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Some observations:

- the average price goes up with time
- those who sell at a lower price can raise prices more easily
- those who sell at a higher price cannot raise prices as easily

Questions:

- What is the speed of the rise of the average price?
- What is the distribution of the prices around the average price?
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Goats jump on $\mathbb{R}$.

- $n$ goats jump on $\mathbb{R}$ (state space is $\mathbb{R}^n$).
- Given a configuration $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ of goats the center of mass is $m_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$.
- Goat $i$ jumps with rate $w(x_i - m_n)$, where $w$ is the jump rate function: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, decreasing.
- Jump lengths are positive, random, independent of everything, have mean one (and are of density $\varphi$).
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Similar models / results:

- Jump processes with interaction
  - ben-Avraham, Majumdar, Redner ’07
  - Greenberg, Malyshev, Popov ’95
  - Manita, Shcherbakov ’05
  - Grigorescu, Kang ’10

- Interacting diffusions with applications in stochastic portfolio theory
  - Banner, Fernholz, Karatzas ’04
  - Pal, Pitman ’08
  - Chatterjee, Pal ’10
  - Shkolnikov ’10, ’11, ’12
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First question: what is the stationary distribution of the particles? As seen from the center of mass $m_n(t)$, of course.

$n = 2$ particles: not hard.
(e.g. case $\varphi \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ jumps, $w(x) = e^{-2x}$ jump rates
$\leadsto$ logistic distribution , with density $\cosh^{-2}(z)$)

$n = 3$ particles: already seems hopeless. The process is “very irreversible”.
$n = 3$ particles, jump lengths are deterministically 1
Mean field theory

- Replaces all interactions with an average interaction
- Many-particle problem $\rightsquigarrow$ one-particle problem
- Resolves combinatorial problems

$\varrho(x, t)$: probability density of position of particle at time $t$

- Jump length is a random number from a probability distribution with density $\varphi$
Time evolution of $\rho(x, t)$?

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x, t)}{\partial t} = ???$$
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\[ \frac{\partial \varrho(x, t)}{\partial t} = -w(x - m(t)) \varrho(x, t) + \ldots \]

where
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Time evolution of $\varrho(x, t)$?

$$\frac{\partial \varrho(x, t)}{\partial t} = -w(x - m(t)) \varrho(x, t) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y - m(t)) \varrho(y, t) \varphi(x - y) \, dy$$

where

$$m(t) = \int x \varrho(x, t) \, dx.$$ 

This is the mean field equation.
Stationary distribution as a travelling wave:

\[ \rho(x, t) = \rho(x - ct) \]

\( \rho \): stationary distribution around the mean position.
\( c \): speed of the wave.
\( ct \): position of the mean.
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When the jumps are Exp(1): $\varphi(x) = e^{-x}$, jump rate is exponential: $w(x) = e^{-x}$, $\leadsto \rho(x) = G(x - \gamma)$, centered std. Gumbel density. Why?
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Extreme value statistics (Attila Rákos)

When the jumps are Exp(1): \( \varphi(x) = e^{-x} \),
jump rate is exponential: \( w(x) = e^{-x} \),
\( \Rightarrow \rho(x) = G(x - \gamma) \), centered std. Gumbel density. Why?

Mean field particle \( X(t) \) jumps with rate approx. \( e^{ct - X(t)} \). And when it jumps, it jumps Exp(1).

Take now more and more iid. Exp(1) variables. At time \( t \), let us have \( N(t) = e^{ct} / c \) of them. Define \( Y(t) \) as the maximum.

Between \( t \) and \( t + dt \), \( dN(t) = e^{ct} \, dt \) many new Exp(1) variables try to break the record. So the probability that \( Y(t) \) jumps is

\[
1 - (1 - e^{-Y(t)})^{e^{ct} \, dt} \approx e^{ct} - Y(t) \, dt \quad \text{(for large \( Y(t) \)).}
\]

And when it jumps, it jumps Exp(1). But we know that \( Y(t) - ct + \log c \) converges to standard Gumbel.
Mean field is a good approximation.
Recall the original mean field equation:

\[
\frac{\partial \varrho(x, t)}{\partial t} = -w(x - m(t)) \cdot \varrho(x, t)
\]

\[
+ \int_{-\infty}^{\varrho(x) \cdot \varphi(x - y) \, dy},
\]

or, for all \( f \) test functions:

\[
\langle f, \mu(t) \rangle - \langle f, \mu(0) \rangle = \int_{0}^{t} \left\langle \left\{ E[f(x + Z)] - f(x) \right\} \cdot w(x - m(s)), \mu(s) \right\rangle \, ds,
\]

\[
m(s) = \langle x, \mu(s) \rangle.
\]

Here \( E \) refers to expectation of \( Z \) w.r.t. the jump length distribution.
Goal: mean field equation holds in the fluid limit.
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**Goal:** mean field equation holds in the fluid limit.

**Empirical measure:** \( \mu_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{x_i(t)} \).

**As a process:** \( \mu_n(\cdot) \in D([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})) \).

**Theorem**

Assume that

- \( w \) is bounded and [..];
- \( Z \) has a finite third moment;
- \( \mu_n(0) \Rightarrow_n \nu \) in \( \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( \nu \) is a deterministic measure, and [..].

Then

\[
\mu_n(\cdot) \Rightarrow_n \mu(\cdot)
\]

in \( D([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})) \), where \( \mu(\cdot) \) is the unique deterministic solution to the MFE with initial condition \( \mu(0) = \nu \).
By general theory, we need to show three things:

- **Tightness**: $\{\mu_n(\cdot)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is tight in $D([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}))$.
- **Identification of the limit**: any weak limit $\mu(\cdot)$ solves the MFE.
- **Uniqueness**: the MFE with a given initial condition has a unique solution.
By general theory, we need to show three things:

- **Tightness**: \( \{ \mu_n (\cdot) \}_{n \geq 1} \) is tight in \( D ([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1 (\mathbb{R})) \).
- **Identification of the limit**: any weak limit \( \mu (\cdot) \) solves the MFE.
- **Uniqueness**: the MFE with a given initial condition has a unique solution.

**Note**: working on \( M_1 (\mathbb{R}) \) with topology of weak convergence is not enough.

Work on \( \mathcal{P}_1 (\mathbb{R}) \) with Wasserstein-1 metric \( \rightharpoonup \) convergence of mean.
Wasserstein metric on $P_1(\mathbb{R})$:

$$d_1(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi: \text{coupling meas.}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} |x - y| \pi(dx, dy).$$

Test functions:

$$H := \{f : f \in C_b, |f| \leq 1\} \cup \{1d\}.$$ 

Convergence in $d_1$ implies convergence of the integrals of such test functions.

All these needed to be able to handle the center of mass

$$m(s) = \langle x, \mu(s) \rangle.$$
Tightness

- **Step 1:** Tightness of $\langle f, \mu_n(\cdot) \rangle$ in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ for $f$ bounded and continuous, and also for $f \equiv \text{Id}$.
  - Need uniform control of tails at time zero (just assume these),
  - uniform control of jumps (Billingsley’s book).
- **Step 2:** Any limit point is a.s. continuous.
  - Further conditions on jumps (Ethier & Kurtz book).

C-relative compactness

Method for these bounds: introduce *ghost goats*: they jump with rate $\sup_x w(x)$, they have the same jump length distribution as their original counterparts. Couple such that ghost goat$_i$ can jump without goat$_i$, but not vice-versa. $\leadsto$ increments of ghosts dominate increments of the original goats.
Tightness

- **Step 3:** C-relative compactness of $\mu_n(\cdot)$ in $D([0, \infty), P_1(\mathbb{R}))$.
  - Check compactness-type condition for $\mu_n(t)$, uniformly in $n$ and $t$.
  - C-relative compactness of $\langle f, \mu_n(\cdot) \rangle$ in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ from previous slide.
  - Generalize Perkins’s theorem (Perkins, St.-Flour notes, 1999).

For compactness-type condition, use again the ghost goats.

Perkins’s theorem originally was about checking C-relative compactness in $D([0, \infty), \mathcal{M})$ by checking that of appropriate integrals $\langle f, \mu_n(\cdot) \rangle$ in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Our job here was to slightly generalize from finite measures $\mathcal{M}$ to measures with finite first moment $P_1$. 
Any limit solves the mean field equation

Let

\[ A_{t,f}(\mu(\cdot)) : = \langle f, \mu(t) \rangle - \langle f, \mu(0) \rangle \]

\[ - \int_0^t \left\langle \left\{ E[f(x + Z)] - f(x) \right\} w(x - m(s)), \mu(s) \right\rangle ds \]

\[ = \langle f, \mu(t) \rangle - \langle f, \mu(0) \rangle - \int_0^t L\langle f, \mu(s) \rangle ds, \]

where

\[ m(s) = \langle x, \mu(s) \rangle. \]

Recall that the mean field equation is

\[ A_{t,f}(\mu(\cdot)) = 0 \]

for all \( t \geq 0 \) and test functions \( f \in H \).
Any limit solves the mean field equation

- **Step 1:**
  \[
  \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |A_{s,t} (\mu_n (\cdot))| \xrightarrow{P} n \rightarrow \infty 0.
  \]

- **Step 2:** If \( \mu_n (\cdot) \Rightarrow n \mu (\cdot) \) in \( D ([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1 (\mathbb{R})) \), then for every \( t \geq 0 \) and every \( f \in H \),

  \[
  A_{t,f} (\mu_n (\cdot)) \Rightarrow n A_{t,f} (\mu (\cdot))
  \]

  in \( \mathbb{R} \).
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  \]
  in \( \mathbb{R} \).

For the first step, notice that \( A_{t,f}(\mu_n(\cdot)) \) is a martingale in \( t \). Use Doob’s inequality and show that the \( L^2 \) norm goes to zero as \( n \to \infty \).
Any limit solves the mean field equation

- **Step 1:**
  \[
  \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |A_{s,f}(\mu_n(\cdot))| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.
  \]

- **Step 2:** If \( \mu_n(\cdot) \Rightarrow n \mu(\cdot) \) in \( D([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})) \), then for every \( t \geq 0 \) and every \( f \in H \),
  \[
  A_{t,f}(\mu_n(\cdot)) \Rightarrow n A_{t,f}(\mu(\cdot))
  \]
  in \( \mathbb{R} \).

For the first step, notice that \( A_{t,f}(\mu_n(\cdot)) \) is a martingale in \( t \). Use Doob’s inequality and show that the \( L^2 \) norm goes to zero as \( n \to \infty \).

For the second step, convergence in \( D([0, \infty), \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R})) \) with the Wasserstein metric \( d_1 \) is just right for our test functions (including the center of mass!).
Uniqueness of solutions of the mean field equation

Step 1: Look at the distance

\[ d_H(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{f \in H} |\langle f, \mu \rangle - \langle f, \nu \rangle| . \]

Step 2: Apply to solutions \( \mu(\cdot) \) and \( \nu(\cdot) \) of the mean field equation:

\[ \langle f, \mu(t) \rangle = \langle f, \mu(0) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \{ \mathbb{E}[f(x + Z)] - f(x) \} w(x - m(s)), \mu(s) \rangle ds. \]

Terms in the difference of integrals can be bounded in terms of \( d_H(\mu(s), \nu(s)) \).

\[ \Rightarrow d_H(\mu(t), \nu(t)) \leq d_H(\mu(0), \nu(0)) + c \int_0^t d_H(\mu(s), \nu(s)) ds, \]
apply Grönwall’s inequality.
Our main contributions are

- introducing the model;
- formulating via heuristics and analyzing the limiting behavior as $n \to \infty$;
- and showing rigorously that (under some assumptions) in the fluid limit the process indeed satisfies the deterministic integro-differential equation that we formulated.
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Questions

- **Fluctuations:** variance of the center of mass should scale:
  \[
  \text{Var}(m_n(t)) \sim \frac{t^\gamma}{n^\alpha}.
  \]

Some (small) simulations suggest that:
- **Exponential jump rates, exponential jumps:** \( \gamma \approx \alpha \approx 1 \).
- **Step function jump rates, exponential jumps:**
  \( \gamma \approx 1, \ 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \).
- **Step function with linear segment jump rates, exponential jumps:**
  \( \gamma \approx 1, \ 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \).

- In general, limit distribution theorems?
- Can we really not find the stationary distribution for three goats?
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- And for the fluid limit, general rate functions / jump distributions?
Fluctuations: variance of the center of mass should scale:

$$\text{Var}(m_n(t)) \sim \frac{t^\gamma}{n^\alpha}.$$ 

Some (small) simulations suggest that:

- Exponential jump rates, exponential jumps: $\gamma \approx \alpha \approx 1$.
- Step function jump rates, exponential jumps:
  $\gamma \approx 1$, $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
- Step function with linear segment jump rates, exponential jumps:
  $\gamma \approx 1$, $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

- In general, limit distribution theorems?
- Can we really not find the stationary distribution for three goats?
- And for the fluid limit, general rate functions / jump distributions?

Thank you!