

Section 7: Nonparametric uncertainty estimation

①

Section 17.

27 Nov. 2006

Nonparametric methods of uncertainty estimation

S-method, jackknife, bootstrap, cross-validation
interpenetrating samples

Techniques broadly applicable, complex statistics

Generally justified by asymptotics

There exist singular and inappropriate cases

NECESSARY

S-method AKA method of linearization, propagation
of error, Taylor series method

Gauss (1815)

Basically one approximates functions by Taylor
expansions (usually linear) of basic random
variables.

Rao, Section 6a.2

Sequence of k-dimensional statistics

$$T_n = (T_{1n}, \dots, T_{kn}) \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

e.g. (\bar{X}, \bar{Y})

(2)

27 Nov '2001

Derived statistic

$$g(\bar{T}_n) = g(T_{1,n}, \dots, T_{k,n})$$

$$\text{eg. } g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{Y}}$$

Suppose

$$\left[\sqrt{n}(\bar{T}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N_b(0, \Sigma) \right] \xrightarrow{L}$$

Write

$$g(\bar{T}_n) = g(\theta) + \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} (\bar{T}_n - \theta) + \dots$$

The entity of principal interest is typically $g(\theta)$ Theorem. If $g()$ has a continuous first derivative

$$\left[\sqrt{n} \{ g(\bar{T}_n) - g(\theta) \} \xrightarrow{d} N_b\left(0, \frac{\partial g^2}{\partial \theta^2} \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}\right) \right]$$

(3)

27 Nov. 2001

Result suggests estimating the covariance matrix
of $g(\hat{\theta}_n)$ by

$$\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}_n} \right)^T \hat{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

but...

"Procedure" works provided $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a neighborhood of θ

Gives an approximating distribution.

Could use for confidence intervals.

(4)

7 Nov. 2001

Example where not too useful (Grässer's book)

{ but more later } Matematika

$$\Gamma = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{t}_4 - \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_2}{\sqrt{(\bar{t}_3 - \bar{t}_1^2)(\bar{t}_4 - \bar{t}_2^2)}} = g(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2),$$

$$t_1 = x/\bar{x} \quad t_3 = x^2 \quad t_5 = y^2$$

$$t_2 = y/\bar{y} \quad t_4 = xy$$

Answer. An estimate of var r

$$\frac{r^2}{4m} \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_{40}}{\hat{\mu}_{20}^2} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_{04}}{\hat{\mu}_{02}^2} + \frac{2 \hat{\mu}_{22}}{\hat{\mu}_{20} \hat{\mu}_{02}} + \frac{4 \hat{\mu}_{22}}{\hat{\mu}_{11}} - \frac{4 \hat{\mu}_{31}}{\hat{\mu}_{11} \hat{\mu}_{20}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{4 \hat{\mu}_{13}}{\hat{\mu}_{11} \hat{\mu}_{02}} \right]$$

But in normal case

$$(1-r^2)/n$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{gk} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^g (y_i - \bar{y})^k$$

(5)

29 Nov 2001

Remarks

1. Is n large enough?
2. Is g sufficiently linear? (differentiable?)
3. Is the algebra correct?
4. Is it approximately normal? (CI's etc)
5. Note this is not giving expected values
6. The derivatives appearing may be approximated by finite differences

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_j} = \frac{g(\theta_1, \dots, \underline{\theta_j - \delta}, \theta_j + \delta, \theta_{j+1}, \dots, \theta_p) - g(\theta_1, \dots, \underline{\theta_j - \delta}, \theta_j, \dots, \theta_p)}{2\delta}$$

7. While often forgotten "learning" the bias of an estimate can be important.

(6)

7 Nov. 2001

Example where useful

Ratio estimate, as in survey sampling

$$\hat{R} = \bar{y}/\bar{x} \quad (\text{sampling without replacement})$$

$$\hat{R} - R = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} - R = \frac{(\bar{y} - R\bar{x})(\bar{x} + (S_x - \bar{x}))}{\bar{x}^2}$$

Writing cap letters for population values

$$\hat{R} - R = \frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \left[1 - \frac{S_x - \bar{x}}{\bar{x}} + \left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \right)^2 + \dots \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \quad \text{linear approx}$$

$$\approx \frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} - \frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{X}}{\bar{x}} \right) \quad \text{quadratic}$$

$$\text{var } \hat{R} \approx \text{var} \left(\frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right) = \frac{1-f}{n\bar{x}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - R\bar{x}_i)^2 / (N-1)$$

$$f = \frac{n}{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias } E(\hat{R} - R) &\approx E \left(\frac{\bar{y} - R\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \right) - E \left(\frac{(\bar{y} - R\bar{x})(\bar{x} - \bar{X})}{\bar{x}^2} \right) \\ &\approx -\frac{1-f}{n\bar{x}^2} (\rho S_y S_x - R S_x^2) \end{aligned}$$

(1)

14 April 04

Convergence in distribution (law)

Sequence of r.v.'s $\{X_n\}$

$$F_n(x) = \text{Prob}\{X_n \leq x\}$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \quad (X_n \xrightarrow{w} X) \quad (\text{weakly})$$

$\Rightarrow F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ at all continuity points
of F

equivalent to

$$\int g dF_n \rightarrow \int g dF \quad \text{for all bounded continuous } g$$

Doesn't always hold if g is unbounded.

$$\text{e.g. } X_n = \mu + \sigma Z + \frac{1}{n} C \quad C: \text{Cauchy}$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$EX_n = \infty, \quad EX = \mu$$

$$\text{var} h(X_n) \not\rightarrow \text{var} h(X) \quad \text{generally}$$

(2)

14 April 04

Can use more terms for a (possibly) better approximation:

$$g(\bar{T}_n) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\bar{T}_n - \theta) + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta)(\bar{T}_n - \theta)^2 + \dots$$

ave $\bar{g}(\bar{T}_n)$?

$$E \bar{T}_n = \theta + \frac{b\theta}{n} + \dots \quad \text{biased}$$

$$\text{var } \bar{T}_n = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \dots$$

$$E(\bar{T}_n - \theta)^2 = \left(\frac{b\theta}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \dots$$

$$\text{ave } \bar{g}(\bar{T}_n) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta) \frac{b\theta}{n} + \frac{1}{2}g''(\theta) \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \dots$$

(3)

14 April 04

Variance stabilizing transformation

Correlation coefficient

$$\sqrt{n}(r - \rho) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, (1-\rho^2)^2)$$

Look for $g(\cdot)$ such that variance of the large sample distribution is approximately constant

$$\text{Wish } [g'(\rho)]^2 (1-\rho^2)^2 = c$$

$$\text{Take } g'(\rho) = \frac{c}{1-\rho^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\rho) &= \int \frac{c}{1-\rho^2} d\rho \\ &= \frac{c}{2} \int \left(\frac{1}{1+\rho} + \frac{1}{1-\rho} \right) d\rho \\ &= \frac{c}{2} \left(\log(1+\rho) - \log(1-\rho) \right) \\ &= c \tanh^{-1} \rho \end{aligned}$$

Claims: makes variable more gaussian
relationships more additive

(4)

14 April 04

An oddity r^2 when $p = 0$

$$\text{var } r^2 \sim [2p]^2 \frac{1}{n} (1-p^2)^2$$

$$= 0 \text{ when } p = 0$$

Need more terms in expansion

$$\text{var } r^2 \sim \frac{2}{n^2} \text{ when } p = 0$$

Singular $\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right|_{\theta_0}$ does occur in practical situations

e.g. estimating M I

Distributions become χ^2 , not normal

(5)

14 April 04

There are functional forms of these results,
e.g. using Fréchet or Gâteaux derivatives

Consider \bar{y}/\bar{x}

Suppose c.d.f. of (x, y) is $F(x, y)$
and

empirical c.d.f. is

$$\hat{F}_n(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \# \{ \delta_i | x_i \leq x, y_i \leq y \}$$

Then

$$\theta = \frac{\mu_y}{\mu_x} = \frac{\iint y dF(x, y)}{\iint x dF(x, y)}$$

and

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} = \frac{\iint y d\hat{F}_n(x, y)}{\iint x d\hat{F}_n(x, y)}$$

One is considering

$$\theta = t(F) \text{ and } \hat{\theta} = t(\hat{F}_n) \quad (*)$$

Might use a density estimate f_n instead of F_n

Using (*) defines $\hat{\theta}$, consistently, for all n

3.2.2. Example 2: likelihood ratio statistic

The likelihood ratio statistic is asymptotically χ^2 . Large sample approximations for this statistic can be improved through a Bartlett correction. The resultant statistic has the same moments as a χ^2 -variable up to order $n^{-3/2}$ (Lawley, 1956). In this example, the asymptotic expansion of the likelihood ratio statistic and its expected value are derived by using the tools developed in this paper.

$$\text{In[18]:= Like} = 2(\text{Sum}[l[i, th], \{i, n\}] - \text{Sum}[l[i, t], \{i, n\}])$$

$$\text{In[19]:= AsyExpLike} = \text{AsymptoticExpansion[Like, 2]}$$

$$\text{Out[19]} = \frac{z_1^2}{I_2} + \frac{z_1^3 I_{001}}{3I_2^3 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{z_1^2 z_{01}}{I_2^2 \sqrt{n}} + \frac{z_1^3 z_{001}}{3I_2^3 n} + \frac{z_1^2 z_{01}^2}{I_2^3 n} + \frac{z_1^4 I_{0001}}{12I_2^4 n} + \frac{z_1^3 z_{01} I_{001}}{I_2^4 n} + \frac{z_1^4 I_{001}^2}{4I_2^5 n}$$

The expected value of the likelihood ratio statistic is then

$$\text{In[20]:= Expand[Expect[AsyExpLike, 2]/. Union[BartRep[2, 1/2], BartRep[3, 1/3], BartRep[4, 1/4]]]}$$

$$\text{Out[20]} = 1 + \frac{I_{21}}{I_2^2 n} + \frac{I_{02}}{I_2^2 n} + \frac{I_{101}}{I_2^2 n} + \frac{I_{0001}}{4I_2^3 n} + \frac{2I_{11}^2}{I_2^3 n} + \frac{2I_{11} I_{001}}{I_2^2 n} + \frac{5I_{001}^2}{12I_2^3 n}$$

Haldane[ExpandSum[3]]

yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^2 a_{i_3 j_1}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1}} + \frac{3 a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^4}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} t_{j_1}^2} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^6}{s_{i_1} t_{j_1}} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_2}^2 a_{i_3 j_2}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1} t_{j_2}} + \\ & \frac{6 a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^2 a_{i_3 j_2}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1} t_{j_2}} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^2 a_{i_3 j_2}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1}^2 t_{j_2}} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^2 a_{i_3 j_2}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1} t_{j_2}^2} + \frac{3 a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_2}^4}{s_{i_1}^2 t_{j_1} t_{j_2}} + \\ & \frac{3 a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_2}^4}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} t_{j_1} t_{j_2}} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_1}^2 a_{i_3 j_3}^2}{s_{i_1}^3 t_{j_1} t_{j_2} t_{j_3}} + \frac{3 a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_2}^2 a_{i_3 j_3}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2}^2 t_{j_1} t_{j_2} t_{j_3}} + \frac{a_{i_1 j_1}^2 a_{i_2 j_2}^2 a_{i_3 j_3}^2}{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} t_{j_3}}, \\ & \frac{s_{i_1} (-2+s_{i_3})(-1+s_{i_2}) s_{i_3} (-2+t_{j_1})(-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} t_{j_2}}{(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n} + \\ & \frac{(-1+s_{i_1}) s_{i_1} (-2+s_{i_2})(-1+s_{i_3}) s_{i_2} (-3+t_{j_1})(-2+t_{j_1})(-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} t_{j_2}}{(-4+n)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n} + \\ & \frac{s_{i_1} (-2+s_{i_2})(-1+s_{i_3}) s_{i_2} (-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} (-1+t_{j_2}) t_{j_2}}{(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n} + \\ & \frac{(-1+s_{i_1}) s_{i_1} (-2+s_{i_2})(-1+s_{i_3}) s_{i_2} (-2+t_{j_1})(-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} (-1+t_{j_2}) t_{j_2}}{(-4+n)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n} + \\ & \frac{s_{i_1} (-3+s_{i_2})(-2+s_{i_3}) (-1+s_{i_2}) s_{i_2} (-2+t_{j_1})(-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} (-1+t_{j_2}) t_{j_2}}{(-4+n)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n} + \\ & \frac{(-1+s_{i_1}) s_{i_1} (-3+s_{i_2})(-2+s_{i_3})(-1+s_{i_2}) s_{i_2} (-3+t_{j_1})(-2+t_{j_1})(-1+t_{j_1}) t_{j_1} (-1+t_{j_2}) t_{j_2}}{(-5+n)(-4+n)(-3+n)(-2+n)(-1+n)n}. \end{aligned}$$

(6)

14 April 04

Replicated subsamplesInterpenetrating subsamples (Mahalanabis)

One computes $\hat{\theta}_i = t(\hat{f}_{im})$ for the
 i -th subsample.

$$\delta = \bigcup_i \delta_i, \quad \delta_i \cap \delta_{i'} = \emptyset \quad i \neq i'$$

Purposes

1. To estimate sampling variances when sample design is complicated and exact estimators are unavailable or cumbersome

2. To control field work

3. To measure components of nonsampling variances (e.g. enumerators)

Particularly useful for the study of correlated errors.

\bar{Y} overall sample mean

\bar{Y}_i mean of i -th subsample

Estimate $\text{var } \bar{Y}$ by

$$\frac{1}{I} \sum_i (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2 / (I-1)$$

Faster

(7)

14 April 04

Anova

	SS	DF	MS
Between subsamples	$\sum_j \sum_i (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$	I-1	s_b^2
Within subsamples	$\sum_j \sum_i (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2$	I(J-1)	s_w^2
Total	$\sum_j \sum_i (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y})^2$	IJ-1	

Perhaps $Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ τ_i random

τ_i make values in i -th subsample correlated

$$\text{corr}\{Y_{ij}, Y_{ij'}\} = \frac{\sigma_\tau^2}{\sigma_\epsilon^2 + \sigma_\tau^2}$$

$$E s_w^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2$$

$$E s_b^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2 + I \sigma_\tau^2$$

15 April 04

The jackknife. $n = IJ$, I groups of J

$\hat{\theta}$ based on all the data

$\hat{\theta}_{-i}$ " " " but the i -th group

$$\hat{\theta}_{pi} = I\hat{\theta} - (I-1)\hat{\theta}_{-i}$$

$$\text{ave } (I\hat{\theta} - (I-1)\hat{\theta}_{-i}) = \theta + \frac{c}{(IJ)} + \dots$$

$\bar{\theta} = \text{ave } \hat{\theta}_{pi}$ has reduced bias, in an asymptotic sense

$$\hat{b} - \bar{b} = -(I-1)[\hat{\theta} - \sum \hat{\theta}_{-i}/n]$$

estimates the bias

$$s^2 = \sum_i (\hat{\theta}_{pi} - \bar{\theta})^2 / (I-1)$$

Estimate of $\text{var } \bar{\theta}$, $\text{var } \bar{\theta}$ divided by

$$\frac{s^2}{I}$$

J.W. Tukey, M. Quenouille

(2)

15 April 04

$$\text{E.g. } \hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{x}_1 + \dots + \hat{x}_n}{n} = \bar{x}$$

$$= \frac{J\bar{x}_1 + \dots + J\bar{x}_I}{IJ}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_I}{I}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{-i} = \frac{J\bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_{-i} - J\bar{x}_i}{(I-1)J}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_{-i} - \bar{x}_i}{(I-1)J}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{pi} = \bar{x}_i$$

$$\bar{\theta} = \hat{\theta} = \bar{x} \text{ here}$$

$$\hat{\theta} - \bar{\theta} = 0$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2 / (I-1)$$

$\text{var } \bar{x}$ estimated by $\hat{\sigma}^2/I$

(3)

15 April 04

Other justifications are asymptotic, e.g.

$$\text{for } \hat{\theta} = g(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \dots)$$

(function of means)

Which asymptotics?

I fixed, $J \rightarrow \infty$ easy

$I \rightarrow \infty$, J fixed harder

e.g. $J = 1$

The estimate is inconsistent for the sample median when $J=1$. (Not a regular enough functional)

Might compute for: histogram, gg plot, ...

There are also weighted jackknives, e.g. for regression

Tibshirani suggested $I = 10$

20 April 04

Advantages of the jackknife.

"like the Boy Scout's knife, it can be used to do many jobs..."

Just need a program to evaluate the estimate of interest, $\hat{\theta}$

8 Nov 01

Variants of the pack knifing

1. drop 1, -1, S
2. regression
3. vector-case
4. what really needed
var $\hat{G} \propto \frac{\hat{S}^2}{n}$.
5. which asymptotics?
6. bias correction vs variance
(history)
7. missing values