

Lecture 25

David Aldous

26 October 2015

- The collection of all events determined by a family (W, X, Y, Z) is called $\sigma(W, X, Y, Z)$
- A RV T whose value is determined by the values of (W, X, Y, Z) is called $\sigma(W, X, Y, Z)$ -measurable.
- A σ -field \mathcal{F} of events is regarded as “information”.
- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ means: if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \in \mathcal{G}$. So \mathcal{G} contains more information than \mathcal{F} .
- A sequence $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots$ is called a **filtration**. \mathcal{F}_t is the σ -field of known events at time t , and saying a RV Y is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable means we know the value of Y at time t .

[BZ] exercise 3.1 - [board]

A sequence of coin tosses. \mathcal{F}_t tells us the results of the first t tosses. Which is the smallest t such that \mathcal{F}_t contains the following event.

$C = \{ \text{the first 100 tosses produce the same outcome} \}$.

$A = \{ \text{the first occurrence of heads is preceded by at most 10 tails} \}$.

$B = \{ \text{there is at least one head in the infinite sequence} \}$

$D = \{ \text{no more than 2 heads and 2 tails in the first 5 tosses} \}$.

Note this is just “logic” – no probability.

For a real-valued RV X and a σ -field \mathcal{F} , we can define the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})$.

- The **gambling interpretation** of $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})$ is as the fair stake Z to pay today in order to receive X tomorrow, when \mathcal{F} is the known information,
- The **abstract math definition** of $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})$ is as the \mathcal{F} -measurable RV Z such that

$$\mathbb{E}[Z1_A] = \mathbb{E}[X1_A] \text{ for all } A \text{ in } \mathcal{F}.$$

- In the case $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(Y)$ we have $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ as defined before.

Analogous to rules in algebra/calculus, there are many rules for manipulating conditional expectations; will develop as we go.

Most material in this lecture is in [BZ] chapter 3, different notation.

A martingale is a process (X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots) such that

- $\mathbb{E}(X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t) = X_t$ for each $t \geq 0$.

If no filtration is specified then we take the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_t)$.

Examples of martingales - check on board

(A). If X_1, X_2, \dots are independent, $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ then

$(0 = S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots)$ is a martingale.

Also, writing $\text{var}(X_i) = \sigma_i^2$ and $V_n = S_n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$.

$(0 = V_0, V_1, V_2, \dots)$ is a martingale.

(B). If Y_1, Y_2, \dots are independent, $\mathbb{E}Y_i = 1$ and $M_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ then

$(1 = M_0, M_1, M_2, \dots)$ is a martingale.

(C). In the Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution ξ , let Z_n be the population in generation n . Write $\mu = \mathbb{E}\xi$. Then

$(Z_n/\mu^n, 0 \leq n < \infty)$ is a martingale.

(D). Given a filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) , for **any** RV X with $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ we can consider $M_t = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}_t)$ and then

$(M_t, 0 \leq t < \infty)$ is a martingale.

Recalling $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}1_A$, we can define conditional probability given a σ -field by $\mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}(1_A|\mathcal{F})$, and then for **any** event A

$(\mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{F}_t), 0 \leq t < \infty)$ is a martingale.

Later we'll see how this works with real-world future events, Also relevant to mathematical study of models. Recall (Lecture 6) "first step analysis" of a Markov chain (X_t) with $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$.

Consider disjoint subsets A, B of States – maybe $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b\}$.
Let's study

$$g(i) = \mathbb{P}_i(T_A < T_B)$$

the probability starting at i of hitting A before hitting B . We have

$$g(i) = 1, i \in A; \quad g(i) = 0, i \in B \quad (1)$$

and by conditioning on the first step

$$g(i) = \sum_j p_{ij}g(j), \quad i \notin A \cup B. \quad (2)$$

In Lecture 6 we discussed solving these equations. Here we observe
(explain $T_{A \cup B}$ later)

(E).

$(g(X_t), 0 \leq t \leq T_{A \cup B})$ is a martingale.

Conceptual point: “solving these equations” is the same as “find a function $g : \text{States} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(X_t)$ is a martingale”.

Rules for manipulating conditional expectation

All RVs assumed integrable.

1. $\mathbb{E}(X \pm Y|\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}) \pm \mathbb{E}(Y|\mathcal{F})$
2. If X is \mathcal{F} -measurable then $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}) = X$.
3. If X is independent of \mathcal{F} then $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}X$.
4. If W is \mathcal{F} -measurable then $\mathbb{E}(WX|\mathcal{F}) = W\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})$.
5. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G}) | \mathcal{F}] = \mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{F})$. In particular $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{G})] = \mathbb{E}X$.