

Lecture 25

Lecture date: Oct 24, 2007

Scribe: John Zhu

Latala's Result: If $\beta < 1/2$ then for $2s < 1 - 4\beta^2$

$$\nu(\exp(sN(R_{1,2} - q)^2)) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2s - 4\beta^2}} \Rightarrow \nu((R_{1,2} - q)^{2k}) \leq \frac{(Ck)^k}{N^k} \text{ for all } k$$

so the overlap is concentrated.

Exercise 1: Using this result show that for any fixed p ,

$$\mathbf{E}(\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_p \rangle - \langle \sigma_1 \rangle \langle \sigma_2 \rangle \cdots \langle \sigma_p \rangle)^2 \leq K(p) \nu((R_{1,2} - q)^2) \leq \frac{K(p)}{N}$$

Exercise 2: The above expectation goes to 0 even if p grows with N . How fast can it grow?

The 1st exercise means that any collection of spins at p locations are approximately independent.

Hints for Exercise 1: use induction on p and note if $\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^4$ are 4 configurations then $R_{1,3} - R_{1,4} - R_{2,3} + R_{3,4} = \frac{(\sigma^1 - \sigma^2) \cdot (\sigma^3 - \sigma^4)}{N}$.

Exercise 3: (A Talagrand research problem) Show the total variation distribution distance between the joint law of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ and the product of marginals $\rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E} \frac{\log Z_t}{N} = -\frac{\beta^2}{4} \nu_t((R_{1,2} - q)^2) + \frac{\beta^2}{4} (1 - q)^2$. Since $\nu_t((R_{1,2} - q)^2) = O(\frac{1}{N}) \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$ thus,

$$\mathbf{E} \frac{\log Z_N}{N} = \varphi(1) = \varphi(0) + \frac{\beta^2(1 - q)^2}{4} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) = \log 2 + \mathbf{E}(\log \cosh(\beta Z \sqrt{q} + h))$$

Thouless - Anderson - Palmer Equations: The random quantities $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle, \langle \sigma_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle \sigma_N \rangle$ satisfy an approximate system of equations

$$\langle \sigma_i \rangle \approx \tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N g_{ij} \langle \sigma_j \rangle + h - \beta^2(1 - q) \langle \sigma_i \rangle\right) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Talagrand in 2003 gave the first rigorous proof.

Suppose $\beta < 1/2, h = 0$. Then $q = 0$, and so $\mathbf{E}\langle R_{1,2} \rangle \leq \frac{c}{N}$. Let $l_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N g_{ij} \sigma_j$ be the “local field” at site i . We will look at the annealed (i.e. unconditional) distribution of l_1 .

Take any smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(l_1 f(l_1)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N \mathbf{E}[g_{1j} \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=2}^N \mathbf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{1j}} \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle\right] \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{1j}} \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{1j}} \frac{\sum_{\sigma} \sigma_j f(l_1(\sigma)) \exp(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{r < s} g_{rs} \sigma_r \sigma_s)}{\sum_r \exp(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{r < s} g_{rs} \sigma_r \sigma_s)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma} \left[\sigma_j f'(l_1(\sigma)) \frac{\sigma_j}{\sqrt{N}} \exp(\dots) + \sigma_j f(l_1(\sigma)) \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sigma_1 \sigma_j \exp(\dots) \right]}{\sum_{\sigma} \exp(\dots)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_{\sigma} f(l_1(\sigma)) \exp(\dots)}{(\sum \exp(\dots))^2} \left(\sum_r \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sigma_1 \sigma_j \exp(\dots) \right) \\ &= \frac{\langle f'(l_1) \rangle}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \langle \sigma_1 f(l_1) \rangle - \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\nu(l_1 f(l_1)) = \frac{N-1}{N} \nu(f'(l_1)) - \frac{\beta(N-1)}{N} \nu(\sigma_1 f(l_1)) - \frac{\beta}{N} \sum_{j=2}^N N \mathbf{E} \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle = \langle f(l_1(\sigma^1)) \sigma_j^1 \sigma_j^2 \sigma_1^2 \rangle.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^N \langle \sigma_j f(l_1) \rangle \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_j \rangle = \langle f(l_1(\sigma^1)) \sigma_1^2 R_{1,2} \rangle + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Exercise 4: Under $\langle \cdot \rangle$, what is the conditional expectation of σ_1 given $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$?

l_1 is a function of $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$, so $\langle \sigma_1 f(l_1) \rangle = \langle \tanh(\beta l_1) f(l_1) \rangle$. Combining the steps we get,

$$\nu(f'(l_1) - (l_1 - \beta \tanh(\beta l_1)) f(l_1)) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right)$$

For any suitable probability density ρ , if $X \sim \rho$ then for any suitable f we have $\mathbf{E}(f'(X) + \frac{\rho'(X)}{\rho(X)} f(X)) = 0$ (integration by parts), and the converse is also true. Thus, the annealed distribution of l_1 must be close to the distribution with density ρ that satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log \rho(x) = -(x - \beta \tanh \beta x).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\log \rho(x) &= \text{const} - \frac{x^2}{2} + \log \cosh(\beta x) \\ \Rightarrow \rho(x) &= \text{Const} \cosh(\beta x) e^{-x^2/2} = \text{Const} (e^{-(x-\beta)^2/2} + e^{-(x+\beta)^2/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the annealed distribution of l_1 tends to the symmetric mixture of $N(\beta, 1)$ and $N(-\beta, 1)$.