Spiritualists assert: observed real-life coincidences are immensely too unlikely to be explainable as “just chance”.

Rationalists assert: oh no they’re not. Because there are gazillions of possible coincidence that don’t happen.

I’m on the rationalist side, but must admit that (outside “small universe” models like the birthday paradox) there’s essentially no evidence (analogous to baseball teams evidence of birthday paradox) that interesting real-life coincidences occur no more often than “pure chance” predicts.