

# Lecture 9

David Aldous

16 September 2015

## Ideas used in Lecture 8.

- Communicating classes, defined in terms of possible transitions.
- Definition of stationary distribution.
- Special structures making it easy to calculate the stationary distribution: doubly stochastic, detailed balance, RW on weighted undirected graph, success runs.

To repeat the first item, recall that the transition matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  of a Markov chain can be represented as a weighted directed graph. In the previous lecture we first looked at some “structure theory” – some qualitative aspects of the chain’s behavior do not depend on actual numerical transition probabilities but only on the graph of possible transitions.

- $j$  is **accessible** from  $i$  if there is a (directed) path from  $i$  to  $j$  (or  $i = j$ ).
- $i$  and  $j$  **communicate** if each is accessible from the other.
- Because “communicate” is an equivalence relation, the state space **States** can be partitioned into **communicating classes** (CCs), say  $C_1, C_2, \dots$ , such that  $i$  and  $j$  **communicate** if and only if they are in the same CC.
- A class  $C$  is **open** if it is possible to leave; that is if  $p_{ij} > 0$  for some  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ . Otherwise it is **closed**.
- The graph is **strongly connected** if there is only one CC, that is if all states communicate. In the Markov chain context this property is called **irreducible**.

Here is another definition that depends only on the graph of possible transitions. Suppose we can partition the states into  $k \geq 2$  subsets  $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{k-1}$  such that every transition  $i \rightarrow j$  takes the particle from its current subset to the next subset. That is

$$\text{if } i \in D_u \text{ and } p_{ij} > 0 \text{ then } j \in D_{u+1} \quad (1)$$

where  $u + 1$  is taken modulo  $k$ .

If (1) holds for some  $k$  the chain is said to have **period**  $k$ . (More precisely, the period is the largest  $k$  for which (1) holds). If not, the chain is called **aperiodic**.

Some later theory will involve the assumption that a chain is irreducible and aperiodic. Given irreducible, to check the chain is aperiodic it is sufficient to know that  $p_{ii} > 0$  for some  $i$ . The general necessary-and-sufficient condition – see [PK] section 4.3.2 – is that for some state  $i$

greatest common divisor of  $\{t : p_{ii}^{(t)} > 0\}$  is 1.

## Stationary distributions

Recall the distribution  $\mu(t)$  of  $X_t$  evolves as  $\mu(t) = \mu(t-1)\mathbf{P}$  in vector-matrix notation. So suppose a probability distribution  $\pi = (\pi_i, i \in \mathbf{States})$  satisfies

$$\pi = \pi\mathbf{P}; \quad \text{that is } \sum_i \pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j \quad \forall j. \quad (2)$$

If the chain has initial (time-0) distribution  $\mu(0) = \pi$  then  $\mu(t) = \pi$  for every time  $t$ . A distribution  $\pi$  satisfying (2) is called **stationary**.

This language is a bit confusing, when we imagine a Markov chain as a particle jumping between states. The particle continues to move even when we have a stationary distribution; **stationary** refers to the fact that the **probabilities** (of where the particle is at time  $t$ ) do not change with time  $t$ .

If  $\mu(t)$  and  $\mu(\infty)$  are probability distributions on **States**, then convergence  $\mu(t) \rightarrow \mu(\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  means  $\mu_i(t) \rightarrow \mu_i(\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $i \in \mathbf{States}$ .

So if  $\mu(t)$  is the distribution of  $X(t)$  then  $\mu(t) \rightarrow \mu(\infty)$  means

$$\mu_i(t) = \mathbb{P}(X(t) = i) \rightarrow \mu_i(\infty) \text{ for each } i \in \mathbf{States}. \quad (3)$$

**Suppose** that for a chain with transition matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  we know (3) holds. Then (3) implies

$$\mu(t+1) = \mu(t)\mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mu(\infty)\mathbf{P}$$

which implies, because  $\mu(t+1) \rightarrow \mu(\infty)$ ,

$$\mu(\infty) = \mu(\infty)\mathbf{P}$$

That is, the limit distribution of  $X(t)$ , if it exists, must be a stationary distribution, which we will now call  $\pi$ .

**Mean occupation times.** Consider a state  $i$  and time  $t$ .

$$\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{1}_{(X(s)=i)} = \text{number of visits to } i \text{ before } t$$

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{1}_{(X(s)=i)} = \text{proportion of time at } i \text{ before } t$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{1}_{(X(s)=i)}\right] = \text{mean proportion of time at } i \text{ before } t$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \mathbb{P}(X(s) = i).$$

From algebra/calculus, if  $a(t) \rightarrow a(\infty)$  then  $\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} a(s) \rightarrow a(\infty)$ .

**Conclusion.** For a Markov chain, if  $\mu(t) \rightarrow \pi$  then

(mean proportion of time at  $i$  before  $t$ )  $\rightarrow \pi_i$ .

We can extend this idea by imagining **costs**  $c(i)$  (or gains). That is, suppose spending unit time in state  $i$  incurs a cost  $c(i)$ . Then, by summing over all states  $i$ .

$$\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} (\text{total cost during times } \{0, 1, \dots, t-1\}) \rightarrow \sum_i c_i \pi_i.$$

In our earlier context of setting up first-step analysis of hitting times  $\mathbb{E}_i T_A$ , we can also consider the mean total cost up to time  $T_A$  – see [PK] sec. 3.4.2.



We now come to the central part of theory for Markov chains. Everyone says this theory in slightly different ways. See [PK] section 4.4; also a concise theory treatment with proofs is in [BZ] sections 5.3 - 5.4.

Assume irreducible; state space may be finite or countable infinite.

Recall  $T_i = \min\{t \geq 0 : X_t = i\}$  and define also the **return time**

$$T_i^+ = \min\{t \geq 1 : X_t = i\}.$$

Fix a reference state  $b$ .

### Theorem

*Suppose irreducible.*

(a) *If state space is finite then  $\mathbb{E}_b T_b^+ < \infty$ .*

(b) *Suppose  $\mathbb{E}_b T_b^+ < \infty$ . Define*

$$a(b, i) = \mathbb{E}_b \sum_{s=1}^{T_b^+} \mathbf{1}_{(X(s)=i)}$$

*= mean number of visits to  $i$  before returning to  $b$ . So  $a(b, b) = 1$ . Then*

$$\pi_i = \frac{a(b, i)}{\mathbb{E}_b T_b^+}$$

*is a stationary distribution, and is the **only** stationary distribution.*

## Discussion.

- (a) There is a calculation which checks this  $\pi$  does satisfy  $\pi = \pi \mathbf{P}$ .  
(b) Because  $\pi$  is the same for each choice of  $b$  we have another formula

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_i T_i^+} \text{ for each } i.$$

- (c) For an irreducible chain, the properties

$$\mathbb{E}_i T_i^+ < \infty \text{ for some } i$$

$$\mathbb{E}_i T_i^+ < \infty \text{ for all } i$$

are equivalent. When these hold we call the chain **positive-recurrent**.

- (d) The theorem implies that every finite-state irreducible chain is positive-recurrent. So every finite-state irreducible chain has a unique stationary distribution.