# Beyond the black box: Flexible algorithm programming for ecological models in NIMBLE

Christopher Paciorek UC Berkeley Statistics

Joint work with:

Colin Lewis-Beck Perry de Valpine (PI) Daniel Turek Lauren Ponisio Nick Michaud Iowa State Statistics / Google Summer of Code 2017 UC Berkeley Environmental Science, Policy and Management Williams College, Mathematics and Statistics UC Riverside Entomology UC Berkeley Statistics and ESPM

https://r-nimble.org





Funded by the US National Science Foundation

#### What do we want to do with hierarchical models?

#### 1. More and better MCMC

- Many different samplers
- Better adaptive algorithms

#### 2. Numerical integration

- Laplace approximation
- Adaptive Gaussian quadrature
- Hidden Markov models

#### 3. Maximum likelihood estimation

- Monte Carlo EM
- Data cloning
- Monte Carlo Newton-Raphson

#### 4. Sequential Monte Carlo

- Auxiliary Particle Filter
- Ensemble Kalman Filter
- Unscented Kalman Filter

#### 5. Normalizing constants (AIC or Bayes Factors)

- Importance sampling
- Bridge sampling
- Others
- 6. Model assessment
- Bootstrapping
- Calibrated posterior predictive checks
- Cross-validation
- Posterior re-weighting
- 7. Idea cominbations
- PF + MCMC
- Resample-move
- MCMC + Laplace/quadrature

#### These are just some ideas from a vast literature.

#### NIMBLE

#### Model language (BUGS/JAGS)



NIMBLE makes BUGS extensible from R:

- Add new functions
- Add new distributions
- Call external code

#### Algorithm Language



## Goals

- Retaining BUGS compatibility
- Making BUGS more flexible
- Providing a variety of standard algorithms
- Allowing users to easily modify those algorithms
- Allowing developers to add new algorithms (including modular combination of algorithms)
- Allowing users to operate within R
- Providing speed via compilation to C++, with R wrappers

# NIMBLE

1. Model specification

BUGS language  $\rightarrow$  R/C++ model object

2. Algorithm library

MCMC, Particle Filter/Sequential MC, MCEM, etc.

3. Algorithm specification

NIMBLE programming language within R  $\rightarrow$  R/C++ algorithm object

# NIMBLE's algorithm library

- MCMC samplers:
  - Conjugate, adaptive Metropolis, adaptive blocked Metropolis, slice, elliptical slice sampler, particle MCMC, specialized samplers for particular distributions (Dirichlet, CAR, Chinese Restaurant Process)
  - Flexible choice of sampler for each parameter
  - User-specified blocks of parameters
  - Cross-validation, WAIC
- Sequential Monte Carlo (particle filters)
  - Various flavors
- Write your own / easily modify ours

# NIMBLE in Ecology

- User-defined distributions for integrating over high-dimensional discrete latent states
  - E.g., capture-recapture, occupancy models
- Flexibility in coding numerical tricks within a BUGS model for faster computation
- User choice of samplers and blocking
- Users can modify and add custom samplers for use in combination with NIMBLE's samplers
- Useful model selection/assessment tools:
  - WAIC
  - calibrated posterior predictive p-values
  - reversible jump

#### Multi-state capture-recapture: geese

- N=11,200 Canada geese
- 3 locations of 'capture' (i.e., sighting)
- 4 years of data
- 153 unique sighting histories

(survival)  $\phi_r \sim \text{Uniform}(0,1)$  r = 1,2,3

(movement)  $\{\psi_{1st}, \psi_{2st}, \psi_{3st}\} \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha = \{1, 1, 1\})$  s = 1, 2, 3, t = 2, 3, 4

(detection)  $p_{rt} \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ 

 $X_{i1} = y_{i1}$ 

(site location, dead)  $X_{it} \mid X_{i,t-1} \sim \text{Categorical}(p = T_t \; x_{i,t-1})$   $t = 2, \dots, k$ 

(site observed, not seen)  $Y_{it} | X_{it} \sim \text{Categorical}(p = Z_t x_{it})$   $t = 1, \dots, k$ 

- Data: Armstrup et al. (2010) Handbook of Capture-Recapture Analysis
- Methods: Turek et al (2016), Env. Ecol. Stat.

 $r = 1, 2, 3, \quad t = 1, 2, 3, 4$ 

#### Multi-state capture-recapture: filtering

- 14,437 latent variables + 21 parameters
- Discrete filtering to numerically integrate (i.e., sum) over latent variables

Filtering equations

 $P_t(x) = \Pr(X_t = x \mid y_{1:t-1})$ 

$$= \sum_{x_{t-1} \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr(X_t = x \mid X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}) \Pr(X_{t-1} = x_{t-1} \mid y_{1:t-1})$$

$$Q_t(x) = \Pr(X_t = x \mid y_{1:t})$$
  
=  $\Pr(X_t = x \mid y_{1:t-1}) \Pr(Y_t = y_t \mid X_t = x) / \Pr(Y_t = y_t \mid y_{1:t-1})$ 

$$L_{t} = \Pr(Y_{t} = y_{t} \mid y_{1:t-1})$$
  
=  $\sum_{x_{t} \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr(Y_{t} = y_{t} \mid X_{t} = x_{t}) \Pr(X_{t} = x_{t} \mid y_{1:t-1})$ 

Matrix formulation

$$P_t = T_t Q_{t-1}, \qquad t \ge 2$$

$$Q_t = Z_t(y_t)' * P_t / L_t, \quad t \ge 1$$

$$L_t = Z_t(y_t) P_t, \qquad t \ge 1$$

Marginalized likelihood:

 $L(\theta \,|\, y) = L_1 L_2 \cdots L_k$ 

## Multi-state capture-recapture: MCMC

Embed filtering as a user-defined distribution in BUGS code

```
code <- nimbleCode({</pre>
```

```
### ... priors for 'p', 'phi', 'psi' ###
```

```
Z[1:4,1:4,1:4] <- calcZ(p[1:6])
T[1:4,1:4,1:4] <- calcT(phi[1:3], psi[1:3,1:3,1:2])
```

```
for (i in 1:nind) {
    y[i, first[i]:k] ~ dDHMM(length = k-first[i]+1, prior = prior[1:4], condition =
    condition[1:4], Z = Z[1:k,1:k,first[i]:k], useZt = 1, T = T[1:k,1:k,first[i]:k], useTt = 1,
    mult = mult[i])
    }
})
```

70-fold improvement in MCMC (including using weighted likelihood with unique sample histories)

### Multi-state capture-recapture: MCMC (2)

#### Easily try out various samplers

```
conf <- configureMCMC(Rmodel)
                                                   ## setup default MCMC samplers
conf$printSamplers()
#[1] RW sampler: p[1]
# ...
# [21] RW sampler: psi[2, 3, 2]
nodes <- Rmodel$getNodeNames(stochOnly = TRUE, includeData = FALSE)
conf$removeSamplers(nodes)
                                                  ## remove default samplers
for(node in nodes) {
  conf$addSampler(node, type = 'slice')
                                                  ## add slice samplers
```

```
}
```

```
Rmcmc <- buildMCMC(conf)
Cmcmc <- compileNimble(Rmcmc)
runMCMC(Cmcmc, 10000)
```

## build MCMC algorithm ## compile MCMC algorithm ## run MCMC

#### **Easily block parameters**

```
nodes <- list(c('psi[1,1,1]','psi[2,1,1]'),
        c('psi[1,2,1]','psi[2,2,1]'),
        c('psi[1,1,2]','psi[2,1,2]'))
for(i in seq along(nodes)) {
  conf$removeSamplers(nodes[[i]])
  conf$addSampler(nodes[[i]], type = 'RW_block') ## use block sampling for highly-correlated parameters
```

## highly-correlated parameters (corr > 0.9)

## build, compile and run as above

### Multi-state capture-recapture: Results

MCMC performance aggregated across 21 parameters based on effective sample size with 10,000 iterations

Metric	Filtering (Metropolis)	Filtering + Slice	Filtering + Blocking
Minimum ESS	26	106	121
Mean ESS	294	1173	340

### Multi-state capture-recapture: Results

MCMC performance aggregated across 21 parameters based on effective sample size with 10,000 iterations

Metric	Filtering (Metropolis)	Filtering + Slice	Filtering + Blocking
Minimum ESS	26	106	121
Mean ESS	294	1173	340
Minimum ESS/second	0.7	0.7	5.9
Mean ESS/second	7.8	7.6	16.7

## Spatial capture-recapture: voles

- Field voles in a forest in northern England
- Data from summer 2000
- N=158 tagged voles
- Spatial grid of traps: 192 traps on 11x18 grid
- 20 observation periods
- Interest lies in understanding demographics, including survival and movement



- Model for each individual:
  - Latent state: alive or dead/emigrated at each time
  - Latent activity center at each time
  - Dispersal kernel to model movement from time to time
  - Detection and survival probabilities

Work by: Daniel Turek (NIMBLE), Torbjørn Ergon (University of Oslo)

Computational strategies enabled by NIMBLE:

- 1. Custom BUGS distribution: Integrate over latent alive/dead status via discrete filtering (see goose example).
- 2. Custom BUGS distribution: Move computation of dispersal into a second user-defined distribution to remove parameters and reduce model size.
- 3. Custom BUGS function: Carefully limit computations of "trap exposure" to avoid doing all pairwise computations of probabilities of each individual being caught in each trap.

2. Custom BUGS distribution: Move computation of dispersal into a second user-defined distribution to remove parameters and reduce model size

#### Original BUGS code:

```
for(k in first[i]:(last[i]-1)) {
    theta[i, k] ~ dunif(-3.141593, 3.141593)  # dispersal direction
    d[i, k] ~ dexp(dlambda[gr[i]])  # dispersal distance
    S[i, 1, k+1] <- S[i, 1, k] + d[i, k] * cos(theta[i, k])
    S[i, 2, k+1] <- S[i, 2, k] + d[i, k] * sin(theta[i, k])
    }
Revised BUGS code:
    for(k in first[i]:(last[i]-1)) {
</pre>
```

```
S[i, 1:2, k+1] ~ dSS(S[i, 1:2, k], dlambda[gr[i]]) # direct distribution over center
}
```

3. Custom BUGS functions: Carefully limit computations of "trap exposure" to avoid doing all pairwise computations of probabilities of each individual being caught in each trap.

• Original BUGS code:

- Revised BUGS code:
  - Replace middle line with calls to user-defined functions that implement efficient algorithms for computing only the probabilities of an individual being trapped near to the current activity center

```
g[i, k, 1:R] <- calcLocalTrapExposure(localTrapIndices, ...)
```

• Cache determination of nearby traps as part of model graph to limit recalculation

```
localTrapIndices[i, k, 1:MaxNumberLocalTraps] <- getLocalTrapIndices(...)</pre>
```

NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

Time per single effectively independent sample

0. Default model (full latent state model)

5 minutes / sample

- Custom BUGS distribution: Integrate over latent alive/dead status via discrete filtering (see goose example).
   40 seconds / sample
- Custom BUGS distribution: Move computation of dispersal into a second user-defined distribution to remove parameters and reduce model size.
   21 seconds / sample
- 3. Custom BUGS function: Carefully limit computations of "trap exposure" to avoid doing all pairwise computations of probabilities of each individual being caught in each trap.

8 seconds per sample

# Model-generic algorithm programming

Wanted: a Metropolis-Hastings sampler with normal random-walk proposals.



Challenge: It should work for any node of any model. Solution: Two-stage evaluation.

> NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

#### NIMBLE: Model-generic programming

sampler\_myRW <- nimbleFunction(</pre>

setup = function(model, mvSaved, targetNode, scale) {
 calcNodes <- model\$getDependencies(targetNode)</pre>

```
},
```

```
run = function() {
```

model\_lp\_initial <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
proposal <- rnorm(1, model[[targetNode]], scale)
model[[targetNode]] <<- proposal</pre>

```
model_lp_proposed <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
log_MH_ratio <- model_lp_proposed - model_lp_initial</pre>
```

if(decide(log\_MH\_ratio)) jump <- TRUE else jump <- FALSE

# .... Various bookkeeping operations ... # })

NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

2 kinds of \_functions

#### NIMBLE: Model-generic programming

sampler\_myRW <- nimbleFunction(</pre>

setup = function(model, mvSaved, targetNode, scale) {
 calcNodes <- model\$getDependencies(targetNode)</pre>

```
},
```

run = function() {

model\_lp\_initial <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
proposal <- rnorm(1, model[[targetNode]], scale)
model[[targetNode]] <<- proposal
model\_lp\_proposed <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
log\_MH\_ratio <- model\_lp\_proposed - model\_lp\_initial</pre>

if(decide(log\_MH\_ratio)) jump <- TRUE
 else jump <- FALSE</pre>

# .... Various bookkeeping operations ... # })

NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

query model

structure

ONCF

#### NIMBLE: Model-generic programming

sampler\_myRW <- nimbleFunction(</pre>

setup = function(model, mvSaved, targetNode, scale) {
 calcNodes <- model\$getDependencies(targetNode)</pre>

```
},
```

```
run = function() {
```

model\_lp\_initial <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
proposal <- rnorm(1, model[[targetNode]], scale)
model[[targetNode]] <<- proposal
model\_lp\_proposed <- calculate(model, calcNodes)
log\_MH\_ratio <- model\_lp\_proposed - model\_lp\_initial</pre>

if(decide(log\_MH\_ratio)) jump <- TRUE
 else jump <- FALSE</pre>

# .... Various bookkeeping operations ... # })

NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

the actual (generic) algorithm

# The NIMBLE compiler (run code)

#### Feature summary:

- R-like matrix algebra (using Eigen library)
- R-like indexing (e.g. X[1:5,])
- Use of model variables and nodes
- Model calculate (logProb) and simulate functions
- Sequential integer iteration
- If-then-else, do-while
- Access to much of Rmath.h (e.g. distributions)
- Call out to your own C/C++ or back to R
- Many improvements / extensions planned
  - Derivatives (coming soon)

# NIMBLE software stack



NIMBLE: extensible software for hierarchical models (r-nimble.org)

## NIMBLE: What can I program?

- Your own distribution for use in a model
- Your own function for use in a model
- Your own MCMC sampler for a variable in a model
- A new MCMC sampling algorithm for general use
- A new algorithm for hierarchical models
- An algorithm that composes other existing algorithms (e.g., MCMC-SMC combinations)

# NIMBLE in Ecology

- User-defined distributions for integrating over high-dimensional discrete latent states
  - To be provided in forthcoming nimbleEcology R package
- Flexibility in coding numerical tricks within a BUGS model for faster computation
- User choice of samplers and blocking
- Users can modify and add custom samplers for use in combination with NIMBLE's samplers
- Useful model selection/assessment tools: WAIC (in NIMBLE), calibrated posterior predictive pvalues (nearing release), reversible jump (see rnimble.org example)

# Status of NIMBLE and Next Steps

- First release was June 2014 with regular releases since. Lots to do:
  - Improve the user interface and speed up compilation (in progress)
  - Scalability for large models (in progress)
  - Ongoing Bayesian nonparametrics with Claudia Wehrhahn & Abel Rodriguez
  - Refinement/extension of the DSL for algorithms (in progress)
    - e.g., automatic differentiation, parallelization
  - Additional algorithms written in NIMBLE DSL
    - e.g., normalizing constant calculation, Laplace approximations, Hamiltonian MC
- Interested?
  - We have funding for a postdoc or programmer
  - We have funding to bring selected users to Berkeley for intensive collaboration
  - Announcements: <u>nimble-announce</u> Google site
  - User support/discussion: <u>nimble-users</u> Google site
  - Write an algorithm using NIMBLE!
  - Help with development of NIMBLE: email <u>nimble.stats@gmail.com</u> or see github.com/nimble-dev

