

Lecture 14

Lecture date: Oct 18

Scribe: Constantinos Daskalakis

1 Hyper-Contraction for Sets of Random Variables

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$, where X_1, \dots, X_k are random variables with of whose moments are finite. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ the following sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X}) &= \{\text{all polynomials of degree } \leq n \text{ in variables from } \mathcal{X}\} \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) &= \{\text{all polynomials in variables from } \mathcal{X}\}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $T_\eta : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, where $\eta \in (0, 1)$, be the linear operator satisfying the following property

$$T_\eta Y = \eta^n Y \text{ if } Y \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^\perp(\mathcal{X})$$

Definition 1 Suppose $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < \eta < 1$. We say that \mathcal{X} is (p, q, η) hypercontractive if for all polynomials $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ the following is satisfied:

$$\|T_\eta Q(\mathcal{X})\|_q \leq \|Q(\mathcal{X})\|_p$$

Remark 2 The following are easy observations:

- If $\{1, X_1, \dots, X_k\}$ is a standard basis for $L^2(\mu)$, for some measure μ , then for every X :

$$T_\eta X = \eta X + (1 - \eta)\mathbb{E}[X]$$

(to see why write $X = (X - \mathbb{E}[X]) + \mathbb{E}[X]$ and note that the two summands are orthogonal, the first summand is a degree 1 polynomial in X_1, \dots, X_k and the second summand a degree 0 polynomial)

- If $\{1, X_1^1, \dots, X_k^1\}$ is a standard basis for $L^2(\mu_1)$ and $\{1, X_1^2, \dots, X_l^2\}$ a standard basis for $L^2(\mu_2)$, for some measures μ_1 and μ_2 , and $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1^1, \dots, X_k^1, X_1^2, \dots, X_l^2\}$ then:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \otimes_i L^2(\mu_i)$$

and T_η is the Bonami-Beckner operator

Claim 3 If $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n\}$ are two (p, q, η) hypercontractive sets of random variables that are independent, then so is $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof: Let Q be a polynomial in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . We can write Q as follows:

$$Q(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}) = \sum_i R_i(\mathcal{X})S_i(\mathcal{Y})$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\eta Q(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})\|_q &= \left\| \|T_\eta Q(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})\|_{L^q(\mathcal{Y})} \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{X})} && \text{(Fubini's theorem)} \\ &= \left\| \left\| T_{\eta, \mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum_i T_{\eta, \mathcal{X}}(R_i(\mathcal{X})S_i(\mathcal{Y})) \right) \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{Y})} \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{X})} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_i T_{\eta, \mathcal{X}}(R_i(\mathcal{X})S_i(\mathcal{Y})) \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{Y})} \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{X})} && \text{(hypercontractivity of } \mathcal{Y} \text{)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| T_{\eta, \mathcal{X}} \sum_i R_i(\mathcal{X})S_i(\mathcal{Y}) \right\|_{L^q(\mathcal{X})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{Y})} && \text{(generalized Minkowski inequality } (p \leq q) \text{)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_i R_i(\mathcal{X})S_i(\mathcal{Y}) \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{Y})} && \text{(hypercontractivity of } \mathcal{X} \text{)} \\ &= \|Q(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})\|_p \end{aligned}$$

□

Claim 4 Let \mathcal{X} be a $(2, q, \eta)$ hypercontractive set of random variables and Q a polynomial of degree $\leq d$ on \mathcal{X} . Then $\|Q(\mathcal{X})\|_q \leq \eta^{-d} \|Q(\mathcal{X})\|_2$.

Proof: We distinguish the following cases:

- if $Q(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{P}_d(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{d-1}^\perp(\mathcal{X})$, then $T_\eta Q(\mathcal{X}) = \eta^d Q(\mathcal{X})$ and so, by the hypercontractivity of \mathcal{X} , it follows that:

$$\|\eta^d Q(\mathcal{X})\|_q = \|T_\eta Q(\mathcal{X})\|_q \leq \|Q(\mathcal{X})\|_2$$

- in general, $Q = \sum_{i=0}^d Q_i(\mathcal{X})$, where $Q_i \in \mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{i-1}^\perp(\mathcal{X})$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q(\mathcal{X})\|_q &= \left\| T_\eta \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \eta^{-i} Q_i(\mathcal{X}) \right) \right\|_q \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^d \eta^{-i} Q_i(\mathcal{X}) \right\|_2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \eta^{-2i} \|Q_i(\mathcal{X})\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} && \text{(because } Q_i \perp Q_j \text{ for all } i \neq j) \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \eta^{-2d} \|Q_i(\mathcal{X})\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} && \text{(because } \eta < 1) \\
&= \eta^{-d} \left(\sum_{i=0}^d \|Q_i(\mathcal{X})\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \eta^{-d} \|Q\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 5 (Gross '68) (2pts) Prove that the Orenstein-Uhlenbeck operator T_η is (p, q, η) hypercontractive for all (p, q, η) for which $\{-1, 1\}_0$ is (p, q, η) hypercontractive. Recall that the Orenstein-Uhlenbeck operator $T_\eta : L^2(\gamma_n) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma_n)$ is defined as follows:

$$(T_\eta f)(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \gamma_n} \left[f \left(\eta x + \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} y \right) \right]$$

2 Central Limit Theorem and Generalizations

Exercise 6 (1 pt) Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2, \dots, \mathcal{N}_k)$ be a Gaussian vector and $f(\mathcal{N})$ a degree d polynomial. Show that there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$, where, for all n , f_n is a multilinear polynomial on $\{-1, 1\}_0^{ndk}$, which converges in distribution to $f(\mathcal{N})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 7 (approach due to Linderberg) Let $q \in (2, 3]$, $\beta < \infty$, and let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables satisfying $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1$, $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|^q] \leq \beta < \infty$. Also, let

$$Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \left(c_S \prod_{i \in S} X_i \right)$$

be a multi-linear polynomial of degree d satisfying $\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} c_S^2 = 1$. Then, if $\Delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is non-decreasing with $\Delta(0) = 0$, $\Delta(1) = 1$, $A = \sup |\Delta^{(3)}(x)| < \infty$, $\Delta_r(x) = \Delta(\frac{x}{r})$, the following holds:

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n))] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(Q(G_1, \dots, G_n))]| \leq A \cdot O_q \left(r^{-q} \beta^d \sum_i \left(\sum_{S:i \in S} c_S^2 \right)^{q/2} \right)$$

where G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n are independent Gaussian random variables and the constant hidden in the O notation of the right hand side depends on q .

The reason why the above theorem can be seen as a generalization of the central limit theorem is that, as function $\Delta(\cdot)$ approaches the step function $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \text{sign}(x-1)]$, the expectation $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n))]$ approaches the probability $\mathbf{P}[Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \geq r]$ and, similarly, $\mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(Q(G_1, \dots, G_n))]$ approaches $\mathbf{P}[Q(G_1, \dots, G_n) \geq r]$. We will see the proof of the theorem in the next lecture. For now, we state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8 Let $q \in (2, 3]$ and Y, Z be random variables satisfying:

- $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Z]$
- $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z^2]$, $\mathbb{E}[|Y|^q], \mathbb{E}[|Z|^q] < \infty$

Then for all x :

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(x+Y)] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(x+Z)]| \leq Ar^{-q} (\mathbb{E}[|Y|^q] + \mathbb{E}[|Z|^q])$$

where $\Delta_r(\cdot)$ is the function defined in the statement of theorem 7.

Proof: Since $\Delta''(0^-) = 0$, $\Delta''(1^+) = 0$ and $\Delta''(\cdot)$ is continuous it follows that $\Delta''(0) = 0$ and $\Delta''(1) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1/2} |\Delta''(x)| &= \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1/2} \left| \int_0^x \Delta'''(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{A}{2} \\ \sup_{1/2 \leq x \leq 1} |\Delta''(x)| &= \sup_{1/2 \leq x \leq 1} \left| \int_1^x \Delta'''(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{A}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and so, trivially,

$$\sup_x |\Delta''(x)| \leq \frac{A}{2}.$$

Therefore, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta''(x) - \Delta''(y)| &= A \left| \frac{\Delta''(x)}{A} - \frac{\Delta''(y)}{A} \right| \\
&\leq A \left| \frac{\Delta''(x)}{A} - \frac{\Delta''(y)}{A} \right|^{q-2} \\
&= A^{3-q} |\Delta''(x) - \Delta''(y)|^{q-2} \\
&= A^{3-q} \left| \int_y^x \Delta'''(t) dt \right|^{q-2} \\
&\leq A^{3-q} (A|x-y|)^{q-2} \\
&\leq A|x-y|^{q-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the following

$$|\Delta_r''(x) - \Delta_r''(y)| = \frac{1}{r^2} \left| \Delta''\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) - \Delta''\left(\frac{y}{r}\right) \right| \leq \frac{A}{r^2} \left| \frac{x}{r} - \frac{y}{r} \right|^{q-2} \leq Ar^{-q} |x-y|^{q-2}.$$

Now, if we denote by $\varphi(v) = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(x+vY)] - \mathbb{E}[\Delta_r(x+vZ)]$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$, we have:

- $\varphi(0) = 0$
- $\varphi'(v) = \mathbb{E}[Y\Delta_r'(x+vY)] - \mathbb{E}[Z\Delta_r'(x+vZ)]$
- $\varphi'(0) = \mathbb{E}[Y\Delta_r'(x)] - \mathbb{E}[Z\Delta_r'(x)] = 0$
- $\varphi''(v) = \mathbb{E}[Y^2\Delta_r''(x+vY)] - \mathbb{E}[Z^2\Delta_r''(x+vZ)]$

Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi''(v)| &= |\mathbb{E}[Y^2(\Delta_r''(x+vY) - \Delta_r''(x))] - \mathbb{E}[Z^2(\Delta_r''(x+vZ) - \Delta_r''(x))]| \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}[|Y^2(\Delta_r''(x+vY) - \Delta_r''(x))|] + \mathbb{E}[|Z^2(\Delta_r''(x+vZ) - \Delta_r''(x))|] \\
&\leq Ar^{-q} (\mathbb{E}[Y^2|vY|^{q-2}] + \mathbb{E}[Z^2|vZ|^{q-2}]) = \\
&\leq Ar^{-q}v^{q-2} (\mathbb{E}[|Y|^q] + \mathbb{E}[|Z|^q])
\end{aligned}$$

After integrating twice with respect to v and plugging in $v = 1$, we get

$$|\varphi(1)| \leq Ar^{-q} (\mathbb{E}[|Y|^q] + \mathbb{E}[|Z|^q]).$$

□