides to see that

$$\Gamma_n = CDC'$$
 where $D = \operatorname{diag}(P_1^0, \dots, P_n^{n-1})$.

Maximum likelihood estimation

Thus,
$$|\Gamma_n| = |C|^2 P_1^0 \cdots P_n^{n-1} = P_1^0 \cdots P_n^{n-1}$$
 and
 $X'\Gamma_n^{-1}X = U'C'\Gamma_n^{-1}CU = U'C'C^{-T}D^{-1}C^{-1}CU = U'D^{-1}U.$

So we can rewrite the likelihood as

$$\begin{split} L(\phi, \theta, \sigma_w^2) &= \frac{1}{\left((2\pi)^n P_1^0 \cdots P_n^{n-1}\right)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_i^{i-1})^2 / P_i^{i-1}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\left((2\pi\sigma_w^2)^n r_1^0 \cdots r_n^{n-1}\right)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{S(\phi, \theta)}{2\sigma_w^2}\right), \\ \text{where } r_i^{i-1} &= P_i^{i-1} / \sigma_w^2 \text{ and} \\ S(\phi, \theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\left(X_i - X_i^{i-1}\right)^2}{r_i^{i-1}}. \end{split}$$

 $\overline{i=1}$

Maximum likelihood estimation

The log likelihood of ϕ, θ, σ_w^2 is

$$l(\phi, \theta, \sigma_w^2) = \log(L(\phi, \theta, \sigma_w^2))$$
$$= -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma_w^2) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \log r_i^{i-1} - \frac{S(\phi, \theta)}{2\sigma_w^2}.$$

Differentiating with respect to σ_w^2 shows that the MLE $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}_w^2)$ satisfies

$$\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}_w^2} = \frac{S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})}{2\hat{\sigma}_w^4} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \frac{S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})}{n},$$

and $\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}$ minimize $\log\left(\frac{S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log r_i^{i-1}.$

Summary: Maximum likelihood estimation

The MLE $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma}_w^2)$ satisfies

$$\hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \frac{S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})}{n},$$

and $\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}$ minimize $\log\left(\frac{S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log r_i^{i-1},$

where $r_i^{i-1} = P_i^{i-1} / \sigma_w^2$ and

$$S(\phi, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(X_i - X_i^{i-1}\right)^2}{r_i^{i-1}}.$$

Maximum likelihood estimation

Minimization is done numerically (e.g., Newton-Raphson).

Computational simplifications:

- Unconditional least squares. Drop the $\log r_i^{i-1}$ terms.
- Conditional least squares. Also approximate the computation of x_i^{i-1} by dropping initial terms in S. e.g., for AR(2), all but the first two terms in S depend linearly on ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , so we have a least squares problem.

The differences diminish as sample size increases. For example, $P_t^{t-1} \to \sigma_w^2$ so $r_t^{t-1} \to 1$, and thus $n^{-1} \sum_i \log r_i^{i-1} \to 0$.

Review: Maximum likelihood estimation

For an ARMA(p,q) process, the MLE and un/conditional least squares estimators satisfy

where
$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi} \\ \hat{\theta} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \sim AN \left(0, \frac{\sigma_w^2}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\phi\phi} & \Gamma_{\phi\theta} \\ \Gamma_{\theta\phi} & \Gamma_{\theta\theta}, \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\phi\phi} & \Gamma_{\phi\theta} \\ \Gamma_{\theta\phi} & \Gamma_{\theta\theta}, \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{Cov}((X, Y), (X, Y)),$$
$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)' \qquad \phi(B)X_t = W_t,$$
$$Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_p)' \qquad \theta(B)Y_t = W_t.$$

Z

Introduction to Time Series Analysis. Lecture 13.

- 1. Review: Maximum likelihood estimation
- 2. Computational simplifications: un/conditional least squares
- 3. Diagnostics
- 4. Model selection
- 5. Integrated ARMA models

Building ARMA models

1. Plot the time series.

Look for trends, seasonal components, step changes, outliers.

- 2. Nonlinearly transform data, if necessary
- 3. Identify preliminary values of p, and q.
- 4. Estimate parameters.
- 5. Use diagnostics to confirm residuals are white/iid/normal.
- 6. Model selection: Choose p and q.

Diagnostics

How do we check that a model fits well?

The residuals (innovations, $x_t - x_t^{t-1}$) should be white. Consider the *standardized innovations*,

$$e_t = \frac{x_t - \hat{x}_t^{t-1}}{\sqrt{\hat{P}_t^{t-1}}}.$$

This should behave like a mean-zero, unit variance, iid sequence.

- Check a time plot
- Turning point test
- Difference sign test
- Rank test
- Q-Q plot, histogram, to assess normality

Testing i.i.d.: Turning point test

 $\{X_t\}$ i.i.d. implies that X_t , X_{t+1} and X_{t+2} are equally likely to occur in any of six possible orders:



(provided X_t, X_{t+1}, X_{t+2} are distinct).

Four of the six are **turning points**.

Testing i.i.d.: Turning point test

Define $T = |\{t : X_t, X_{t+1}, X_{t+2} \text{ is a turning point}\}|.$

 $\mathbf{E}T = (n-2)2/3.$

Can show $T \sim AN(2n/3, 8n/45)$.

Reject (at 5% level) the hypothesis that the series is i.i.d. if

$$\left|T - \frac{2n}{3}\right| > 1.96\sqrt{\frac{8n}{45}}$$

Tests for positive/negative correlations at lag 1.

Testing i.i.d.: Difference-sign test

$$S = |\{i : X_i > X_{i-1}\}| = |\{i : (\nabla X)_i > 0\}|.$$
$$ES = \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Can show $S \sim AN(n/2, n/12)$.

Reject (at 5% level) the hypothesis that the series is i.i.d. if

$$\left|S - \frac{n}{2}\right| > 1.96\sqrt{\frac{n}{12}}$$

Tests for trend.

(But a periodic sequence can pass this test...)

Testing i.i.d.: Rank test

$$N = |\{(i, j) : X_i > X_j \text{ and } i > j\}|.$$

 $EN = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}.$

Can show $N \sim AN(n^2/4, n^3/36)$.

Reject (at 5% level) the hypothesis that the series is i.i.d. if

$$\left|N - \frac{n^2}{4}\right| > 1.96\sqrt{\frac{n^3}{36}}.$$

Tests for linear trend.

Testing if an i.i.d. sequence is Gaussian: qq plot

Plot the pairs $(m_1, X_{(1)}), \ldots, (m_n, X_{(n)})$, where $m_j = \mathbb{E}Z_{(j)}$, $Z_{(1)} < \cdots < Z_{(n)}$ are order statistics from N(0, 1) sample of size n, and $X_{(1)} < \cdots < X_{(n)}$ are order statistics of the series X_1, \ldots, X_n . *Idea:* If $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$\mathbf{E}X_{(j)} = \mu + \sigma m_j,$$

so $(m_j, X_{(j)})$ should be *linear*.

There are tests based on how far correlation of $(m_j, X_{(j)})$ is from 1.

Introduction to Time Series Analysis. Lecture 13.

- 1. Review: Maximum likelihood estimation
- 2. Computational simplifications: un/conditional least squares
- 3. Diagnostics
- 4. Model selection
- 5. Integrated ARMA models