

The OLS regression model is

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon,$$

where  $Y$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of observable random variables,  $X$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix of observable random variables with rank  $p < n$ , and  $\epsilon$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of unobservable random variables, IID with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ , independent of  $X$ . We can weaken the assumptions on  $\epsilon$ , to

$$E(\epsilon|X) = 0_{n \times 1}, \quad \text{cov}(\epsilon|X) = \sigma^2 I_{n \times n}. \quad (*)$$

VECTOR VERSION OF GAUSS-MARKOV. Assume (\*). Suppose  $X$  is fixed (not random). The OLS estimator is BLUE.

The acronym BLUE stands for Best Linear Unbiased Estimator, i.e., the one with the smallest covariance matrix. If  $\hat{\beta}$  is the OLS estimator and  $\tilde{\beta}$  is another linear estimator that is unbiased, then  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$ , i.e.,  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) - \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$  is a non-negative definite matrix; furthermore,  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$  implies  $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$ . That is what the matrix version of the theorem says.

Proof. Recall that  $X$  is fixed. A linear estimator  $\tilde{\beta}$  must be of the form  $MY$ , where  $M$  is a  $p \times n$  matrix. Since  $MY = MX\beta + M\epsilon$  and  $E(M\epsilon) = ME(\epsilon) = 0_{n \times 1}$ , unbiasedness means that  $MX\beta = \beta$  for all  $\beta$ . Thus,  $MX = I_{p \times p}$ , and  $X'M' = I_{p \times p}$  as well. Furthermore,  $MY = \beta + M\epsilon$ .

For  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}}$ , we have  $M = M_0$  with  $M_0 = (X'X)^{-1}X'$ . Let  $\Delta = M - M_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X &= MX - M_0 X \\ &= MX - (X'X)^{-1} X'X \\ &= I_{p \times p} - I_{p \times p} = 0_{p \times p}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\Delta M_0' = \Delta X(X'X)^{-1} = 0_{p \times p}$ , and  $M_0 \Delta' = 0_{p \times p}$  too. As noted above,  $E(M\epsilon) = 0$ . And  $E(\epsilon\epsilon') = \sigma^2 I_{n \times n}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(MY) &= \text{cov}(M\epsilon) \\ &= E(M\epsilon\epsilon'M') \\ &= \sigma^2 MM' \\ &= \sigma^2 (M_0 + \Delta)(M_0 + \Delta)' \\ &= \sigma^2 (M_0 M_0' + \Delta \Delta' + \Delta M_0' + M_0 \Delta') \\ &= \sigma^2 (M_0 M_0' + \Delta \Delta') = \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}) + \sigma^2 \Delta \Delta'. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta \Delta'$  is non-negative definite,  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$ . Finally,  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) = \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$  implies  $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta}$  because  $\Delta \Delta' = 0_{p \times p}$  implies  $\Delta = 0_{p \times n}$ : look at the diagonal of  $\Delta \Delta'$ . This completes the proof.

Discussion. *Statistical Models* has the “single-contrast” version of the theorem, which starts with an estimator for the scalar parameter  $c'\beta$ . The vector version, on the other hand, starts with an estimator for the vector parameter  $\beta$ . The vector version implies the single-contrast version: take the given contrast  $c$ ; adjoin  $p - 1$  linearly independent contrasts; the vector theorem is invariant under linear re-parameterizations of the column space. (The details of this argument, however, may not be entirely transparent.) By a somewhat more direct argument, the single-contrast version implies the vector version:  $c'\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta})c = \text{var}(c'\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{var}(c'\hat{\beta}) = c'\text{cov}(\hat{\beta})c$  for all  $c$ , i.e.,  $\text{cov}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \text{cov}(\hat{\beta})$ .