

## Comments on standardizing path diagrams: what are the parameters?

DA Freedman, Statistics Department, UC Berkeley

15 January 2005

Let

$$Y_i = a + bU_i + cV_i + \delta_i \quad (1)$$

and

$$Z_i = \alpha + \beta Y_i + \epsilon_i. \quad (2)$$

Take  $U_i, V_i$  as data, with mean 0, variance 1, and correlation  $r$ . The  $\delta_i$  are IID with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The  $\epsilon_i$  are IID with mean 0 and variance  $\tau^2$ , independent of the  $\delta_i$ . (See exercise 5C6 in *Statistical Models*.) Let  $s_Y$  be the standard deviation of  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ . If we standardize the  $Y_i$ , then (i) we're dividing by a random variable,  $s_Y$ ; and (ii), the  $\delta_i$  get dependent. So, what are the parameters?

One solution is to standardize  $Y$  at the population level. First,

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - a)^2\right] = b^2 + c^2 + 2bcr + \sigma^2 = \theta^2,$$

say. So, replace  $Y_i$  by  $\eta_i = (Y_i - a)/\theta$ . We have

$$\eta_i = \frac{b}{\theta}U_i + \frac{c}{\theta}V_i + \frac{\delta_i}{\theta} \quad (3)$$

Thus  $E(\bar{\eta}) = 0$  and  $E(\overline{\eta^2}) = 1$ , although

$$E(\eta_i) = \frac{bU_i + cV_i}{\theta} \neq 0 \text{ and } E(\eta_i^2) = \frac{(bU_i + cV_i)^2 + \sigma^2}{\theta^2} \neq 1.$$

Standardization is “on the average,” over the whole population. Note that (3) is a bona fide regression equation, with all the usual assumptions on the errors. Fitting the standardized equation can be viewed as estimating  $b/\theta, c/\theta, \sigma^2/\theta^2$ . The estimates will suffer from ratio estimator bias, due to division by the random  $s_Y$ .

The trick for (2) is the same. First, replace  $Z_i$  by

$$Z_i^* = (Z_i - \alpha - a\beta)/\theta.$$

We get the regression equation

$$Z_i^* = \beta\eta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{\theta}$$

Let

$$\phi^2 = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^{*2}\right] = \beta^2 + \frac{\tau^2}{\theta^2}.$$

Finally, replace  $Z_i^*$  by  $\zeta_i = Z_i^*/\phi$ . When standardized at the population level, (2) becomes

$$\zeta_i = \frac{\beta}{\phi}\eta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{\theta\phi} \quad (4)$$

Again,  $E(\zeta_i) \neq 0$  and  $E(\zeta_i^2) \neq 1$ , so the standardization only applies “on average:”  $E(\bar{\zeta}) = 0$  and  $E(\bar{\zeta}^2) = 1$ . But (4) is a legitimate regression equation.

In the leading special case,  $U_i, V_i, \delta_i, \epsilon_i$  are IID in  $i$ . We can center  $U_i, V_i$  at their expected values and divide by the respective standard deviations. The endogenous variables  $Y_i, Z_i$  now have expectation 0. Division by the respective SEs achieves standardization—at the population level—for each  $i$ . The sample will not be standardized exactly, due to random error. Again, standardizing the sample leads to a minor ratio-estimation bias, with a minor gain on the variance side since intercepts do not need to be estimated.

Also see exercise 5C6 on pp. 84–85 of *Statistical Models*.