### **Introduction to Time Series Analysis. Lecture 18.**

- 1. Review: Spectral density. Spectral distribution function.
- 2. Rational spectra. Poles and zeros.
- 3. Examples.
- 4. Linear filters.
- 5. Frequency response.

#### **Review: Spectral density and spectral distribution function**

If a time series  $\{X_t\}$  has autocovariance  $\gamma$  satisfying  $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$ , then we define its **spectral density** as

$$f(\nu) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) e^{-2\pi i \nu h}$$

for  $-\infty < \nu < \infty$ . We have

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{2\pi i\nu h} f(\nu) \, d\nu = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{2\pi i\nu h} \, dF(\nu),$$

where  $dF(\nu) = f(\nu)d\nu$ .

f measures how the variance of  $X_t$  is distributed across the spectrum.

### **Review: Spectral density and spectral distribution function**

For any stationary  $\{X_t\}$  with autocovariance  $\gamma$ , we can write

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{2\pi i\nu h} dF(\nu),$$

where F is the spectral distribution function of  $\{X_t\}$ .

If F has no singular part, we can write  $F = F^{(c)} + F^{(d)}$ , where  $F^{(c)}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, that is,  $dF^{(c)}(\nu) = f(\nu)d\nu$ , and  $F^{(d)}$  is discrete.

#### **Review: Spectral density of a linear process**

If  $X_t$  is a linear process, it can be written  $X_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i W_{t-i} = \psi(B) W_t$ . Then

$$f(\nu) = \sigma_w^2 \left| \psi \left( e^{-2\pi i \nu} \right) \right|^2$$

That is, the spectral density  $f(\nu)$  of a linear process measures the modulus of the  $\psi$  (MA( $\infty$ )) polynomial at the point  $e^{2\pi i\nu}$  on the unit circle.

#### **Spectral density of a linear process**

For an ARMA(p,q),  $\psi(B) = \theta(B)/\phi(B)$ , so

$$\begin{split} f(\nu) &= \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta(e^{-2\pi i\nu})\theta(e^{2\pi i\nu})}{\phi(e^{-2\pi i\nu})\phi(e^{2\pi i\nu})} \\ &= \sigma_w^2 \left| \frac{\theta(e^{-2\pi i\nu})}{\phi(e^{-2\pi i\nu})} \right|^2. \end{split}$$

This is known as a *rational spectrum*.

### **Rational spectra**

Consider the factorization of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  as

$$\theta(z) = \theta_q(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_q)$$
  
$$\phi(z) = \phi_p(z - p_1)(z - p_2) \cdots (z - p_p),$$

where  $z_1, \ldots, z_q$  and  $p_1, \ldots, p_p$  are called the *zeros* and *poles*.

$$f(\nu) = \sigma_w^2 \left| \frac{\theta_q \prod_{j=1}^q (e^{-2\pi i\nu} - z_j)}{\phi_p \prod_{j=1}^p (e^{-2\pi i\nu} - p_j)} \right|^2$$
$$= \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta_q^2 \prod_{j=1}^q |e^{-2\pi i\nu} - z_j|^2}{\phi_p^2 \prod_{j=1}^p |e^{-2\pi i\nu} - p_j|^2}.$$

# **Rational spectra**

$$f(\nu) = \sigma_w^2 \frac{\theta_q^2 \prod_{j=1}^q \left| e^{-2\pi i\nu} - z_j \right|^2}{\phi_p^2 \prod_{j=1}^p \left| e^{-2\pi i\nu} - p_j \right|^2}.$$

As  $\nu$  varies from 0 to 1/2,  $e^{-2\pi i\nu}$  moves clockwise around the unit circle from 1 to  $e^{-\pi i} = -1$ .

And the value of  $f(\nu)$  goes up as this point moves closer to (further from) the poles  $p_j$  (zeros  $z_j$ ).

# **Example: ARMA**

Recall AR(1):  $\phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z$ . The pole is at  $1/\phi_1$ . If  $\phi_1 > 0$ , the pole is to the right of 1, so the spectral density decreases as  $\nu$  moves away from 0. If  $\phi_1 < 0$ , the pole is to the left of -1, so the spectral density is at its maximum when  $\nu = 0.5$ .

Recall MA(1):  $\theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z$ . The zero is at  $-1/\theta_1$ . If  $\theta_1 > 0$ , the zero is to the left of -1, so the spectral density decreases as  $\nu$  moves towards -1. If  $\theta_1 < 0$ , the zero is to the right of 1, so the spectral density is at its minimum when  $\nu = 0$ .

# Example: AR(2)

Consider  $X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + W_t$ . Example 3.5 in the text considers this model with  $\phi_1 = 1$ ,  $\phi_2 = -0.9$ , and  $\sigma_w^2 = 1$ . In this case, the poles are at  $p_1, p_2 \approx 0.5555 \pm i0.8958 \approx 1.054 e^{\pm i1.01567} \approx 1.054 e^{\pm 2\pi i 0.16165}$ . Thus, we have

$$f(\nu) = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{|e^{-2\pi i\nu} - p_1|^2 |e^{-2\pi i\nu} - p_2|^2},$$

and this gets very peaked when  $e^{-2\pi i\nu}$  passes near  $1.054e^{-2\pi i0.16165}$ .



### **Example: Seasonal ARMA**

Consider  $X_t = \Phi_1 X_{t-12} + W_t$ .

$$\psi(B) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_1 B^{12}},$$
  
$$f(\nu) = \sigma_w^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \Phi_1 e^{-2\pi i 12\nu})(1 - \Phi_1 e^{2\pi i 12\nu})},$$
  
$$= \sigma_w^2 \frac{1}{1 - 2\Phi_1 \cos(24\pi\nu) + \Phi_1^2}.$$

Notice that  $f(\nu)$  is periodic with period 1/12.



#### **Example: Seasonal ARMA**

Another view:

$$1 - \Phi_1 z^{12} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad z = r e^{i\theta},$$
  
with 
$$r = |\Phi_1|^{-1/12}, \qquad e^{i12\theta} = e^{-i \arg(\Phi_1)}.$$

For  $\Phi_1 > 0$ , the twelve poles are at  $|\Phi_1|^{-1/12} e^{ik\pi/6}$  for  $k = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm 5, 6$ . So the spectral density gets peaked as  $e^{-2\pi i\nu}$  passes near  $|\Phi_1|^{-1/12} \times \{1, e^{-i\pi/6}, e^{-i\pi/3}, e^{-i\pi/2}, e^{-i2\pi/3}, e^{-i5\pi/6}, -1\}.$ 

### **Example: Multiplicative seasonal ARMA**

Consider 
$$(1 - \Phi_1 B^{12})(1 - \phi_1 B)X_t = W_t.$$

$$f(\nu) = \sigma_w^2 \frac{1}{(1 - 2\Phi_1 \cos(24\pi\nu) + \Phi_1^2)(1 - 2\phi_1 \cos(2\pi\nu) + \phi_1^2)}.$$

This is a scaled product of the AR(1) spectrum and the (periodic) AR(1)<sub>12</sub> spectrum.

The AR(1)<sub>12</sub> poles give peaks when  $e^{-2\pi i\nu}$  is at one of the 12th roots of 1; the AR(1) poles give a peak near  $e^{-2\pi i\nu} = 1$ .

# **Example: Multiplicative seasonal ARMA**



#### **Time-invariant linear filters**

A filter is an operator; given a time series  $\{X_t\}$ , it maps to a time series  $\{Y_t\}$ . We can think of a linear process  $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j W_{t-j}$  as the output of a *causal linear filter* with a white noise input.

A time series  $\{Y_t\}$  is the output of a linear filter  $A = \{a_{t,j} : t, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with input  $\{X_t\}$  if

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{t,j} X_j$$

If  $a_{t,t-j}$  is independent of t ( $a_{t,t-j} = \psi_j$ ), then we say that the filter is *time-invariant*. If  $\psi_{t,j} = 0$  for  $i \leq 0$ , we say the filter  $\psi_j$  is *caugal*.

If  $\psi_j = 0$  for j < 0, we say the filter  $\psi$  is *causal*.

We'll see that the name 'filter' arises from the frequency domain viewpoint.

### **Time-invariant linear filters: Examples**

- 1.  $Y_t = X_{-t}$  is linear, but not time-invariant.
- 2.  $Y_t = \frac{1}{3}(X_{t-1} + X_t + X_{t+1})$  is linear, time-invariant, but not causal:

$$\psi_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } |j| \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. For polynomials  $\phi(B)$ ,  $\theta(B)$  with roots outside the unit circle,  $\psi(B) = \theta(B)/\phi(B)$  is a linear, time-invariant, causal filter.

# **Time-invariant linear filters**

The operation

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j X_{t-j}$$

is called the *convolution* of X with  $\psi$ .

### **Time-invariant linear filters**

The sequence  $\psi$  is also called the *impulse response*, since the output  $\{Y_t\}$  of the linear filter in response to a *unit impulse*,

$$X_t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is

$$Y_t = \psi(B)X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j X_{t-j} = \psi_t.$$

Suppose that  $\{X_t\}$  has spectral density  $f_x(\nu)$  and  $\psi$  is *stable*, that is,  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ . Then  $Y_t = \psi(B)X_t$  has spectral density

$$f_y(\nu) = \left|\psi\left(e^{2\pi i\nu}\right)\right|^2 f_x(\nu).$$

The function  $\nu \mapsto \psi(e^{2\pi i\nu})$  (the polynomial  $\psi(z)$  evaluated on the unit circle) is known as the *frequency response* or *transfer function* of the linear filter.

The squared modulus,  $\nu \mapsto |\psi(e^{2\pi i\nu})|^2$  is known as the *power transfer function* of the filter.

For stable  $\psi$ ,  $Y_t = \psi(B)X_t$  has spectral density

$$f_y(\nu) = \left|\psi\left(e^{2\pi i\nu}\right)\right|^2 f_x(\nu).$$

We have seen that a linear process,  $Y_t = \psi(B)W_t$ , is a special case, since  $f_y(\nu) = |\psi(e^{2\pi i\nu})|^2 \sigma_w^2 = |\psi(e^{2\pi i\nu})|^2 f_w(\nu)$ .

When we pass a time series  $\{X_t\}$  through a linear filter, the spectral density is multiplied, frequency-by-frequency, by the squared modulus of the frequency response  $\nu \mapsto |\psi(e^{2\pi i\nu})|^2$ .

This is a version of the equality  $Var(aX) = a^2 Var(X)$ , but the equality is true for the component of the variance at every frequency.

This is also the origin of the name 'filter.'

Why is 
$$f_y(\nu) = \left|\psi\left(e^{2\pi i\nu}\right)\right|^2 f_x(\nu)$$
? First,  
 $\gamma_y(h) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j X_{t-j} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k X_{t+h-k}\right]$   
 $= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t+h-k} X_{t-j}\right]$   
 $= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_k \gamma_x(h+j-k) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{h+j-l} \gamma_x(l).$ 

It is easy to check that  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma_x(h)| < \infty$  imply that  $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma_y(h)| < \infty$ . Thus, the spectral density of y is defined.

$$\begin{split} f_y(\nu) &= \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) e^{-2\pi i \nu h} \\ &= \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{h+j-l} \gamma_x(l) e^{-2\pi i \nu h} \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j e^{2\pi i \nu j} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_x(l) e^{-2\pi i \nu l} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{h+j-l} e^{-2\pi i \nu (h+j-l)} \\ &= \psi(e^{2\pi i \nu j}) f_x(\nu) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_h e^{-2\pi i \nu h} \\ &= \left| \psi(e^{2\pi i \nu j}) \right|^2 f_x(\nu). \end{split}$$