Events A and B are **independent** if:

knowing whether A occured does not change the probability of B.

Mathematically, can say in two equivalent ways:

$$P(B|A) = P(B)$$

 $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(B \cap A) = P(B) \times P(A).$

Important to distinguish independence from **mutually exclusive** which would say $B \cap A$ is empty (cannot happen).

Example. Deal 2 cards from deck

- A first card is Ace
- C second card is Ace

$$P(C|A) = rac{3}{51}$$

 $P(C) = rac{4}{52}$ (last class).

So *A* and *C* are **dependent**.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ ▲ ■ ● ● ●

Example. Throw 2 dice

- A first die lands 1
- B second die shows larger number than first die
- C both dice show same number

$$P(B|A) = \frac{5}{6}$$
 $P(B) = ? = \frac{15}{36}$ by counting

so A and B dependent.

$$P(C|A) = \frac{1}{6}$$
 $P(C) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$

so A and C independent.

Note 1: here B and C are mutually exclusive. Note 2: writing B' = " second dia shows smaller number

Note 2: writing B' = "second die shows smaller number than first die " we have

$$P(B') = P(B)$$
 by symmetry
 $P(B \cup B') = P(C^c) = 1 - P(C) = \frac{5}{6}$

giving a "non-counting" argument that $P(B) = \frac{5}{12}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Example. Deal 1 card from deck

- A card is Ace
- S card is Spade

$$P(A) = rac{4}{52}$$
 $P(S) = rac{13}{52}$ $P(A \cap S) = rac{1}{52}$.

Here $P(A \cap S) = P(A) \times P(S)$ so independent.

Conceptual point.

(a) In a fully-specified math model, two events are either dependent or independent; can be checked by calculation.

(b) Often we use independence as an **assumption** in making a model. For instance we **assume** that different die throws give independent results. Most probability models one encounters in engineering or science have some assumption of "bottom level" independence; but one needs to be careful about which other events within the model are independent.

(silly) Example.

Throw 2 dice. If sum is at least 7 I show you the dice; if not, I don't.

- A: I show you first die lands 1
- B: I show you second die lands 1

$$P(A) = \frac{1}{36}, \quad P(B) = \frac{1}{36}, \quad P(A \cap B) = 0$$

so A and B **dependent**.

Conceptual point. This illustrates a subtle point: being told by a truthful person that "A happened" is not (for probability/statistics purposes) exactly the same as "knowing A happened".

[car accident example]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Systems of components

Will show **logic diagrams**: system works if there is some path left-to-right which passes only though working components.

Assume components work/fail independently,

$$P(C_i \text{ works }) = p_i, \quad P(C_i \text{ fails }) = 1 - p_i.$$

Note in practice the independence assumption is usually unrealistic.

Math question: calculate P(system works) in terms of the numbers p_i and the network structure.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 めへぐ

Example: "in series".

[picture on board]

 $P(\text{ system works }) = p_1 p_2 p_3.$

Example: "in parallel".

[picture on board]

$$P($$
 system fails $) = (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)(1 - p_3).$
 $P($ system works $) = 1 - (1 - p_1)(1 - p_2)(1 - p_3).$

More complicated example:

[picture on board]

We <u>could</u> write out all 16 combinations; instead let's condition on whether or not C_1 works.

 $P(\text{system works}) = P(\text{system works}|C_1 \text{ works})P(C_1 \text{ works}) + P(\text{system works}|C_1 \text{ fails})P(C_1 \text{ fails})$

[continue on board]

Example: Deal 4 cards. What is chance we get exactly one Spade?

event	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	
F_1	S	Ν	Ν	Ν	
F_2	Ν	S	Ν	Ν	
F ₃					
F_4	Ν	Ν	Ν	S	

[board: repeated conditioning]

$$P(F_1) = \frac{13}{52} \times \frac{39}{51} \times \frac{38}{50} \times \frac{37}{49}$$
$$P(F_1) = P(F_2) = P(F_3) = P(F_4)$$
$$P(\text{exactly one Spade}) = P(F_1 \text{ or } F_2 \text{ or } F_3 \text{ or } F_4))$$
$$= P(F_1) + P(F_2) + P(F_3) + P(F_4) = 4 \times P(F_1) \approx 44\%.$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Example: Deal 4 cards. What is chance we get one card of each suit?

event	1st	2nd	3rd	4th				
A_1	С	D	Н	S				
A_2	С	D	S	Н				
		•	•					
			$P(A_1$) = -	$\frac{13}{2}$ ×	$\frac{13}{-1}$ >	$\langle \frac{13}{52} \rangle$	$\langle \frac{13}{12}$

$$P(A_1) = \frac{15}{52} \times \frac{15}{51} \times \frac{15}{50} \times \frac{15}{49}$$

 $P(A_1) = P(A_2) = \dots$

Number of possible orders $= 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1 = 24 = 4!$

 $P(\text{one card of each suit}) = 24 \times P(A_1) \approx 10.5\%.$

Bayes rule: updating probabilities as new information is acquired. **(silly) Example** There are 2 coins:

one is fair: P(Heads) = 1/2; one is biased: P(Heads) = 9/10Pick one coin at random. Toss 3 times. Suppose we get 3 Heads. What then is the chance that the coin we picked is the biased coin?

Abstract set-up: Partition $(B_1, B_2, ...)$ of "alternate possibilities". Know **prior** probabilities $P(B_i)$.

Then observe some event A happens (the "new information") for which we know $P(A|B_i)$. We want to calculate the **posterior** probabilities $P(B_i|A)$.

Bayes formula:

$$P(B_i|A) = \frac{P(A|B_i)P(B_i)}{P(A|B_1)P(B_1) + P(A|B_2)P(B_2) + \dots}.$$

[example above on board]