

Midterm Solutions

Fall 2007

Problem 1.1

(15 points) Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. discrete random variables with the PMF

$$p(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{for } x = -1 \\ (1 - \theta)^2 \theta^x & \text{for } x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$.

- (a) 4 pts Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound for unbiased estimators of θ based on X_1, \dots, X_n .
- (b) 4 pts Compute the maximum likelihood estimate of θ based on (X_1, \dots, X_n) .
- (c) 3 pts Show that (X_1, \dots, X_n) can be written as a 2-dimensional exponential family in terms of $T_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}[x_i = -1]$ and $T_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}[x_i \geq 0]$.
- (d) 4 pts Is (T_1, T_2) complete? How does this relate to our result from class on completeness in full rank exponential families?

Solution 1.1: Define the statistics $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i = -1)$ and $T_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{I}(x_i \geq 0)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ \theta^{\mathbb{I}(x_i = -1)} ((1 - \theta)^2 \theta^{x_i})^{\mathbb{I}(x_i \geq 0)} \right\} \\ &= \exp \{ T_1 [\log \theta - 2 \log(1 - \theta)] + T_2 \log \theta + 2n \log(1 - \theta) \} \end{aligned}$$

- (a) From the given representation as an exponential family, we can compute the log likelihood and its derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\theta) &= T_1 (\log \theta - 2 \log(1 - \theta)) + T_2 \log \theta + 2n \log(1 - \theta) \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} &= T_1 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{2}{1 - \theta} \right) + \frac{T_2}{\theta} - \frac{2n}{1 - \theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{T_1 + T_2}{\theta^2} - \frac{2(n - T_2)}{(1 - \theta)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\mathbb{E}(T_1) = n\mathbb{P}(X_1 = -1) = n\theta$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(T_2) = n\mathbb{E}(X_i \mathbf{1}(X_i \geq 0)) = n(1 - \theta)^2 \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x \theta^x = n\theta.$$

Consequently, we have

$$I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \frac{2n}{\theta(1 - \theta)},$$

so that the Cramer-Rao bound is $\text{var}(\delta) \geq \theta(1 - \theta)/2n$.

- (b) From our calculation of the second derivative of ℓ , we see that $\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \theta^2} \leq 0$. Consequently, the MLE is given by a zero point of the gradient, or equivalently

$$\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = \frac{T_1 + T_2}{2n - T_1 + T_2}.$$

(Note that if $X_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = 0$, and if $X_i = -1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $\hat{\theta}^{MLE} = 1$.)

- (c) Our calculations prior to (a) show this fact.
- (d) Defining $g(T_1, T_2) = T_1 - T_2 \neq 0$, we see that $\mathbb{E}[g(T_1, T_2)] = 0$ for all θ , so that (T_1, T_2) is not complete. The result from class does not apply because the family is not full rank. (Indeed, if we set $\eta_1 = \log \theta - 2 \log(1 - \theta)$ and $\eta_2 = \log \theta$, then these parameters satisfy the constraint $\eta_1 = \eta_2 - 2 \log(1 - e^{\eta_2})$.)

Problem 1.2

(18 points) Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be an i.i.d. sample of Bernoulli random variables with $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = \theta$. For parts (a) and (b), assume that $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

- (a) 2 pts Specify the maximum likelihood estimate of $g(\theta) = \theta(1 - \theta)$ based on (X_1, \dots, X_n) .
 (b) 3 pts Compute the Cramér-Rao bound for unbiased estimation of $g(\theta)$ under squared error loss.

Now assume that $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and consider estimation of $g(\theta)$ under squared error loss.

- (c) 4 pts Find the UMVUE of $g(\theta)$.
 (d) 4 pts Suppose that Θ is modeled as random, with a Beta(a, b) prior. Obtain the Bayes estimator of $g(\theta)$. Is this estimator unbiased? Is it consistent as $n \rightarrow +\infty$?
 (e) 5 pts Consider the improper density $\lambda(\theta) = [\theta(1-\theta)]^{-1}$ on $(0, 1)$. Give necessary and sufficient conditions on (X_1, \dots, X_n) for the posterior distribution over Θ to be proper. When the posterior is proper, find the generalized Bayes estimator associated with this improper prior.

Note: The Beta(a, b) distribution has density $\lambda(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\theta^{a-1}(1-\theta)^{b-1}$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Its mean is $\mathbb{E}[\Theta] = a/(a+b)$.

Solution 1.2: Define the statistic $T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. We then have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = \exp \left\{ T \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + n \log(1-\theta) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

- (a) Since $g(\theta)$ is invertible on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, the MLE $\widehat{g(\theta)}$ is equal to $g(\widehat{\theta})$, where $\widehat{\theta}$ is the MLE of $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\theta) &= T \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + n \log(1-\theta) \\ \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} &= T \left(\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{1-\theta} \right) - \frac{n}{1-\theta} = \frac{T}{\theta(1-\theta)} - \frac{n}{1-\theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{T}{\theta^2} - \frac{n-T}{(1-\theta)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the MLE of θ restricted to $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is

$$\widehat{\theta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (b) From our calculations in (a), we have $I_n(\theta) = \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{T}{\theta^2} + \frac{n-T}{(1-\theta)^2} \right) = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$ and $g'(\theta) = 1 - 2\theta$. Thus, the Cramer-Rao bound for unbiased estimators of $g(\theta)$ is $\frac{\theta(1-\theta)(1-2\theta)^2}{n}$.

- (c) Since this is a full rank exponential family, $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i = 1)$ is a complete and sufficient statistics for θ . Therefore, a function $f(T)$ that is unbiased for $g(\theta)$ is a UMVUE. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{T(n-T)}{n^2} \right] &= \frac{1}{n^2} [n\mathbb{E}[T] - \mathbb{E}[T^2]] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [n^2\theta - \text{var}(T) - (\mathbb{E}[T])^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [n^2\theta - n\theta(1-\theta) - n^2\theta^2] \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n}\theta(1-\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(T) = \frac{T(n-T)}{n(n-1)}$ is the UMVUE of $g(\theta)$.

- (d) The posterior distribution of θ given X_1, \dots, X_n is $\text{Beta}(T+a, n-T+b)$. The Bayes estimate of $g(\theta)$ under squared error loss is

$$\mathbb{E}(\Theta(1-\Theta)|X) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta] - \mathbb{E}[\Theta^2].$$

From the given formula, we have $\mathbb{E}[\Theta|X] = \frac{T+a}{n+a+b}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Theta^2|X] &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(T+a)\Gamma(n-T+b)} \int \theta^2 \theta^{T+a-1} (1-\theta)^{n-T+b-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(T+a)\Gamma(n-T+b)} \int \theta^{T+a+1} (1-\theta)^{n-T+b-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(T+a)\Gamma(n-T+b)} \frac{\Gamma(T+a+2)\Gamma(n-T+b)}{\Gamma(n+a+b+2)} \\ &= \frac{(T+a+2)(T+a+1)}{(n+a+b+2)(n+a+b+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Overall, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\Theta) | X] = \frac{(T+a)(n-T+b)}{(n+a+b)(n+a+b+1)}.$$

From the calculation in part (c), we see that this estimate is biased. However, since $T/n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$ as n goes to infinity, it is consistent.

- (e) The posterior distribution of θ given X_1, \dots, X_n is proportional to $\theta^{T-1}(1-\theta)^{n-T-1}$. Note that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx < \infty \Leftrightarrow \alpha > 0, \beta > 0$$

Thus, necessary and sufficient conditions for the posterior to be proper are $T > 0$ and $T < n$. *i.e.* $1 \leq T \leq n-1$. Since the posterior is like part (d) with $a = b = 0$, the generalized Bayes estimator is $\frac{T(n-T)}{n(n+1)}$.

Problem 1.3

(17 pts) For some $n > 2$, let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) be an i.i.d. sample from the uniform distribution on $[\theta - \Delta, \theta + \Delta]$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta > 0$.

- (a) 3 pts Suppose that $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$ is known, but θ is unknown. Find a minimal sufficient statistic T for the family parameterized by θ . Is T complete for the family? Justify your answer.
- (b) 3 pts Suppose that $\theta = 0$ is known, but $\Delta > 0$ is unknown. Find a minimal sufficient statistic S for the family parameterized by Δ . Is S complete for the family? Justify your answer.

For the remaining parts, suppose that both (θ, Δ) are unknown.

- (c) 3 pts Let $X_{(i)}$ denote the i^{th} order statistic. Show that $U = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is complete and sufficient for the family parameterized by (θ, Δ) .
- (d) 5 pts Find UMVUEs of θ and Δ .
- (e) 3 pts Find a UMVUE of θ/Δ .

Solution 1.3:

- (a) Note that $\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta) = \mathbb{I}(x_{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} \leq \theta) \cdot \mathbb{I}(\theta \leq x_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2})$, so that $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is sufficient by the factorization criterion. By likelihood ratio criterion, it is also minimal sufficient. However, it is not complete since $\mathbb{E}[X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}] = 1 - \frac{2}{n+1}$ for all θ , but $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \neq 0$.
- (b) In this case, we have $\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \Delta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^n \mathbb{I}(x_{(n)} \leq \Delta) \cdot \mathbb{I}(\Delta \geq x_{(1)})$. Thus $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is sufficient by the factorization criterion, and minimal sufficient by the likelihood ratio criterion. However, $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is not complete since we have $\mathbb{E}[X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}] = 0$ for all Δ (by symmetry), yet $X_{(1)} + X_{(n)} \neq 0$.
- (c) In this case, the distribution can be factored as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i; \theta, \Delta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^n \mathbb{I}(x_{(n)} \leq \theta + \Delta) \mathbb{I}(-\Delta + \theta \geq x_{(1)}),$$

so that $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is again sufficient by the factorization criterion. We claim that it is also complete: indeed, suppose that g is some function of $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ such that $\mathbb{E}[g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})] = 0$ for all (θ, Δ) . We have

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{(1)} \geq t, X_{(n)} \leq s] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[t \leq X_i \leq s] = \frac{(s-t)^n}{(2\Delta)^n} \mathbb{I}[t \geq \theta - \Delta] \mathbb{I}[s \leq \theta + \Delta].$$

Consequently, their joint density is

$$p(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}) = \frac{n(n-1)}{(2\Delta)^n} (x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2}$$

for $(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}) \in (\theta - \Delta, x_{(n)}) \times (\theta - \Delta, \theta + \Delta)$. With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} [g(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})] = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \int_{\theta-\Delta}^{\theta+\Delta} \int_{\theta-\Delta}^{x_{(n)}} g(x_{(1)}, x_{(n)}) n(n-1)(x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2} (2\Delta)^{-n} dx_{(1)} dx_{(n)} = 0, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \Delta > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \int_{\theta-\Delta}^{\theta+\Delta} \int_0^{x_{(n)}-\theta+\Delta} g(x_{(n)} - t, x_{(n)}) t^{n-2} dt dx_{(n)} = 0, \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \Delta > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \int_{\eta}^{\eta+2\Delta} \int_0^{x_{(n)}-\eta} g(x_{(n)} - t, x_{(n)}) t^{n-2} dt dx_{(n)} = 0, \forall \eta = \theta - \Delta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \Delta > 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \int_0^{x_{(n)}-\eta} g(x_{(n)} - t, x_{(n)}) t^{n-2} dt dx_{(n)} = 0, \forall \eta = \theta - \Delta \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\Leftrightarrow & g(x, y) = 0 \forall x, y,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is complete.

- (d) Since $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is complete and sufficient, we can obtain UMVUEs as unbiased functions of these quantities. To simplify calculation, define $Y_i = \frac{X_i - \theta}{2\Delta}$. Since each Y_i is uniform on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, we have $\mathbb{E} [Y_{(1)} + Y_{(n)}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E} [Y_{(n)} - Y_{(1)}] = \frac{n-1}{n+1}$. This implies that $\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}}{2} \right] = \theta$ and $\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}}{2} \frac{n+1}{n-1} \right] = \Delta$.

Therefore, we conclude that $\delta_1(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) = \frac{X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}}{2}$ is the UMVUE of θ , and $\delta_2(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) = \frac{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}}{2} \frac{n+1}{n-1}$ is the UMVUE of Δ .

- (e) Using the joint density of $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{Y_{(n)} - Y_{(1)}} \right] &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{x_{(n)}} \frac{1}{x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}} n(n-1)(x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})^{n-2} dx_{(1)} dx_{(n)} \\
&= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{x_{(n)}+1/2} n(n-1)t^{n-3} dt dx_{(n)} \\
&= \frac{n}{n-2}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to symmetry, we have $\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{Y_{(1)} + Y_{(n)}}{Y_{(n)} - Y_{(1)}} \right] = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{n-2}{n} \frac{2}{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}} \right] &= \frac{1}{\Delta}, \quad \text{and} \\
\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}}{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}} \right] &= \theta \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{2}{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}} \right] = \frac{\theta}{\Delta} \frac{n}{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Overall, we conclude that $\delta_3(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) = \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{X_{(1)} + X_{(n)}}{X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}}$ is the UMVUE of $\frac{\theta}{\Delta}$.