

# 1 STAT 205B homework 7 solutions

**Problem 8.1.3. (Durrett).** For  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $E(Z^2 - 1)^2 = 2$ . Now,  $\Delta_{m,n} \sim N(0, 2^{-n}t)$  and for a fixed  $n$ ,  $\Delta_{m,n}$ ,  $m \leq 2^n$  are i.i.d., hence

$$E\left(\sum_{m \leq n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - t\right) = E\left(\sum_{m \leq n} (\Delta_{m,n}^2 - 2^{-n}t)\right) = \sum_{m \leq 2^n} E(\Delta_{m,n}^2 - 2^{-n}t)^2 = 2^{-2n}t^2 2^n \cdot 2 = t^2 2^{1-n}.$$

Now, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{m \leq n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - t\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon^{-2} E\left(\sum_{m \leq n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - t\right)^2 = \epsilon^{-2} t^2 2^{1-n},$$

hence  $\sum_n P(|\sum_{m \leq n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 - t| > \epsilon) < \infty$ , thus by Borel-Cantelli Lemma,  $\sum_{m \leq n} \Delta_{m,n}^2 \rightarrow t$  a.s.

**Problem 8.2.1 (Durrett).** By Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} P_x(R > 1 + t) &= P_x(T_0 \circ \theta_1 > t) = E_x[E_x[1(T_0 > t) \circ \theta_1 | \mathcal{F}_1^+]] = E_x[E_{B_1}(1(T_0 > t))] \\ &= \int p_1(x, y) P_y(T_0 > t) dy. \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 8.2.2 (Durrett).**  $\{L \leq t\} = \{T_0 \circ \theta_t > 1 - t\}$ , and hence by Markov property

$$P_0(L \leq t) = E_0[E_0[1(T_0 > 1 - t) \circ \theta_t | \mathcal{F}_t^+]] = E_0[P_{B_t}(T_0 > t)] = \int p_t(0, y) P_y(T_0 > 1 - t) dy.$$

**Problem 8.2.3 (Durrett).** Theorem 8.2.5 implies that for any neighbourhood  $(0, t_0)$ , almost surely there exists a point  $0 < t_1 < t_0$  such that  $B_{t_1} = 0$ . Again Theorem 8.2.4 gives that almost surely there exists  $t_2 \in (0, t_1)$  such that  $B_{t_2} > 0$ . Since  $B_t$  has continuous paths almost surely,  $B_t$  attains a maxima at  $t_3 \in [0, t_1]$ , and as  $B_{t_2} > 0$  and  $B_0 = B_{t_1} = 0$ , the maxima is attained at  $t_3 \in (0, t_1)$ . The given problem now follows by conditioning on  $\mathcal{F}_a^+$  and applying the Markov property.

**Problem 8.5.1 (Durrett).** By Theorem 8.5.6  $\exp(\theta B_t - 2^{-1}\theta^2 t)$  is a martingale. Thus,

$$1 = E_0 \exp(\theta B(T \wedge t) - \theta^2(T \wedge t)/2).$$

By Bounded convergence theorem, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= E_0 \exp(\theta B_T - \theta^2 T/2) = P_0(B_T = a) E_0(\exp(\theta a - \theta^2 T/2) | B_T = a) + \\ &\quad P_0(B_T = -a) E_0(\exp(-\theta a - \theta^2 T/2) | B_T = -a). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry,  $E_0(\exp(-\theta^2 T/2) | B_T = a) = E_0(\exp(-\theta^2 T/2) | B_T = -a) = E_0(\exp(-\theta^2 T/2))$ . Thus putting  $\theta^2/2 = \lambda$ , the result follows.

**Problem 8.5.3 (Durrett).** Observe that  $\sigma = T_a \wedge T_b$ . Also

$$E_x[\exp(-\lambda T_a)1(T_b < T_a)] = E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma) \exp(-\lambda(T_a - T_b))1(T_b < T_a)].$$

Conditioning on  $\mathcal{F}_{T_b}$  and noting that  $\exp(-\lambda \sigma)1(T_b < T_a) = \exp(-\lambda T_b)1(T_b < T_a)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{T_b}$  measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma) \exp(-\lambda(T_a - T_b))1(T_b < T_a)] &= E_x[E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma) \exp(-\lambda(T_a - T_b))1(T_b < T_a)|\mathcal{F}_{T_b}]] \\ &= E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma)1(T_b < T_a)E_{B_{T_b}}[\exp(-\lambda T_a)]] = E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma)1(T_b < T_a)E_b[\exp(-\lambda T_a)]] \\ &= E_x[\exp(-\lambda \sigma)1(T_b < T_a)]E_b[\exp(-\lambda T_a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows directly from here.

**Problem 8.5.4 (Durrett).**

Since  $B_t^4 - 6tB_t^2 + 3t^2$  is a martingale,

$$E[B_{T \wedge t}^4 - 6(T \wedge t)B_{T \wedge t}^2 + 3(T \wedge t)^2] = 0.$$

Thus, applying dominated convergence theorem for the first two terms and monotone convergence theorem for the third term, we have by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E[B_T^4 - 6TB_T^2 + 3T^2] = 0.$$

Thus by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$3ET^2 \leq E(B_T^4) + 3ET^2 = 6ETB_T^2 \leq 6\sqrt{ET^2EB_T^4}.$$

Thus,  $ET^2 \leq 4E(B_T^4)$ .

**Problem 8.5.6 (Durrett).** If  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then for  $a > 1, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , by standard computation,

$$E \exp((Z + b)^2/2a) = \sqrt{a/a - 1} \exp(b^2/2(a - 1)).$$

Thus, conditioning  $(1 + t)^{-1/2} \exp(B_t^2/2(1 + t))$  by  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and writing  $B_t = B_t - B_s + B_s$  and using the above display, we get that

$$E[(1 + t)^{-1/2} \exp(B_t^2/2(1 + t))|\mathcal{F}_s] = (1 + s)^{-1/2} \exp(B_s^2/2(1 + s)).$$

Now, since  $(1 + t)^{-1/2} \exp(B_t^2/2(1 + t))$  is a positive martingale, hence

$$\exp(\ln(1 + t)(B_t^2/2(1 + t) \ln(1 + t) - 1/2)) = (1 + t)^{-1/2} \exp(B_t^2/2(1 + t)) \rightarrow X \quad a.s.$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $X < \infty$  a.s.. From here it follows that

$$\limsup B_t/(1 + t) \ln(1 + t)^{1/2} \leq 1 \quad a.s.$$